

Rishawn Reeder #316370
McCormick, C. Jr.
F4A-171
386 Redemption Way
McCormick, S.C. 29899



The Supreme Court of South Carolina
Clerk of Court
P.O. Box 11330
Columbia, SC 29211

MAIL ROOM
KCP
NOV 20 2023

RECEIVED

RECEIVED
NOV 27 2023
S.C. SUPREME COURT

RECEIVED

NOV 27 2023

Rishaw Reeder #316370

McCormick C.I.

386 Redemption Way

McCormick, SC 29899

S.C. SUPREME COURT

November 19, 2023

The Supreme Court of South Carolina
Clerk of Court
P.O. Box 11330
Columbia, SC 29211

Dear Honorable Clerk of Court,

I am writing to get an understanding whether Division of Appellate Defense is representing me as I am indigent and seeking assistance of counsel to pursue appellate review of the Feb. 16, 2023 PCR hearing I was denied relief. I was forced to represent myself as several counsels were refusing to file a Rule 37 fail to comply motion because the opposing party failed to hand over entirety of case file after the Court ordered them to do so. I am mentally ill and I am suffering alot of mental issues from being innocent and government officials are trying to obstruct justice as I am an innocent man framed due to Loria Williams misconduct and corruption, and I need counsel to assist me with getting my issues addressed.

I want to make it clear for the record that as I seek counsel to represent me it still remains that counsel is to represent my decisions I wish to make. I am making it known the issues I desire to raise for appellate review that I raised at the PCR hearing which are:

- (1) Denial of Due Process of Law
- (2) Denial of Due Process of Law

Supporting Facts:

- (1) Loria Williams knowingly and intentionally committed perjury by providing false information to the Courts to obtain arrest warrants
- (2) Trial counsel failed to present my photo identification lineup that Loria Williams personally presented to victim/eyewitness Dwight Geter to identify the unknown black male he saw shoot

at them

These 2 issues I raised also in my motion to amend original PCR application that was filed with the Spartanburg County Clerk of Court on September 27, 2022. Due to the PCR Order of dismissal being inadequate and prepared by the assistant attorney general Chelsey Marto the opposing party, it failed to specifically address the 2 issues I duly raised at the PCR hearing violating SC Code of Law §17-27-80, Rule 52(a) SCRPC, and Fishburne v. State, 832 S.E.2d 584. This misconduct also is perjury that violates SC Code of Law §16-9-10(A)(2), "It is unlawful for a person to wilfully give false, misleading, or incomplete information on a document, record, report, or form required by the laws of this State." I even filed a rule 59(e) motion as fishburne v. State shows this Honorable Court instructed to be done. Yet, Judge Brian Gibbons ignored my motion to have the perjury corrected. I notice this misconduct is obstruction of justice, perjury, miscarriage of justice, denial of a fair proceeding, prejudicial administration of justice, denial of due process of law, and denial of equal protection of the laws against me an innocent man as corruption government officials are trying to cover up the misconduct Lorin Williams did to me.

So I want to make it known to the Courts that it is my decision to additionally raise for this Honorable Court to remand my case back to the lower court to have a proper ruling on my 2 issues I raise that the inadequate PCR Order did not make a specific findings of facts and conclusions of law relating to each issue presented which are:(1) Denied Due process of law by Lorin Williams knowingly and intentionally committed perjury by providing false information to the Courts to obtain arrest warrants; (2) Denied Due process of law by trial counsel failed to present my photo identification lineup that Lorin Williams personally presented to victim/eyewitness Dwight Geter to identify the unknown black male he saw shoot at them.

Also I want to make it known to the Courts that it is my decision to additionally raise for this Honorable Court to address whether by opposing party preparing PCR Order violates the Separation of Powers of the Constitution and State v. Langford, 735 S.E.2d 471, by vesting an executive member to perform

an inherently judicial function unquestionably is a violation of separation of powers. Now I do not know if this Court has address specifically PCR Orders prepared by attorney general the opposing party yet it has ruled on executive members shall not perform an inherently judicial function because it unquestionably is a violation of separation of powers. Being that PCR Orders are Court's decisions that is to be done by the judicial branch it is unfair, prejudicial, and unconstitutional. I want to address it on appellate review this is my decision and I want it known for the record so that it is made known to the counsel who will be appointed to represent me.

If there seems to be an issue with my appointed lawyer disagrees with my decisions of addressing all I have entailed in this letter then that is grounds for that lawyer to be removed as my appointed counsel as Rule 1.16 of SC Rules of Professional Conduct states. I just want a fair opportunity at justice and there seems to be too many government officials trying to cover up the misconduct, perjury, miscarriage of justice, denial of due process of law, and denial of equal protection of the laws done to me. It is ashame that there is obstruction of justice going on to deny me relief after it is clear that Lorin Williams committed perjury to frame me an innocent person after Lorin Williams personally spoke with victim/eyewitness Dwight Geter who exonerated me from being the shooter in a photo identification lineup about who was the black male he saw shoot at them, Lorin Williams omitted this information in the warrants affidavit to Judge James Talley falsely swore under oath I was the shooter providing false information. Lorin Williams was fired from the Spartanburg County Sheriff's office for providing false information to get warrants and is the reason my case is back before the Courts. The documents do not lie I was framed by Lorin Williams the warrants are fraud documents I should of never been charged I deserve relief.

Respectfully submitted,

Rishawn Reeder
Rishawn Reeder