

John Alexander
PLAINTIFF(S)

William Glenn Yarborough, III et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED** (*CHECK REASON*): Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN** (*CHECK REASON*): Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT** (*CHECK APPLICABLE BOX*):
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

Please see page two below.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 10/27/2023 .

John Alexander for John Alexander
John Alexander for John Alexander

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion To Alter Or Amend Judgment Rule 59(e), SCRCP and Plaintiff's Motion For Fact Finding And Conclusion Of Law Rule 52(b), SCRCP. Based on a review of the file and submissions of the parties, Plaintiff's Motion To Alter Or Amend Judgment Rule 59(e) is hereby DENIED.

As to Plaintiff's Motion For Fact Finding And Conclusion Of Law Rule 52(b), SCRCP, Plaintiff's motion is hereby DENIED. The Court did make findings of fact and law and stated those findings in its Order Granting Defendants' Motion To Dismiss.

It is so ordered



Greenville Common Pleas

Case Caption: John Alexander vs. William Glenn Yarborough III , defendant, et al

Case Number: 2023CP2301388

Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

G.D. Morgan Jr.