

# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

James Kevin Holmes, Respondent,

v.

Cynthia Elaine Collie, Petitioner.

Appellate Case No. 2023-000763

---

## ORDER



---

Petitioner has filed a document titled "Motion for Clarification and Amended Motion to Reconstruct the Record of the Impermissible Ex Parte Hearing for Which the SCCA, Without Explanation, is Unable to Locate the Missing Audio for Transcript Despite Audio For All Other Hearings On That Date."

To the extent Petitioner is requesting this Court seal the Record on Appeal, she has not identified any private or sensitive information contained in the Record on Appeal that has not been redacted and has not addressed the factors of Rule 41.1(b), SCRPC, or *Ex parte Capital U-Drive-It, Inc.*, 369 S.C. 1, 12, 630 S.E.2d 464, 470 (2006), that warrant sealing any portion of the Record on Appeal. Accordingly, we deny the request to seal the Record on Appeal in this matter.

Because this matter was concluded on August 10, 2023, and the remittitur was sent, this Court no longer has appellate jurisdiction over the matter. *Stogsdill v. S.C. Dep't of Health & Human Servs.*, 415 S.C. 568, 784 S.E.2d 669 (2016); *Wise v. S.C. Dept. of Corr.*, 372 S.C. 173, 642 S.E.2d 551 (2007). Accordingly, we deny all of Petitioner's remaining requests.

  
\_\_\_\_\_ C.J.  
  
\_\_\_\_\_ J.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
J.  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
J.  
D. Hanlin  
\_\_\_\_\_

Columbia, South Carolina  
October 3, 2023

cc:  
Barry I. Baker, Esquire  
Kyle T Varner, Esquire  
Cynthia Holmes