

RECEIVED

FEB 28 2024

S.C. SUPREME COURT

DEAR CLERK,

THE FOLLOWING EXHIBITS PRODUCED IS FOR APPELLANT CASE NO.: 2021-000990 AND 2023-000732. THE PETITIONER WILL SEND (EXHIBIT E; 1-20) ONCE HE IS GIVEN OPPORTUNITY TO GET COPIES.

ALSO, THE FOLLOWING EXHIBITS SHALL BE REFERED TO WHEN THE TIME IS RIPE FOR THE FOLLOWING CIVIL CASES:

1. NO. 23-6912 (0:22-03851-RMG-PJG)
2. CIA NO. 0:22-4288-RMG-PJG
3. CIA NO. 0:23-197-RMG-PJG
4. CIA NO. 0:23-2874-RMG-PJG

RECEIVED


Feb 28 2024

SC Court of Appeals

DUE TO THE CONDITIONS OF THE PETITIONERS INCARCERATION COPIES ARE HARD TO OBTAIN AT TIMES. ONCE (EXHIBIT E; (1-20) JAIL LOG REPORTS ARE COPIED THE PETITIONER WILL MAIL TO THE COURT. EXHIBIT E WILL SHOW HOW OFFICERS OF CCDC AND MEDICAL STAFF FALSIFIED DOCUMENTS IN ORDER TO PLACE THE PETITIONER IN EXCESSIVE RESTRAINTS UPON HIS ARREST AS WELL AS TRANSPORT THE PETITIONER TO MCLEOD HEATH AND FORCE ANTIPSYCHOTIC INJECTIONS OF MIND ALTERING DRUGS INTO HIS BODY AND FORCE DNA AND URINE WITHOUT CONSENT, WITHOUT HAVING MIRANDIZED OR SERVED WARRANT, AND WITHOUT JUDICIAL ORDER. IT WILL ALSO PROVE CONSPIRACY OF THE STATE ACTORS WHO MANIPULATED DOCUMENTS. THE PETITIONER HAS NOT BEEN ABLE TO OBTAIN THE VIDEO FOOTAGE, BUT IF OBTAINED IT WILL SHOW THE MANIPULATION OF DOCUMENTS, FRAUD, AND DECEPTION AMONG OTHER THINGS.

FEBRUARY 25, 2024

RESPECTFULLY,


DAVID A. LITTLE, JR.
#385407

DAVID A. LITTLE, JR. # 385407
11818 GOLD MINE HWY
KERSHAW SC, 29067
RHL-36

RECEIVED

FEB 28 2024

S.C. SUPREME COURT

SENT
02/25/2024

THE SUPREME COURT OF
SOUTH CAROLINA
CLERK OF COURT
P.O. BOX 11330
COLUMBIA SC, 29211

SENT
02/25/2024

RECEIVED

FEB 26 2024

KERSHAW CI
MAIL ROOM

SENT
02/25/2024

Little
LEGAL
205-460

DAVID ANTONIO LITTLE, JR.,
PETITIONER,

v.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,
RESPONDENT.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF
SOUTH CAROLINA

RECEIVED

Feb 28 2024

SC Court of Appeals

APPELLATE CASE NO.: 2021-000
990 AND 2023-000732

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN
SUPPORT OF REQUEST FOR
PRISON RELEASE ORDER

THE PETITIONER, DAVID ANTONIO LITTLE, JR., DO HEREBY SUBMITS THE FOLLOW MEMORANDUM OF LAW AND CLAIMS TO THE UNCONSTITUTIONAL CONVICTIONS IN WHICH HE IS BEING HELD UNDER BY THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA. THE PETITIONER AT THIS TIME IS UNABLE TO ACQUIRE COPIES OF THE EVIDENCE ENTIRELY AT THIS TIME DUE TO HIS PLACEMENT IN (RHU), BUT SHOWS:

THE REWRITTEN 1871 CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES (INC.) OVERRIDES THE ORIGINAL CONSTITUTION FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, WHICH EXPLAINS WHY YOUR CONGRESSMEN AND SENATORS DONT ABIDE BY IT AND THE PRESIDENT (CEO) OF THE CORPORATE UNITED STATES CAN WRITE EXECUTIVE ORDERS TO DO WHATEVER HE WANTS TO DO. HE IS FOLLOWING CORPORATE LAWS THAT COMPLETELY STRIP SOVEREIGNS OF THEIR GOD GIVEN UNALIENABLE RIGHTS.

CORPORATE PUBLIC COMMERCIAL LAW IS NOT SOVEREIGN (PRIVATE) FOR IT IS A PUBLIC AGREEMENT BETWEEN TWO (2) OR MORE PARTIES UNDER PUBLIC CONTRACT. (MR. LITTLE NEVER CONSENTED TO ANY)

COMMON LAW (UNDER WHICH SOVEREIGNS OPERATE) IS NOT COMMERCIAL LAW; COMMON LAW IS PERSONAL AND PRIVATE.

