

RECEIVED

Oct 17 2023

SC Court of Appeals

From: [gerard b](#)
To: [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)
Subject: Objection
Date: Tuesday, October 17, 2023 12:22:00 AM

*** **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

This letter request from Mr Merck from Oct 5th just arrived to us. It is nor postmarked and there's no evidence that he mailed it on Oct 5th. This sounds like dishonest scheme to gain time and make it extremely difficult if not impossible for us to reply. He can file and receive all communications online while we can only receive what he mails seemingly late. It is not plausible that a letter that we have only 10 days from being mailed to reply to it that it would require almost all that period by mail. It is not fair we are granted 1 business day to reply to it. We should be given at least another week to reply and Mr Merck needs to stamp and postmark all his mail. Otherwise it would be suspected as dishonesty and manipulation.

We attach a copy of the envelope.

Jirair Baghdassarian

Sent from my T-Mobile 5G Device
Get [Outlook for Android](#)