

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

RECEIVED

JAN 02 2024

Zaatnure Xi-Amaru

Petitioner

Appellate Case No. 2023-001935

Vs

Motion to Reconsider Petitioner

Writ of Certiorari

City of Greenwood

Respondent

S.C. SUPREME COURT

I Zaatnure Xi-Amaru born March 1st 1983 in Aboriginal Region 1 North East Wabanaki (misnomer Queens New York) is a Age of Maturity Mentally Competent and have personal knowledge of the facts herein and do swear under the penalties of perjury that the forgoing is true and correct under the Xi-Amaru Constitution the United States Constitution and any applicable jurisdiction

The Petitioner Plebiscite of the The Xi-Amaru Tribal Government also Known as Aboriginal Republic Of North America ARNA was formally recognized by the United States Department of State on March 6th 2006. We received the formal witnessing signature of the Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice [Executive Branch] and the witnessing seal of the United States federal Government [form 06013144-1] based on Article 4 [Authentication] & Article 6 [Supremacy Clause] of the United States Constitution, both Articles being based on International Law in full accordance with CFR 22 131.1 & 131.2 and in accordance with USC 4 Section 42.

Facts

Due Process Violations against the Petitioner Concerning South Carolina court of Appeals Remittitur

1.) The Petitioner request that this court reconsider the Petitioner Writ of Certiorari due to the Following facts

A,) That in this Court order dated December 19th 2023 the reason this court refuse to hear the Petitioner writ of Certiorari is that the South Carolina Court of Appeals sent a Remittitur in this case on the date of June 12th 2023.

The South Carolina appeals Court never sent the Petitioner this Remittitur in which this court give reason to deny the Petitioner Writ of certiorari, Indeed the Petitioner still as to date have not revived this Remittitur, since the Petitioner paid the South Carolina Court of appeals in full all the fees they requested this is a Violation of the Petitioner Fifth and fourteen Amendment of the United States “no one shall deprived of Life Liberty or property without due process of the law”...

The Petition never had a chance to defend himself against the South Carolina court of Appeals Remittitur which this court used as reason to deny the Petitioner writ of certiorari.

Six Amendment Violations Concerning South Carolina Court of Appeals Remittitur

- 2.) The Petitioner Six Amendment Constitution of the United States rights is being Violated by South Carolina Court of Appeals as the Six Amendment states “In all Criminal Prosecutions the accused shall enjoy the right which district have been previously ascertained by Law

The Petitioner adamantly proclaim that Greenwood County have no Jurisdiction over the Petitioner, Greenwood County Do not have Subject Matter or Personal Jurisdiction over the Petitioner, the Prosecutor, Judge, Jury and arresting officers have a Completely different citizenship and Nationality than the Petitioner which subsequently arise a complete diversity of citizenship. The Petitioner Appeal to South Carolina Court of Appeals was a matter of courtesy but the same Complete Diversity of citizenship applies to South Carolina Court of Appeals. South Carolina State which is not a sovereign entity did not have Jurisdiction over the Petitioner who is a Non-Citizen Non-Resident until a Final answer was given by the United States Supreme Court to the Petitioner on November 21st 2023 to Appeal to the highest Court of the State. The Petitioner take the United States Supreme Court answer on November 21st 2023 as yet another courtesy given to the States of South Carolina to correct the Injustice imposed on the Petitioner Indigenous Person and Property.

South Carolina Supreme Court order dated December 19th 2023 violates the Petitioner Constitutional rights under the First amendment of the United States which states “Congress shall make no Law prohibiting the free exercise thereof or abridge the freedom to Petition the Government for a redress of Grievances

The Petitioner Did Serve in a timely Manner

3.) In the Supreme Court of South Carolina order dated December 19th 2023 This court claimed that the Petitioner Failed to serve in a timely Manner which is completely false.

On the date of April 21st 2023 the Petitioner Received an Order from the South Carolina Court of Appeals dated April 21st 2023

On the Date of July 21st 2023 the Petitioner Filed a writ of Certiorari with the United States Supreme Court and Paid his \$300 filing fee, in which the Petitioner had ninety days to file.

According to McGrit Vs Oklahoma “The Federal Government-not the State- has Jurisdiction over crimes committed by Native Americans”..

On The Date of November 21st 2023 the Petitioner received a response from The United States Supreme Court which advised the Petitioner to Appeal to the highest Court in the State.

On the date of December 15th 2023 the Petitioner sent a Writ of Certiorari to South Carolina Supreme Court with a \$250.00 Money order

On the Date of December 19th 2023 the Petitioner received a order from The South Carolina Supreme Court who returned the Petitioner Filing fee of \$250.00 claiming the Petitioner failed to serve in a timely manner

Such actions as to Deny the Petitioner Indigenous Person freedom to Petition the Government for a redress of Grievances violates the Petitioner First Amendment Right..

