

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Nathaniel Hampleton, Petitioner.

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2023-001159

ORDER

In the explanation required by Rule 243(c) of South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR), counsel stated that he is unable to set forth any arguable basis for determining that the PCR judge's partial dismissal based on the statute of limitations was improper. Although Petitioner was notified that he could file a pro se response, he did not file a response. Accordingly, Petitioner has failed to show that there is an arguable basis for asserting that the partial dismissal was improper. Accordingly, the only issue that may be raised in this matter is the PCR court's denial of Petitioner's request for belated direct appeal pursuant to *White v. State*, 263 S.C. 110, 108 S.E.2d 35 (1974).



FOR THE COURT C.J.

Columbia, South Carolina
September 28, 2023

cc: Hervery B. O. Young, Esquire
Danielle Dixon, Esquire