

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF RICHLAND

Terrance Adams #229165,
Appellant,

v.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,

IN THE COURT OF SUPREME COURT
in S.C. SUPREME COURT

APPELLATE Case No. 2023-001292

RULE 243 (C), SCACR.

This matter comes before the court by way of a Rule 243 (C) providing an explanation as to why the determination by the lower court was improper.

1. That the Appellant have right to appeal as provided by law, a judgment from any final judgment, orders or decision. See, Rule 201, SCACR. In all trial Rule 43 (a), SCRPC, applies the testimony of witnesses in any case shall be orally in open court. Appellant have proof he's the victim and witness of a crime of violence under S.C. Code Ann. § 16-1-60 as enumerated in section 16-10-311 (B).
2. Appellant is competent to show inadmissible evidence is not admissible under this contract Burglary First Degree. The court may exclude evidence on the grounds of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, misleading the jury or other reasons in Rule 403, SCRE.
3. An trial attorney is permitted trial experience pursuant to Rule 403 and Rule 421, SCACR. See, S.C. Code

RECEIVED

JAN 10 2024

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Ann. § 17-27-160 (B) (E)

4. In the daylight hours on April 19, MAY 12, 2005 Appellant was Arrested by a Statement describing or describing the event Perceive with Declarant's. The statement by witness Shawnetta Belton in Case #1972162, witness Charles Nottingham in Case #1971825, witness Deborah Coffey #1971883, witness Coquitta Drayton in Case #1971837, witness Lee Chung #1971836, witness Dorothy Nelson in Case #1971881 Specific state the Appellant was seen by the witnesses and positively Identified him leaving the scene carry the stolen property from this and five other burglarized homes. See, words in Affidavit signed by Declarant. In Criminal Procedure reverse Jencks material is a discoverable statements including a signed or adopted written statement, and transcripts or recordings of the witnesses oral statements including grand jury testimony. U.S. Nobles, 422 U.S. 225, 231-34, 95 S.Ct. 2160-68 Fed. R. CrimP. 26.2

In the Preliminary hearing Declarant give testimony no statement exists to test of proof on June 23, 2005 Rule 2 (C) SCR CrimP. is specific if there be a lack of Probable Cause the Appellant shall be discharged. Trial Counsel was ineffective in Failure to request hereafter, the declarant explain the Lab technician are testing prints at the scene. That statement was unfair prejudice. After hearing this counsel should have at least request for continuance to determined whether prints from Appellant found. The Magistrate bound him over because of evidence in Electronic System. See, Rule 403, SCRE

5. At Trial, January 30 - February 2005 the Lower Court error in believing the object he ruled admissible is actually inadmissible evidence to prove Specific Fact in Section 16-11-31(B), A statement which was at the time of its making so far contrary to the declarant's pecuniary or proprietary interest, or to render invalid claim by the declarant against other than a reasonable person in the declarant's position would not have made statement under then existing state of mind affect physical condition such as Intent, motive, Plan/design, mental, feeling, pain, and bodily health. Rule 803 (3)
6. Appellant Point to Proof that he have a Cervical Artery Dissection Condition. Rule 803 (3) (4) SLRE
7. Appellant Point to Proof the statement in Life without Parole Notice filed January 9th, 2006 is ineffective, Irrelevant, Inadmissible, Immaterial evidence. See, 43 (a) SCRCP.
8. Appellant Point to Proof the Transcript of Records is very much False Misrepresentation. Rule 8.4 (d). SCACR.
9. Appellant Point to Proof that the entire Transcript of Record is Misrepresentation of Fact, Rule 8.4. (d) SCACR.
10. Appellant Point to Proof that the stipulation in the Transcript of Record show the Parties in agreement with statement in Document But only deceased Judge Johnson and deceased Clerk of Court signed it. Rule 43 (k) SCRCP.
11. Appellant Point to Proof that the Lower Court error in agreeing with two convictions (s) not named in statements of document. Rule 803 (14) (15) (16)
12. Appellant Point to Proof in the matter regarding Potential Sentence A request for new trial Tr. 312 Ln. 21-25 should have been on grounds of unfair prejudice. The two convictions are not in Notice for ~~trial~~ strike. Tr. 313 Ln. 14-16

13. Appellant Point to Proof that he have statements in document showing declarant Physically assaulted him along with others, An EMS have to transport him Richland Memorial Hospital for treatment in name Jason Allen RO5090365 Supplemental Report case Number #5041831-19
14. Appellant Point to Proof that trial Judge accepted two statements in document agree by both Attorney to make Burglary First Degree Tr. P. 12. Tr. P. 298, 299
15. Appellant Point to Proof his F. Form sentence sheet is binding between the Judge and clerk of court
16. Appellant Point to Proof both Attorney's of Record fail to signed F. Form sentence sheet contract on February 1, 2006 Rule 11 (c) SCRCP
17. Appellant Point to Proof the trial Court put on the Record There is no ~~Direct~~ Evidence of anyone actually seeing anyone going into Homes. Tr. P. 248 Ln. 4-14 ~~Rule~~ 50(b) SCRCP
18. Appellant Point to Proof the statement in document #1993-GS-40-9530 He was trial by petit juror on July 10-12, 1995. The record show error.
19. Appellant Point to Proof the statements in documents show Foreman of Grand Jury name Willie Johnson. see, All 1993 documents Appellant was ~~not~~ notified process. see, Rule 3. SCR crim P. and Rule 901 (b) (9) (10)

By Terrance Adams

EVANS
6010 Hwy West
Bennettsville, SC 29512

Dated 3 JANUARY 2024

Terrance Adams #229165
EVAWS
616 HWY 9 west
Bennettsville, SC 29812

COLUMBIA SC 290

8 JAN 2024 PM 2 L



US POSTAGE™PITNEY BOWES



ZIP 29512 \$ 000.63⁰
02 4W
0000378442 JAN 05 2024

RECEIVED

JAN 10 2024

S.C. SUPREME COURT

The SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
Post Office Box 11330
COLUMBIA SOUTH CAROLINA 29211-1330

6070

JAN 08 2024

MAIL ROOM

29211-133030

