

Law Offices

HAROLD P. THRELKELD

213 East Calhoun Street
Anderson, SC 29621

Mailing Address:
P.O. Box 1385
Anderson, SC 29622-1385

June 13, 2012

Telephone: (864) 226-1305
Facsimile: (864) 226-1685
Email: hptatty@bellsouth.net

RECEIVED

JUN 15 2012

VIA EMAIL AND REGULAR MAIL: lallen@sccourts.org

Attention: Linda Allen
Honorable Daniel E. Shearouse
Clerk, SC Supreme Court
1231 Gervais Street
Columbia, SC 29201

S.C. SUPREME COURT

pm 6-13-12

Re: Robert Coake & Susan Coake, Respondents v. Kathleen Burt, n/k/a Kathleen Thomason
Appellate Case Tracking No.: 2011-188246

Dear Linda:

Reference is made to our telephone conferences of June 11th and June 12th concerning the Appendix in the above-captioned matter.


You expressed concern that the Appendix may violate the August 13, 2007 Supreme Court Order requiring that all personal data identifiers be redacted. You requested that I address that matter.

I mentioned to you in passing that the transcript of record was submitted to the Court of Appeals by the Respondents. I included the Record on Appeal in the Appendix in the same condition as it existed because I believed it was in compliance and would have been reluctant to make any changes in the Record on Appeal without proper authority. Nevertheless, I have reviewed the Appendix to determine if I could identify violations of the Order.

An objective review of the record, in my judgment, requires an understanding that this case is about improved real property located at 2208 East North Avenue, Anderson, South Carolina. That address is cited many times in the testimony and in the documents attached to the record. Prior to the trial of this case, each of the parties, at different times, resided at 2208 East North Avenue, Anderson, South Carolina. However, at the time of the trial and at all times thereafter, no party to this action resided at that address or used that address as their "home address". Since the address of the property is not the "home address" of anyone involved in this case, it would seem that inclusion of the property address in no way violates the intent or spirit of the Order.

I trust this will satisfy your inquiry, but if you have further questions or concerns about this matter, please contact me at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours,



Harold P. Threlkeld
HPT/jc

cc: Kirsten E. Small, Esquire
Nexsen Pruet, LLC