

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
COUNTY OF SPARTANBURG)

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Erin Burns Anderson,)

Plaintiff,)

-vs-)

Rudy Lamar Pearson,)

Defendant(s).)

FINAL
ORDER REGARDING MOTION
FOR RECONSIDERATION

Case No.: 2018-CP-42-01222

RECEIVED

DEC 11 2023

SC Court of Appeals

A Rule 59(e) SCRCP motion to reconsider and to alter or amend has been received from the Plaintiff, filed with the Court, and properly served on The Court per Rule 59(g) SCRCP. As previously explained to Counsel, pursuant to Rule 59(f), SCRCP, the Court, in its discretion, may decide the motion based on briefs without oral argument. The Court indicated to the parties by prior order that it would decide the issue on written submissions. Further, the Court informed the parties that written submissions were not required by the non-moving party, and that the moving party could rely upon its filings or supplement. The deadline to file written submissions regarding these motions has now passed.

After careful consideration of the able arguments and filings of Counsel and review of the record, the Court is unable to discover any material fact or principle of law that either has been overlooked or disregarded and further finds no error of law or fact not appropriately considered. Accordingly, the Motion for Reconsideration made pursuant to Rule 59, SCRCP, 1 is DENIED.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

December 4, 2023



Spartanburg Common Pleas

Case Caption: Erin Burns Anderson VS Rudy Lamar Pearson

Case Number: 2018CP4201222

Type: Master/Order/Other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Shannon M. Phillips - 3087