

RECEIVED

OCT 04 2023  
SC Court of Appeals

Oct. 1, 2023  
#No. 2023-001418

Dear Courts of Appeals,

Hi! My name is Gabriel J hegette and i'm writing you on the behalf of my appeal. The reason I want to appeal my case is because I was never suppose to been arrested my name was force into a case due to an out-of-court statement which falls under the hear-say law the person who created the statement wasn't present to testify. The state also admitted that they lost the first photo line-up and victim did pick someone out of that photo line-up. Also I went pro-se and represent myself I filled a motion of dismissal due to lack of evidence the judge I went in front of told me I shouldn't represent myself and he denied my motion without even hearing wat I had to say due to the fact I was representing myself. I also have other valid points that you also can look over I already did a paper trial because these problems have been brought to the light but I was told I couldn't do anything until the matter got solve in the lower-courts. Well I want to thank you for taken time out of your day to read my letter. Thank You!

Sincerely

Gabriel J hegette  
Gabriel hegette

RECEIVED

OCT 04 2023

SC Court of Appeals

I'm requesting for a "Motion to Suppress" evidence due to improper acts and false information. Now I understand why I can't fully Suppress (JB) and the reasons are due to lack of personal knowledge and also if JB is Jennifer Browning she was present on Body cam so for her not showing consistence in the investigation they can later bring her to trial if it makes it that far. But, I would like to point ~~of~~ out matters to suppress JB's photo line-up and they are JB didn't give a full name to state who JB is also on JB photo line-up i'm the only dark skinned male with a full-length beard everyone else is clean ~~shaven~~ <sup>Shaved</sup> and 1 guy has facial hair but he is light Brown skinned I feel as tho the photo line-up was unfair to me being that i'm the only person that is Dark skinned with a full length beard. Next I would like for my full name <sup>and (Identity)</sup> to be suppress due to how they came about it of the details from Inv. Strickland. I Gabriel Legett doesn't live at address 4000 Plowden Rd and evidence of other crimes wrongs or acts is not admissible to prove the character of a person in order to show action in conformity therewith. Now I did get question on the day of 12/20 for acts of att. Murder and I also got arrested on 12/20 but it wasn't for att. Murder it was for disorderly conduct. Inv. Hilton stayed on the scene if anyone can't point me to the crime they would have to let me go. Now on Sept 10, I went to court for the disorderly conduct and the judge

stated in the matter that took place at 4000 Plowden Rd.  
I wasn't the shooter. So explain to me how can they  
use mis leading info about a crime that I wasn't  
charge with or convicted of to led them to my  
name. Gabriel hejette off of an out of court statement  
that was brought forth from his daughter that lives in  
Texas who wasn't present <sup>at</sup> ~~at~~ the time of the crime that  
use the name Gabe I feel as tho that is very prejudice.

RECEIVED

OCT 04 2022

Dear To whom this may concern,

Sept. 23, 2022

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Hi! My name is Gabriel J. Legette and i'm writing this letter due to the issue of due process.

First, I went before Judge R. Hood and was denied bond but also in that bond hearing he told the solicitor office that they have 6 months to resolve the case and that hearing was held March 28, 2022. Now 3 1/2 months later I had a pre-trial hearing in July 18, 2022 in front of Judge J. Newmen and she heard the evidence that the state was using against me I also have that transcript to show and prove that a hearing did transpire, in that same week we went forth and picked a jury as well but never went forth with that jury selection or in front of Judge J. Newmen she step down and handed the trial over to Judge A. Lee. Now a 2nd pre-trial and 2nd jury selection took place and we move forward with a trial and 9 people said not-guilty but Judge A. Lee stated it was a mis-trial on Sept. 16, 2022 now my lawyer didn't come talk to me until Sept. 23, 2022 and she told me she was leaving the PD office now I feel as tho that will set back the deadline that Judge R. Hood present to the solicitor office and i'm just sitting here with NO BOND at all my 8<sup>th</sup> Amendment is being violated depriving me of Freedom and Liberty. I'm being house at ASG-DC there aren't any copy machines ~~so~~ so this is the 2nd hand written copy of this letter.

Sincerely: Gabriel J. Legette  
Gabriel A. Legette

Emotionally distress!!

Case: # 2101013816 Amendments of Indictments

Incident: Type shooting

Processing Location: 1707 S. Beltline Apt. 16

RICHLAND COUNTY  
FILED

2022 MAR 21 PM 3:48

JEANETTE W. HICHRIN  
C.P., C.S. & F.C.

Victims: Browning, Allen, Usher sakeema

Dear Sarah Jurick,

- I've Been Charged with 4 attempt Murders In My motion I have observed 4 ~~individuals~~ <sup>individuals</sup> Names 2 live in Apartment 14 and 2 live in Apartment 16. In My AFFIDAVIT it states that I discharge several rounds from a vehicle in apartments 14 and 16 at the incident location. Also on My 4 Attempted Murder Affidavit's it states The victim lives in apartment 14 and was present at the time. Now the 2 people who live in Apt # 14 are suppose to be Jennifer Browning and Allen Browning (victim). The other 2 names are Sakeema Usher victim and Marcus White who live in Apt # 16. Now in my motion of Discovery there is only 1 statement written (typed) by Allen Browning that states I started shooting at his Upstairs Apartment he never said it got hit or had any impact from bullets. The police report officer Wells stated that there were multiple impact points on the exterior Apt # 16. He made contact with Usher & White inside of 16. No One was struck by gunfire. So with this info alone how i'm I charge with 2 Discharging firearm in an occupied dwelling when clearly states that The suspect only struck Apartment 16. Sakeema Usher lives in Apt # 16 she never gave a statment at all ->

hat is not me!!!  
I mean by No physical evidence is there's a video stating that it is me but under (my review and my lawyer review) and the solicitor stating that due to how far the Camera is you can't make out suspects face but you know it's him by movement; now by law you have to physical see my face to say that's me or something that sticks out to prove that that's me in the video. Solicitors states that you can see smoke and Fire from Gun. In video you can't see smoke fire or Gun in Hand also they have a civilian witnesses but they state they didn't see shooting but they heard, "How much use is that". Now with 2 attempted Murder charges being drop and they occurred because of a discharging charge why isn't one of the discharging charge being drop as well because there aren't any victims being present for the state to speak on that matter wouldn't that alone fall under the "Fruit of the Poison<sup>ous</sup> Tree Clause".

I need for you to consent my Indictments due to these facts of my case i'm also still waiting for you to send me the transcript to my Prelim and when did you put in motion for me to go up for bond it has been a month from when I ask for the request of Bond Reduction Motion.

7. Hegette  
208 Bedford Way  
31a SC 29209

COLUMBIA SC 290

2 OCT 2023 PM 4 L

Thinking of You



South Carolina Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

P.O. Box 11629

OCT 04 2023

Columbia SC 29211

SC Court of Appeals

29211-162929

