

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

John Doe #53, John Doe 66, John Doe 66A, John Doe 67, Jane Doe 1 and Jane Doe 2 and Rachel Roe, individually and as representative of a class of people similarly situated, Plaintiffs,

Of whom class members Julie McDonald, Richard McDonald, and Allen Sires are the Appellants,

v.

The Bishop of Charleston, a Corporation Sole, and The Bishop of the Diocese of Charleston, in his official capacity, Respondents.

And David K. Haller, Lawrence E. Richter, Jr., and Richter & Haller, LLC, Intervenors

Appellate Case No. 2023-000720

ORDER

David K. Haller, Lawrence E. Richter, Jr., and Richter & Haller, LLC, who acted as class counsel for the plaintiffs below, have moved for an extension to file their initial brief and designation of matter. However, they are not parties to this appeal. Accordingly, we initially construe this motion as a motion to intervene. After careful consideration, the motion is granted because Appellants are seeking review of the denial of their motion for a post-award fairness hearing, in part because of the attorney's fees awarded to class counsel.

The Intervenors' request for an extension is granted to the extent that their initial brief and designation of matter will be due thirty days after Appellant's corrected initial brief and designation of matter is served and filed.


FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

FILED
Sep 06 2023

cc:

Allen Sires

Richard S. Dukes, Jr., Esquire

Gregg E. Meyers, Esquire

Carmelo Barone Sammataro, Esquire

Benjamin C. Bruner, Esquire

Chelsea Jaqueline Clark, Esquire

John Edward Cuttino, Esquire