

**THOMPSON
& HENRY, P.A.**

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1300 SECOND AVENUE, THIRD FLOOR
TELEPHONE

JOHN P. HENRY
PHenry@THOMPSONLAW.COM

POST OFFICE BOX 1740
CONWAY, SOUTH CAROLINA 29528

(843) 248-5741
FAX
(843) 248-6396

July 31, 2013 **RECEIVED**

AUG 05 2013

S.C. SUPREME COURT

The Honorable Daniel E. Shearouse
Clerk of Court
South Carolina Supreme Court
Post Office Box 11330
Columbia, SC 29211

RE: *Riverwalk at Arrowhead Country Club Property Owners' Association, Inc.*
v. Heritage Communities, Inc., et al.
Civil Action No.: 2003-CP-26-7169
SC Court of Appeals Case No.: 2009126826
SC Supreme Court Case No.: 2012-206066

Dear Mr. Shearouse:

I am in receipt of a copy of a letter from Mr. C. Mitchell Brown, attorney for the Appellants in the above captioned action. Under cover of his letter, Mr. Brown forwards to you pursuant to Rule 208(B)(7) of the South Carolina Appellant Court Rules two (2) articles designated as "pertinent and significant authorities". The authorities are sent in support of the grounds for certiorari raised in Petitioners' Petition for Writ of Certiorari regarding amalgamation on pp. 5-10 and the Petitioners' Reply in Support of Certiorari at pp. 2-5.

In the spirit of full disclosure, I would point out to the Court that the first article is written by Mr. Andrew Cole, Esquire. Mr. Cole represented the same insurance carriers and closely related principals that Mr. Brown represents in re the Writ of Certiorari to which he sends these articles in support of. In Mr. Cole's litigation, the Court also found that these principals were amalgamated.

The second article sent to the Court by Mr. Brown is written by Phillips L. McWilliams. The publication date of this article is stated as the "Summer 2013". Again, in the spirit of full disclosure, Mr. Phillips L. McWilliams is a summer associate at Nelson Mullins and according to his website still continues in that capacity at the writing of this letter.

On behalf of the Respondent, Riverwalk at Arrowhead Country Club Property

The Honorable Daniel E. Shearouse

July 31, 2013


Page 2

Owners' Association, Inc., it is the Respondents position that these articles constitute Amicus Briefs and should not be considered. In addition, these articles do not constitute "pertinent and significant authorities" under Rule 208(B)(7) SCACR. "Amalgamation" is firmly imbedded in South Carolina law and is a totally different theory than piercing the corporate veil. Amalgamation is applied when two or more corporations combine to injure the Plaintiff, and it is impossible to determine which corporation performed the wrongful act(s).

With kindest personal regards, I am

Yours very truly,

THOMPSON & HENRY, P.A.


John P. Henry


JPH/sbh

cc: Steven L. Brown, Esquire
C. Mitchell Brown, Esquire

THOMPSON & HENRY, P.A.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
POST OFFICE BOX 1740
CONWAY, SOUTH CAROLINA 29528



02 1M
\$ 00.46⁰
0004273930 AUG02 2013
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 29526



The Honorable Daniel E. Shearouse
Clerk of Court
South Carolina Supreme Court
Post Office Box 11330
Columbia, SC 29211

29211133030