DAVID ANTONIO LITTLE, JR., THE "LIVING BEING", "PRIVATE" NON-CITIZEN NATIONAL WAS ARRESTED UNDER COMMON LAW JURISDICTION ON 02/14/2021 FOR THE COMMON LAW CRIME OF MURDER WITH MALICE AFORETHOUGHT.

RECEIVED

FEB 28 2024

S.C. SUPREME COURT

1 OF 21

AFTER BEING ARRESTED AND TRANSFERRED TO THE CHESTERFIELD COUNTY DETENTION CENTER, ARRIVING AT 6:00 P.M. OR AROUND 7:00 P.M., HE WAS PLACED INTO A RESTRAINT CHAIR AFTER BEING UNPROPERLY PROCESSED BY JAILER SGT. MARCIA MARINE AND GIVEN A SLUCIDE SMOCK TO WEAR (ACCORDING TO HIS VAUGE MEMORY) AND WAS THEN PLACED INTO A RESTRAINT CHAIR.

[BELL V. KANE COUNTY JAIL, 2016 U.S. DIST. LEXIS 17750 * (N.D. ILL.)]
 JAILER SGT. MARCIA MARINE, IN HER INCIDENT REPORT ALLEGED THAT LITTLE, AROUND 21:00 HOURS "ATTEMPTED" TO BREAK A LIGHT FIXTURE IN THE CELL HE WAS PLACED IN. JAILER SGT. MARCIA MARINE'S REPORT IS COMPLETELY FRAUDULENT AND HEARSAY. LITTLE REBUTTS AND DENIES THE CORRUPTED JAILER'S TESTIMONY COMPLETELY AND ASSERTS WITHOUT DOUBT THAT HE NEVER "ATTEMPTED" TO BREAK ANY LIGHT FIXTURE THIS 14TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2021, AND NEITHER DID HE BREAK OR ATTEMPT TO BREAK ANY PROPERTY BELONGING TO THE JAIL THIS DAY IN QUESTION. LITTLE DECLARES UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT HE ARRIVED TO THE JAIL AROUND 6:00 P.M. OR 7:00 P.M. AND WAS HALF ASLEEP DUE TO DRIVING BACK FROM HOLLISTON, TEXAS ALONE AFTER HAVING BEEN AWAKE FOR ALMOST TWO (2) DAYS. THEREFORE, HE WAS DEPRIVED OF SLEEP, SO AROUND THE TIME OF HIS ARRIVAL AT CCDC HE WAS IMMEDIATELY ASLEEP AND IN A RESTRAINT CHAIR HAVING NO WAY POSSIBLE TO BREAK ANY LIGHT FIXTURE OR ANY CCDC PROPERTY. THE VIDEO FOOTAGE FROM THE CELL CAMERA WILL ALSO PROVE AS "SUFFICIENT" EVIDENCE THAT LITTLE IS BEING TRUTHFUL AND JAILER SGT. MARCIA MARINE HAS FALSIFIED DOCUMENTS, INTENTIONALLY AND KNOWINGLY, IN ORDER TO VINDICTIVELY, MALICIOUSLY, AND SADISTICALLY HELP THE GOVERNMENTS INTREST.

[BELL V. WOLFISH, 441 U.S. 520, 540, 99 S. CT. 1861, 1875, 60 L. ED. 2D 447, 469 (1979)] SEE: [JOHNSON V. GLUCK, 481 F.2D 1028, 1033 (2D CIR. 1973)] AS TOO HAVE SEVERAL OTHER OFFICERS.
 [FARMER V. BRENNAN, 511 U.S. 825, 835 (1994)]

MANUFACTURES OF THESE CHAIRS INCLUDE NUMEROUS WARNINGS TO INCLUDE SOME OF THE FOLLOWING:

- USE OF CHAIR WITHOUT READING AND UNDERSTANDING INSTRUCTIONS CAN LEAD TO SERIOUS INJURY OR DEATH. (ANYONE USING CHAIR SHOULD READ MANUFACTURER'S INSTRUCTIONS.)
- NEVER USE RESTRAINT CHAIR FOR PUNISHMENT.
- INSURE THAT RESTRAINTS DO NOT CUT OFF CIRCULATION.
- DO NOT STRAP AROUND HEAD, NECK, OR CHEST.
- CAUTION: VIOLENT BEHAVIOR MAY MASK DANGEROUS MEDICAL CONDITIONS. DETAINEES MUST BE MONITORED CONTINUOUSLY AND PROVIDED MEDICAL TREATMENT IF NEEDED.
- CAUTION: HANDCLIFFS AND LEG IRONS MUST BE REMOVED AS SOON AS POSSIBLE TO PREVENT INJURY.
- CAUTION: BELT AND STRAPS MAY NEED TO BE LOOSENERED TO INSURE ADEQUATE BLOOD FLOW.
- THE SAFETY RESTRAINT CHAIR MUST ALWAYS BE USED IN THE UPRIGHT POSITION; LEAVING THE CHAIR ON ITS SIDE OR BACK MAY CAUSE INJURY OR DEATH TO THE DETAINEE.
- DETAINEES SHOULD NOT BE LEFT IN THE RESTRAINT CHAIR FOR MORE THAN TWO (2) HOURS. THIS TIME LIMIT WAS ESTABLISHED TO ALLOW FOR THE DETAINEE TO CALM DOWN OR SOBER UP, AND IF NEEDED IT ALLOWS FOR THE HANDLERS TO SEEK MEDICAL OR PSYCHOLOGICAL HELP FOR THE DETAINEE. THIS TWO-HOUR TIME LIMIT MAY BE EXTENDED, BUT "ONLY" UNDER DIRECT MEDICAL SUPERVISION (DOCTOR/NURSE). THIS EXTENDED TIME PERIOD MUST NOT EXCEED EIGHT (8) HOURS AND RANGE OF MOTION EXERCISES MUST BE PERFORMED REGULARLY. THEREFORE WE DO