United States Constitution Eight Amendment Nor Cruel and Unusual Punishment Inflicted; Congress Shall Make no Law Prohibiting the Free Exercise the Right of the People to peaceably assemble;

The Violation of the Petitioner Eight and First Amendment rights by Greenwood County Officially Makes the Petitioner a Prisoner

- 4.) Greenwood County is Prohibiting the Petitioner the right to Travel unless the Petitioner Indigenous Person conform to contract in a manner European settlers now calling themselves Americans acting under the color of authority dictate which is Cruel and unusual punishment, deprivation of the Petitioner right to assemble which officially make the Petitioner a Prisoner according to Thompson V. Smith 154 SE 579 and Kent Vs Dulles US 116, 125

“the right to travel on public highways and to transport one’s property Theron either by carriage or automobile is not a mere privilege that the city may prohibit or admit at will but a common right which he/she has under the right of life, liberty and the pursuit of happines”

(See Denationalization- The Political act of an Agency and its Agents or political body and its officers of forcefully removing another from their political status in violation of their natural or human rights.)

Failure of this Court to address the Petitioner writ of Certiorari will insure the Petitioner continued unlawful incarceration.

The Supreme Court of South Carolina Erred in its Order dated December 19, 2023

- 5.) The Supreme Court of South Carolina in its Order dated December 19th 2023 stated “This Court will Take no action on the Petition for a writ of Certiorari Filed July 1st 2021.

This Date of July 1st 2021 is totally inaccurate Please relate to the timeline the Petitioner address herein at Number 3 entitled “The Petitioner Did Serve in a timely Manner.”

The Case Law Quoted in The Supreme Court Order Is Not Applicable to The Petitioner Indigenous Person and Case/ Circumstances herein

- 6.) The Supreme Court reason to deny the Petitioner Writ of Certiorari was Stogsdill vs S.C Department of Health 415 S.C 568, 784 S.E 2d 669 (2016) and Wise Vs S.C Department of Corrections 372 S.C 173, 642 S.E 2d 551 (2007)

These cases do not apply to the Petitioner Indigenous person as All participants in the Aforementioned case has a Completely different Nationality and Citizenship than the Petitioner. The Petitioner is a Inhabitant Non-Resident

Greenwood County is Denying the right for the petitioner to assemble which makes it impossible for the Petitioner to Petition the Government for a redress of Grievances as there is no public transportation in South Carolina which makes the Petitioner incarceration worse than a prisoner at the Department of Corrections who as access to free Legal mail Service.

The Petitioner appeals was based off his Political Status as an Aboriginal American indigenous Inhabitant while the Aforementioned case law was concerning residents who had access to mail services

Due to the Unlawfulness of Greenwood County The Petitioner has been a Prisoner Since May 17th 2022

Underdeveloped Third World State of South Carolina

- 7.) Concerning the Petitioner rights to Assemble and Petition to Government for Grievances and Redress

Do South Carolina Supreme Court Expect the Petitioner to Walk where there's no Sidewalks to Make timely filings with this court risking like and limb to do so? What will happen when one of South Carolina European Settlers in Police Uniform run the Petitioner over and call it an Accident? All he will get is a medal.

On the date of July 7th 2022 civil case number 2022CV2410101329 In Greenwood Court at Zaat vs Hailey, Judge Belinda ordered the Appellant Vehicle BMW X5 be returned to the Appellant

On the date of November 17th 2022 I Zaat and Hailey arrived at the Department of Motor Vehicles in Blythewood South Carolina located at 10311 Wilson Blvd C 29016 so that Zaat can take Hailey name off the title as the Appellant Indigenous estate is the lean Holder. After all the paperwork was filled out and the Appellant was going to get his Vehicle back, (Same Vehicle listed in assets of Debtor Bankruptcy) The department of motor vehicles received a call from the commissioner who told them to not process the appellant application until the appellant register his Business with the state...

This is Denationalization, black male, extortion and racketeering
The Appellant Still did not receive his vehicle as of yet.


Furthermore, the Petitioner is Resubmitting the Filing fee of \$250.00 for Writ of Certiorari and an Additional \$50.00 for this Motion Herein

Remedy and Relief requested

That the Petitioner Writ of Certiorari is not stricken

That the Petitioner Writ of Certiorari is not Dismissed

That the Petitioner Writ of Certiorari be accepted by this Court



Zaatnure Xi-Amaru

Petitioner In Person

Zaatnurexiamaru@gmail.com

646-387-9205

Aboriginal Region 3 Southeast Yamasih

P.O. Box 1601

Clinton South Carolina 29325

Dated December 29th 2023