

RECEIVED

Oct 19 2023

SC Court of Appeals

The State of South Carolina
In The Court of Appeals

Appeal from Dorchester County
Hon. Heath P. Taylor, Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case 2023-000720

Case Nos. 2006-CP-18-01310
2006-CP-18-01311
2006-CP-18-01636

John Doe #53, John Doe 66, John Doe 66A, John Doe 67,
Jane Doe 1 and Jane Doe 2 and Rachel Roe, individually
and as representatives of a class of people similarly situated,
Plaintiffs,

Of whom class members Julie McDonald and
Richard McDonald are the

Appellants

v.

The Bishop of Charleston a Corporation sole, and the Bishop of
The Diocese of Charleston, in his official capacity,

Respondents

And

David K. Haller, Lawrence E. Richter, Jr., and Richter
& Haller, LLC, are

Intervenors

Motion to Extend Time or
To File Out of Time

The McDonald appellants move the court to permit them to file by October 29 a Reply
brief to a Brief from the Diocese received only today, October 19.

Yesterday, counsel inquired of Sam Sammataro about any brief from the Diocese as no brief had been received. Mr. Sammataro was kind enough to email me a brief that was apparently mailed by Mr. Dukes to counsel in August. That brief has never been received.

Ten days should be sufficient time for counsel to respond to the Brief submitted by Mr. Dukes. Counsel requests leave to make that filing by October 29.

Mr. Sammataro has been kind enough to consent to this motion.

The court's filing fee for this motion has been sent by U.S. mail.

Conclusion

An extension until October 29 should enable counsel to Reply to the Diocese brief, and we request an extension.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Gregg Meyers

Gregg Meyers, SC Bar No. 9908
114 4th Ave NW
Byron MN 55920
843-324-1589

RECEIVED

Oct 19 2023

SC Court of Appeals

The State of South Carolina
In The Court of Appeals

Appeal from Dorchester County
Hon. Heath P. Taylor, Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case 2023-000720

Case Nos. 2006-CP-18-01310
2006-CP-18-01311
2006-CP-18-01636

John Doe #53, John Doe 66, John Doe 66A, John Doe 67,
Jane Doe 1 and Jane Doe 2 and Rachel Roe, individually
and as representatives of a class of people similarly situated,
Plaintiffs,

Of whom class members Julie McDonald and
Richard McDonald are the

Appellants

v.

The Bishop of Charleston a Corporation sole, and the Bishop of
The Diocese of Charleston, in his official capacity,

Respondents

And

David K. Haller, Lawrence E. Richter, Jr., and Richter
& Haller, LLC, are

Intervenors

Proof of Service

I hereby affirm that I have served a copy of the enclosed

Appellant's Motion for Leave to File a Reply
Brief as to the Diocese by October 29

by causing a copy of each document to be sent electronically, via email, to counsel and to Mr. Sires addressed to the following email addresses:

Allen Sires, *Pro se* Class Representative
1913 Jason Street
North Charleston, S.C. 29406
allensires@icould.com

Richard S. Dukes
Counsel for Respondent Bishop of Charleston
Turner Padgett Graham & Laney, PA
PO Box 22129
Charleston, SC 29413-2129
RDukes@turnerpadgett.com
SSammartaro@Turnerpadgett.com

Ben Bruner
Chelsea Clark
Counsel for class counsel Lawrence Richter and
Richter & Haller, LLC
Bruner Powell Wall & Mullins LLC
PO Box 61110
Columbia, SC 29260-1110
BBruner@brunerpowell.com
Cclark@brunerpowell.com

David Haller
Haller Law Firm
604 Savannah Highway
Charleston SC 29407
dhaller@hallerlawfirm.com

John Cuttino
jcuttino@gwblawfirm.com

Done October 19, 2023.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Gregg Meyers
Gregg Meyers, SC Bar No. 9908
114 4th Ave NW
Byron MN 55920
843-324-1589
attygm@gmail.com

