

Michael Carl Zieminski
PLAINTIFF(S)

South Carolina State Of
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

APPELLANTS MOTION TO RECONSIDER THE COURT ORDER AFFIRMING THE MAGISTRATE COURT JUDGMENT AND APPELLANTS CONVICTIONS IS DENIED WITHOUT THE NECESSITY OF A HEARING AND NO FORMAL ORDER IS REQUIRED.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 08/22/2023 .

North Greenville Summary Court for South Carolina State Of

RECEIVED

Aug 24 2023

SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRPC.



Greenville Common Pleas

Case Caption: Michael Carl Zieminski VS South Carolina State Of
Case Number: 2022CP2304923
Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

S/R. LAWTON McINTOSH

S/R.LAWTON McINTOSH

Electronically signed on 2023-08-22 10:38:27 page 3 of 3

Certificate of Electronic Notification

Recipients

Christopher Antley - Notification transmitted on 08-22-2023 11:00:30 AM.

Joseph Lyles - Notification transmitted on 08-22-2023 11:00:29 AM.

***** IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION *****
NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING [NEF]

-

A filing has been submitted to the court RE: 2022CP2304923

Official File Stamp: 08-22-2023 11:00:23 AM

Court: CIRCUIT COURT

Common Pleas

Greenville

Case Caption: Michael Carl Zieminski VS South Carolina State Of

Document(s) Submitted: Order/Electronic Form 4-Motion to Reconsider Denied Order/Electronic Form 4

Filed by or on behalf of: R. Lawton McIntosh

Note from the Court: Judge here is a form 4 from common pleas in Greenville back in July denying a magistrate appeal with the spelling correction you requested.

This notice was automatically generated by the Court's auto-notification system.

-

The following people were served electronically:

Christopher R Antley for South Carolina State Of

Joseph Sloan Lyles for Michael Carl Zieminski

The following people have not been served electronically by the Court. Therefore, they must be served by traditional means:

North Greenville Summary Court for South Carolina State Of