

RECEIVED

Sep 06 2023

SC Court of Appeals

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
 COUNTY OF RICHLAND )  
 )  
 The State of South Carolina )  
 )  
 v. )  
 )  
 Antonio Bethel )  
 )  
 )  
 Defendant. )  
 \_\_\_\_\_ )

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS  
FOR THE FIFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

WARRANT NUMBER: 2019GS4007697  
2019A4011200457

ORDER DENYING  
MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL

RICHLAND COUNTY  
 FILED  
 2023 AUG 30 AM 10:43  
 C.C.P., G.S. & F.C.

On August 9<sup>th</sup>, 2023, Defendant was found guilty at trial of one charge of attempted murder. Defendant was subsequently sentenced to fifteen (15) years in prison. During trial, Defendant was represented by Tivis Sutherland of the private bar. The State was represented by Kathryn Cavanaugh and Joseph Kreush of the Richland County Solicitor's Office. On August 21<sup>st</sup>, 2023, counsel for Defendant timely filed a Motion for a New Trial.

After reviewing the applicable law and thoroughly considering the arguments raised in the Motion, the Court is unable to discover any material fact or principle of law that has either been overlooked or disregarded and further finds no error of law that necessitates a new trial. As such, Defendants Motion for a New Trial is hereby **DENIED**, and the Court, pursuant to the discretion afforded by Rule 29 of the South Carolina Rules of Criminal Procedure, concludes that a hearing is not necessary to rule on Defendant's Motion for a New Trial. See Rule 29(a), SCRPC (stating a post-trial motion "may in the discretion of the court be determined on the briefs filed by the parties without oral argument").

**AND IT IS SO ORDERED!**

August 28, 2023

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 The Honorable Daniel Coble