

RECEIVED

Feb 15 2024

SC Court of Appeals

**From:** [Sherman Smith](#)  
**To:** [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)  
**Subject:** CASE # 2023-001826  
**Date:** Thursday, February 15, 2024 4:56:17 AM

---

**\*\*\* EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. **\*\*\***

to the appellate courts;

I Sherman Smith received communication to which I need clarification on the matters of fact

"Dear Mr. Smith:

We received your petition for rehearing dated February 5, 2024. Pursuant to Rule 221(c) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR), "The appellate court will not entertain petitions for rehearing on a motion or petition unless the action of the court on the motion or petition has the effect of dismissing or finally deciding a party's appeal." Therefore, your petition for rehearing will not be considered by this Court."

showing me the law to which the courts are obligated to enforce as if it doesn't apply here is confusing to me. rehearing "the motion to show cause" to which FREEDOM MORTGAGE CORPORATION did not comply with in the time required (which is contempt of court) would prove that FREEDOM MORTGAGE does not have the authority to foreclose upon my private property without a perfected lien. it would also show that we do not have a lawful contract. it would show evidence of racketeering practices. the courts would have to dismiss this fraudulent claim/case of none payment because I and I alone own the property. The un rebutted affidavit shows proof of communication between FREEDOM MORTGAGE and myself that I have not refused to pay any debt I am lawfully obligated to. They are claiming I owe them a debt, I simply asked them to prove it on paper & they have refused. Which means they are obligated to discharge the alleged debt.

Furthermore, there has been no money in this country since 1933, I can not pay off a debt with more debt. uniform commercial code 9 (102)(8) #65- there is no obligation to pay back on a promissory note. title 42 usc 408- there is no legal money in this country. title 12 usc 1431- banks can not lend their own stock/money.

"The appellate

court will not entertain petitions for rehearing on a motion or petition unless the action of the court on the motion or petition has the effect of dismissing or finally deciding a party's appeal."

the effect will most certainly dismiss & finally decide the appeal in my favor with remedy.

unless you are admitting to some other jurisdiction(of which I do not recognize,understand,consent to or agree with), which would mean you are a foreign entity operating on my land & have no sovereignty to practice law. you would then need to prove you are in fact a judge and not violating the oath of office you all took to obtain the titles you hold.

I will not fold, I will not break,I will not stop until you do right by me. Did you know the public officials have bonds that are insured? Once you violate your oath of office by refusing to uphold the constitution, we the people get to file claims against said bonds. Once that insurance corporation has to pay what they will have to pay me, they take your license. no more practicing law for whoever decides they are bigger than the constitution.

so please explain to me in great detail why this case has not been dismissed in my favor?

