

Natalie Zitek, et al
PLAINTIFF(S)

DR Horton, et al
DEFENDANT(S)

Submitted By: Address:	Attorney for : <input type="checkbox"/> Plaintiff <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant or <input type="checkbox"/> Self-Represented Litigant
---	---

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered. See Page 2 for additional information.
- ACTION DISMISSED (*CHECK REASON*):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled); Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (*CHECK REASON*):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy; Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; Other
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (*CHECK APPLICABLE BOX*):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded; Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

Motions to Reconsider:
All of DR Horton's motions
BKF Builders, Inc.
Nazareth Builders
Jesus Robles Montes
Bravo Carpenters
MJ Cowboys
ML Reyna
JLS Masonry
Jesus Jiminez
Therma-Tru
Rite-Rug
Cannaday
Builder's First Source
NM Alex Carpet
Harrelson Painting

RECEIVED
Sep 06 2023
SC Court of Appeals

After careful consideration of the able argument and filings of Counsel and review of the record, the Court is unable to discover any material fact or principle of law that either has been overlooked or disregarded and further finds no error of law or fact not appropriately considered. Accordingly, the parties' Motions to Reconsider, pursuant to Rule 59, SCRPC*, are DENIED.

*The Court decides these Motions to Reconsider based upon the briefs filed by the parties and without oral argument.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case.

Additional Information for the Clerk: _____

INFORMATION FOR THE PUBLIC INDEX

Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below.

Judgment in Favor of (List name(s) below)	Judgment Against (List name(s) below)	Judgment Amount To be Enrolled (List amount(s) below)

If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order:

The judgment information above has been provided by the submitting party. Disputes concerning the amounts contained in this form may be addressed by way of motion pursuant to the SC Rules of Civil Procedure. Amounts to be computed such as interest or additional taxable costs not available at the time the form and final order are submitted to the judge may be provided to the clerk. **Note: Title abstractors and researchers should refer to the official court for judgment details.**

R. SCOTT SPROUSE
Circuit Court Judge

2752
Judge Code

8/18/2023
Date



Anderson Common Pleas

Case Caption: Natalie Zitek , plaintiff, et al VS Jane Doe 1 , defendant, et al

Case Number: 2019CP0401942

Type: Order/Form 4

s/R. Scott Sprouse, Judge #2752

Tenth Judicial Circuit