

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF RICHLAND

Terrence Adams #229165,  
Appellant,

v.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE SOUTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT

Appellant Case No.

RULE 243 (C) SLACK

RECEIVED

FEB 28 2024

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Why the Court explain to Appellant why his testimony can't be heard in open court? Is it Constitutional Law that the Appellant has a due process right to be present (see transcript of record and sentence sheet) At his sentencing, trial of sentencing is a critical stage of a criminal case which is reserved in Rule 32 (2) (i) (4) (A) (ii) Fed. R. Crim. P. A denial of the court failure to address the Appellant personally in order to permit him to present DNA evidence including testimony after a conviction to prove that he is innocent and was wrongfully convicted pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 17-23-60, S.C. CONST. Art. 1 § 14; U.S.C.A. CONST. Amend. 5, 6 And Fed. R. Crim. P. 43 (2) (3).

Since his existence on earth, Appellant have never confessed to a violent crime, Appellant have never plead in open court to a violent crime, Appellant have never had a judge orally pronouncement on any prior conviction, or subsequently sentence that is filed in the courthouse. Appellant is under an unconstitutional conviction or unconstitutional sentence Appellant did not break in, or burglarized any witness homes reported in Affidavit AW# 1972162, 1971883, 1971881, 1971837, 1971836, 1971825 unless the court ruling on the evidence was proper for a crime of violence under S.C. Code Ann. § 16-11-311 (A) (1) (2) (3) or (B) A witness statement is not a factor? An eyewitness statement is not a factor? A witness statement is not a factor? time is not a factor? A victim-witness statement is not a factor?

If, none of the above is not a factor, than will you explain what witness statement or what witness testimony is the courts depending on for a lawful conviction or sentence under Section 16-11-311 (B)? The Appellant explanation is "proof". So, why is he being deny relief from this violent crime because the facts and evidence do not support the state theory. Whether it be exculpatory scientific evidence, trustworthy eyewitness accounts, or critical physical evidence that was not presented at trial. The three witness that signed the 2005's Affidavit statement.

Dated: 22 February 2024

Terrence Adams #229165

EVANS

610 Hwy 9 West  
Bennettsville, SC 29812