

Exhibit A

Bruce Wilson
PLAINTIFF(S)

Joseph Hunter Bledsoe
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

See Page 2 for Order of the Court

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case.

See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 10/03/2023 .

Gantt Summary Court for Joseph Hunter Bledsoe
 Bruce Wilson for Bruce Wilson
 Bruce Wilson for Bruce Wilson

RECEIVED
 OCT 09 2023
 SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

This matter comes before the Court upon Wilson's appeal from the Order of Magistrate Clare T. Sims. The appeal was heard on August 28, 2023. This case has quite a tortured procedural history and previously came before this Court upon an appeal by Mr. Wilson of the Order of Magistrate Sims setting aside the jury verdict in favor of Mr. Wilson on the grounds that Mr. Wilson "failed to state specific items and/or values (§22-3-1460) for which the jury could reasonably award relief". On appeal, this Court issued an Order reinstating the jury verdict, but remanding the case back to Magistrate's Court "to hold a hearing to establish the specific items and/or value of those items to be recovered." A hearing was held on remand on June 7, 2023 where Mr. Wilson was given the opportunity to present evidence of the items to be recovered and/or their values. Magistrate Sims issued an Order on June 20, 2023 finding that Mr. Wilson failed to establish the items taken or their values. This appeal is from that Order. The Court has reviewed the return submitted by the Magistrate, the record in the case and requested and reviewed the tape recording of the hearing from June 7, 2023 attended by Mr. Wilson and Ryan McCarty, Esq. on behalf of Mr. Bledsoe.

Throughout this case, Mr. Wilson has claimed Mr. Bledsoe took a substantial amount of personal property from his house, valued at over \$7000. Although given numerous opportunities at the initial trial and the hearing on remand, Mr. Wilson has not provided any evidence to support his claim for the alleged items taken or their value. The original list attached to his complaint was not notarized. At the remand hearing on June 7, 2023, he argued his case, but failed to present any sworn testimony. Nor did Mr. Wilson provide a copy of any credit card receipts, credit card statements, store receipts, photographs, internet research, witnesses from any store, or any proof of purchase of a single item claimed. Mr. Wilson only provided a list of items showing the amount he claim he paid for each item and then reduced the amount by an arbitrary figure for depreciation, but still did not testify to the actual value of the items in question. Mr. Wilson, as the Plaintiff has the burden to prove his case by a preponderance of the evidence and to establish the items taken and/or their values, but he failed to do so. Since Mr. Wilson failed to establish the items taken or their value, then the Court has no avenue to enforce the jury's verdict or issue any judgment based on the jury verdict.

Therefore, the Court affirms the findings of the Order of Magistrate Sims issued on June 20, 2023 and Mr. Wilson's appeal and his claim are hereby dismissed.

It is so Ordered.



Greenville Common Pleas

Case Caption: Bruce Wilson VS Joseph Hunter Bledsoe

Case Number: 2023CP2303267

Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

s/ Honorable Perry H. Gravely, #2755

Electronically signed on 2023-10-03 12:40:20 page 3 of 3