

Roi Tan Enterprises LLC  
PLAINTIFF(S)

Akim Anastopoulo et al  
DEFENDANT(S)

**DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)**

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (*CHECK REASON*):**  Rule 12(b), SCRPC;  Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit);  Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);  
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (*CHECK REASON*):**  Rule 40(j), SCRPC;  Bankruptcy;  
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;  
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (*CHECK APPLICABLE BOX*):**  
 Affirmed;  Reversed;  Remanded;  
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

**IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:**  See attached order (formal order to follow)  Statement of Judgment by the Court:

On May 30, 2023, Judge Price conducted a hearing on Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Demand for Jury Trial and Plaintiff's Motion for Rule to Show Cause for failure of Defendants to comply with Judge Mullen's Order to Compel to provide complete information on all damages claimed in their Counterclaims. At the hearing, Judge Price provided Defendants with 15 days (until June 14, 2023) to supplement their discovery response to provide complete information on their counterclaims or their counterclaims would be stricken. Defendants submitted responses on June 14. After consideration of arguments made at the hearing and memorandum of law, the Motion to Strike Demand for Jury Trial is GRANTED and the Counter Claim is to be stricken. The Rule to Show Cause for not complying with Judge Mullen's order is Granted. Defendants are not entitled to any recovery for "relocation costs" to include their attorney fees. Further Defendants are sanctioned \$2,500 for not complying with her order.

**ORDER INFORMATION**

This order  ends  does not end the case.  See Page 2 for additional information.

**For Clerk of Court Office Use Only**

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 08/15/2023 .

**RECEIVED**  
**Sep 08 2023**  
SC Court of Appeals

**NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL**

**Court Reporter:**

**E-Filing Note:** The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

---



Charleston Common Pleas

**Case Caption:** Roi Tan Enterprises LLC VS Akim Anastopoulo , defendant, et al

**Case Number:** 2019CP1002894

**Type:** Order/Electronic Form 4

IT IS SO ORDERED!

/s Hon. Bentley D. Price, Circuit Judge 2766