

OCT 05 2023

CASE NO 2023-001500

Robert Campbell

Applicant

vs.

State of South Carolina  
Respondent

S.C. SUPREME COURT

NOTICE From [September 22, 2023]

I just put my [written filing]  
in the LEE Corr. mail box dated -  
→ 9/26/2023. AND i got this response  
on the 9/27/2023. this is for the  
the new #. 2023-001500

DEAR CLERK OF COURT:

PLEASE UNDERSTAND MY ISSUES AT HAND. First thing.  
I, Robert Campbell is without a counsel and my  
Education is not that good. i do let other's inmates  
help me with this filing. BECAUSE i NO i AM NOT GUILTY  
OF ALL THESE'S CHARGES. Note; i just sent to this court  
written explanation, that will prove [sufficient facts] about  
my case. and it will show real proof of me being INNOCENCE  
to a lot of these's charges as well, i understand i have filed  
multiple post-conviction because the court keep letting the  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE (OVER RULE) ME WITH THERE (POWER  
CASE LAWS) i NO i CAN'T WIN MY CASE AGAINST THEM UNLESS  
this court. S.C. SUPREME COURT GIVE ME A CHANCE?.

DATE 9/28/2023

Robert Campbell

"But" PLEASE LET ME inform this Court [REAL SUFFICIENT FACTS] that  
I REALLY DID NOT RECEIVE A FAIR P.C.R. HEARING IN DEC. 19, 2001. -

HEARS REAL PROOF. NOTE; PLEASE REVIEW [ORDER OF DISMISSAL] THAT -  
JUDGE JOHN W. KITTREDGE (SAID) HE SIGN OFF ON IT. [FEBRUARY 15, 2002].

"but" (LISA A. SUBER) WHO IS AN EMPLOYEE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE  
STATES. I HAVE THIS DAY SERVED A COPY OF THE PROPOSED ORDER OF DISMISSAL -  
IN THE ABOVE - CAPTIONED MATTER ON THE FOLLOWING PERSON BY DEPOSITING SAME  
IN THE UNITED STATES MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID: -

SYMMES W. CULBERTSON, ESQUIRE  
CULBERTSON LAW FIRM 9 JOY STREET  
GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA 29601

DATED THIS 14<sup>th</sup> DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2002.

NOTE; [IF] LISA A. SUBER [DID] SERVED MR. SYMMES W. CULBERTSON  
A COPY OF THIS [ORDER OF DISMISSAL] ON THE (14<sup>th</sup>) DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2002.  
THIS IS WHY MR. CULBERTSON NEVER GOT A SIGN ONE?. BECAUSE -  
(HE) MR CULBERTSON SENT ME THIS UNSIGNED ORDER OF DISMISSAL ON  
[FEBRUARY 25, 2002]. AND (HE) TELLS ME. IF IT'S MY INTENT TO FILE AN  
APPEAL. LET HIM KNOW. "but" HE WAS (STILL) WAITING ON THE JUDGE TO  
SIGN OFF ON IT?. SEE MR. SYMMES W. CULBERTSON LETTER. DATED FEBRUARY 25,  
2002. AND FOR COURT RECORDS. ITS (10) DAYS AFTER JUDGE KITTREDGE SAID HE  
SIGN OFF ON IT?. ALL SO. IN THIS SAME ORDER OF DISMISSAL. THIS ORDER WAS -  
SIGN OFF ON [FALSE REASONS] - SEE PAGE (2). IT CLEARLY STATES, APPLICANT WAS  
CONVICTED OF THE OFFENSES HE CHALLENGES IN THIS APPLICATION ON -  
FEBRUARY 28, 1985. THATS FALSE. BECAUSE I JUST CAME HOME FROM [JOHN G].  
THE BOY'S HOME, REMEMBER. SOLICITOR WILKINS STATED, I CAME IN THE  
GREENVILLE CO. JAIL APRIL 13, 1985. SO THIS ORDER IS WRONG?.

AND WHEN MR. SYMMES W. CULBERTSON FINALLY RECEIVED A SIGNED ORDER.

