RECEIVED
FEB 06 2024
S.C. SUPREME COURT

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE SUPREME COURT

JOHN ALLEN HAGOOD
Appellant,

v,

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
Respondent,

MOTION TO FILED AND PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS IN PER-PAYMENT OFCOST WITHOUT COST UNDER RULE 18.5

IN conjunction, the south carolina supreme court has held that hi financial inability to pay tha cost of his motion the petitioner support in U.S. SUPREME COURT has held that fundament fairness entitles petitioner to adnavate opportanity to present their claims fairly within the adversary systrem AKA V. OAKLOHAM 470 u.s. 68(1985).

(1)petitioner, then the process becames invidiously discrimatory and violative of equal protection exparte lexington county, v State 442, S.E.2d 589,594 (1994) 17a27-60 cody (1985).

(2) Under Rule 18.5 filing fee Lake v. state

IN Reliance upon the above court decisions petitioner moves this Honorable court to grant his motion of appeal, and to proceed in forma pauperis without pee-payment of cost to his illegal life sentence and conviction.

data 2-1-24 2024.

John allen Hagood
John allen hagood#123067