

RECEIVED

SEP 08 2023

S.C. SUPREME COURT

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Ronald C. Albright, #211533, Appellant,


v.

South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole, and
Pardon Services, Respondent.


Appellate Case No. 2023-000847

ORDER

After careful consideration of the petition for rehearing, the Court is unable to discover that any material fact or principle of law has been either overlooked or disregarded, and hence, there is no basis for granting a rehearing. Accordingly, the petition for rehearing is denied.



J.



J.



J.

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:
Ronald L. Albright, 00211533
Matthew C. Buchanan, Esquire

FILED
Aug 29 2023

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Ronald C. Albright, #211533, Appellant,


v.

South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole, and
Pardon Services, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2023-000847

ORDER

Appellant's response to Respondent's motion to strike was received and considered by this court. However, Appellant's petition for reconsideration of this court's July 3, 2023 order is denied. *See* Rule 240(i), SCACR ("The court will not entertain petitions for rehearing on a motion or petition unless the action of the court on the motion or petition has the effect of dismissing or finally deciding a party's appeal."). Additionally, Appellant is not entitled to proceed without costs and Appellant has failed to pay the \$250 filing fee for the notice of appeal; accordingly, this appeal is dismissed. The remittitur will be sent as required by Rule 221(b), SCACR.

 C.J.
FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

FILED
Jul 31 2023

cc:

Ronald L. Albright, 00211533
Matthew C. Buchanan, Esquire

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Ronald C. Albright #211533, Appellant,

v.

S.C.D.P.P.P.S., Respondent.


Appellate Case No. 2023-000847

Petition for Rehearing on Order dated: 7/31/2023

Appellant pleads with this Honorable Court to reconsider it's above referenced Order dismissing the Appeal for not being able to afford the \$250 filing fee due to his incarceration and financial status. Appellant has never abused the Indigent Status and has zero strikes against him for frivolous litigations. Dismissing the Appeal for this reason, as stated in the Order, when Appellant motioned this Court as to his indigent status and to proceed In Forma Pauperis, is unfair and contrary to both State and U.S Constitutional provisions of access to the Courts and redress of grievances despite one's race, religion, or financial status. U.S.C.A. Amend 1, 5, 6, 14, and 15 "Equal Protection".

Cc. S.C.D.P.P.P.S.;
The Administrative Law Court,
The Supreme Court of South Carolina,
Files

Respectfully Submitted,


Ronald C. Albright #211533 8/3/23