IT WAS (16) MONTHS LATER. IN FACT. HERE IS A LETTER FROM THE -  
[SOUTH CAROLINA OFFICE OF APPELLATE DEFENSE] DATED: [JUNE 6, 2003]. -

(ELEANOR DUFFY CLEARY) WHO SENT ME CULBERTSON THIS SIGNED ORDER. JUST SO HE CAN CLOSE HIS FILE ON MY CASE. SO (HE) MR CULBERTSON NEVER GOT A SIGNED ORDER FROM THE COURT TO. SO THE LOWER COURT WAS IMPROPER IN HANDLING MY FIRST P.C.R.. IN FACT, I NEVER HAD ONE? BECAUSE IT WAS BARRIED FOR UNTIMELY FILE UNDER STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS LAW. "BUT" I COULD HAVE PROVEN MY INNOCENCE [IF] THE LOWER COURT WOULD HAVE LET ME AND MY WITNESSES TESTIFY AT THAT HEARING? NOTE, (WE) DID NOT GET THE CHANCE TO SPEAK AT THAT HEARING. PLEASE REVIEW TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING.

NOTE, EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT STATEMENT; COURT DOCUMENTS;

- ① MR. SYMMES W. CULBERTSON LETTER AND UNSIGNED ORDER - WITH [LISA A. SUBER] SWORN DUTY STATEMENT OF DATE (SHE) FILE.
- ② S.C. OFFICE OF APPELLATE DEFENSE LETTER. DATED: JUNE 6, 2003
- ③ LETTER FROM JUDGE JOHN W. KITTEDGE. HE HAD WROTE ME BACK AFTER I HAD WROTE HIM ABOUT THE UNSIGN ORDER.

\* QUESTION; DUE TO MR CULBERTSON NEVER GOT A SIGN ORDER. SO HE COULD ~~NOT~~ FILE APPEAL FOR ME. CAN I FILE A INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL AGAINST HIM?.. I CAN PROVE IT.

DATE 9/29/2023

ROBERT CAMPBELL

\* THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH CAROLINA STATES; EVERY PERSON DESERVE ONE FAIR CHANCE AT THE BITE OF THE APPLE? - "BUT" FOR ME. IN MY FIRST P.C.R. HEARING - I [DID NOT] GET A FAIR CHANCE AT THE BITE OF THE APPLE. THEY JUST DISMISS MY CASE AND CALL IT. [A UNTIMELY FILE].

NOTE; EVEN WHEN I FILE TO THIS COURT, THE SUPREME COURT OF S.C.

ON [JUVENILE RESENTENCING] - MILLER V. ALABAMA - THIS COURT APPROVE FOR ME TO JUST HAVE A HEARING? "but" JUDGE KELLY (DENIED) ME. HE STATED. S.C.D.C. - PAROLE WILL [PROBABLY] GIVE ME PAROLE?. THAT WAS IN 2016. AND I HAD DONE BEEN UP FOR PAROLE (20) TIMES THEN. AND JUST JULY OF 2023 - THIS HAS BEEN MY (27) TIMES GOING UP FOR PAROLE. AND I GOT TURN DOWN AGAIN - (27) YEARS IN A ROW? THE UNITED STATE SUPREME COURT GRANTED THIS LAW FOR JUVENILE WHO RECEIVE HARSH SENTENCE. AND I WAS (17) YEARS OLD WHEN I GOT LOCK UP. REMEMBER, I JUST CAME HOME FROM JOHN-G. SCHOOL FOR BOYS IN FEBRUARY OF 1985. WHY HAVE I BEEN TURN DOWN THIS MANY TIMES AND FOR THE SAME REASONS? JUDGE KELLY SHOULD HAVE TOOK THE LIFE SENTENCE OFF ME THEN. ACCORDING TO THE SUPREME COURT RULING: FACTS. I BEEN LOCK UP SINCE I WAS (17) YEARS OLD. AND NOW. I AM (56) NOW. AND I CAN PROVE I AM [NOT GUILTY] OF ALL THESE CHARGES. —

FACTS; [ELEANOR DUFFY CLEARY] OF THE S.C. APPELLATE DEFENSE OFFICE IS IN VIOLATION OF THIS COURT. FOR D. HE [KNEW] ABOUT THIS UNSIGNED ORDER AND HE DIDNOT MENTION IT TO THE COURT. WHEN (HE) ELEANOR D. CLEARY FILE A PETITION ON MY BEHALF. DID HE FILE ABOUT THIS - UNSIGNED ORDER?.