

From: [Transcripts](#)
To: [Mary Griffith](#); [Transcripts](#)
Cc: [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)
Subject: RE: Transcript order for Case #2022-CP-100-3246
Date: Wednesday, January 3, 2024 1:57:01 PM

Good afternoon.

Your transcript request has been received by our office. You should receive communication from a transcriptionist within 5 days with an estimated cost for production.

Thank you.

Court Reporter Section

From: Mary Griffith <violetvirginmary@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, December 29, 2023 7:19 PM
To: Transcripts <transcripts@sccourts.org>
Cc: Court Of Appeals Filings <ctappfilings@sccourts.org>
Subject: Re: Transcript order for Case #2022-CP-100-3246

***** EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

Attached please find my updated transcript request. I'll need to know the cost, please.

Sorry for the delay in sending this. I'm just recovering from COVID.

Thanks in advance,
Mary Griffith
843-922-5840

On Wednesday, November 29, 2023, Transcripts <transcripts@sccourts.org> wrote:

Yes, you would need to send a new request.
Thank you

From: Mary Griffith <violetvirginmary@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2023 11:33 AM
To: Transcripts <transcripts@sccourts.org>
Cc: Court Of Appeals Filings <ctappfilings@sccourts.org>
Subject: Re: Transcript order for Case #2022-CP-100-3246

***** EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

Good morning again.

The backup non-jury roster the 10/9/23 dismissal Order mentions the case having earlier appeared on is listed as being the week of September 25, 2023, with the hearing reported to have occurred on September 29, 2023. I'm not sure there was even a hearing to cause the 10/9/23 dismissal.

Now that issue has happened, though, I'd like the prior transcript. Do I need to send a separate form for that one?

Thank you!
Mary

On Wednesday, November 29, 2023, Mary Griffith <violetvirginmary@gmail.com> wrote:

The final order references an earlier hearing for which I wasn't served as being the alleged cause for the 10/9/23 dismissal, but the dismissal date looks correct. The file stamp says October the 9th, as did the online docket I checked. It says it was placed on a backup non-jury docket of some kind and dismissed because of the earlier hearing for which I never received service. Do you want to look into that earlier September 2023 one? Proofs were filed for service on that one, but from another action which included parties that were not my opponent or me.

Thanks,
Mary

On Tuesday, November 28, 2023, Transcripts <transcripts@sccourts.org> wrote:

Good afternoon,
We have searched for the hearing on October 9, 2023 with Judge Jefferson and your hearing is not on the recording. Could this hearing have taken place in front of another judge or on another date? Please let us know as soon as possible.

Thank you,
Court Reporter Section

From: Mary Griffith <violetvirginmary@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 28, 2023 3:54 PM
To: Transcripts <transcripts@sccourts.org>
Cc: Supreme Court Filings <supctfilings@sccourts.org>
Subject: Transcript order for Case #2022-CP-100-3246

***** EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

To Whom it Concerns:

Attached please find my request for the October 9, 2023 hearing transcript relative to this action.

Thank you in advance for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Mary Griffith

(678) 922-5840

~~~ CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ~~~ This message is intended only for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, copy, retain, or disseminate this message or any attachment. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies of the message and any attachments.