NOT RECOMMEND ANYONE BE LEFT IN THE SAFETY RESTRAINT CHAIR FOR MORE THAN TEN (10) HOURS TOTAL. SEE; (EXHIBIT E) [WOLFISH, 441 U.S. 520 (1979)], [COUNTY OF ORANGE, 526 F.3D 1190]

THEREFORE, WHEN IT COMES TO MR. LITTLE'S CASE, HE WAS INDEED TORTURED BY THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA THROUGH ITS CORRUPT AGENTS AND IMPERSONATORS OF THE LAW. SHOWING A VIOLATION OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS FROM THE FIRST, FOURTH, FIFTH, SIXTH, EIGHTH, AND 14TH AMENDMENT. EXCESSIVE FORCE BY BAD FAITH IS UNDENIABLE TO LITTLE'S CASE AS WELL AS DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE ON THE PART OF THE JAILERS, SGT. MARCIA MARINE, DIRECTOR SHELIA BUCKMAN, SHERIFF JAMES DIXON, TROY ELLERBEE, NURSE KENDRA FLING, AND NURSE GENIE CHISHOLMS. THEY ALL WERE AWARE OF THE POSITION THE ARRESTEE WAS IN AND FAILED TO ACT OR PREVENT THE DEPRIVATIONS OF MR. LITTLE. [BERG, 794 F.2D AT 459], [WILLIAMS, 689 F.2D AT 1383] THE CHAIR WAS CLEARLY USED TO PUNISH MR. LITTLE. NURSE CHISHOLMS OR ANY MEDICAL STAFF WORKING AT THE JAIL FAILED TO STEP IN TO INTERVENE. AFTER THE FIRST TWO (2) HOURS ... OR BETTER YET EIGHT (8) HOURS OF BEING IN THE CHAIR NO (DOCTOR/NURSE) SAW MR. LITTLE. HE WAS ALONE IN A CELL WITH VIDEO CAMERA WHICH WAS PROBABLY TURNED OFF BY JAILERS. IT IS UNKNOWN BECAUSE JAILERS REFUSE TO TURN OVER THE EVIDENCE. [HAINES V. KERNER] NURSE GENIE CHISHOLM, TROY ELLERBEE, SHELIA BUCKMAN, MARCIA MARINE, AND ANY OFFICERS WORKING AT CCDC AT THE TIME OF 02/14/2021 THROUGH 02/18/2021 BEING AWARE OF THE INCIDENTS ARE LIABLE FOR THESE VIOLATIONS MADE AGAINST LITTLE ... KNOWINGLY OR UNKNOWNLY, IN THEIR INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY AND/OR OFFICIAL CAPACITY. SEE (EXHIBIT E) SEE ALSO; (EXHIBIT B) [HELLING V. MCKINNEY, 509 U.S. 25, 33 (1993)] [DARNELL V. PINEIRO, 849 F.3D 17 (2D CIR. 2006)] PROVING CONSPIRACY AND NEGLIGENCE TO PREVENT THE DEPRIVATIONS PURSUANT TO U.S.C. 1985 AND 1986.

THOSE INDIVIDUALS WHICH HAVE NOT BEEN MENTIONED ARE :

JUDGIE MELTON	KATHY PRIVETTE
TAYLER MCQUEEN	CANDIS PATE
PATRICIA FREEMAN	SHEVONNE QUICK
ERIN STUDEBAKER	YLANA EDENS
BRITTNI JACKSON	JAMES COHEN
CRAIG L. DIXON	KEYSHAWN PETERSON
VERDIA WILLIAMS	CODY ROBINSON
CHRISTY JACOBS SHOEMAKE	OFFICER G. POLSON
MEGAN TADLOCK	OFFICER PHILLIPS
DANIEL SCOTT	DAMIEN SHELDON
LINDA TEAL	JOSH NEWSOME

EVERY INDIVIDUAL WORKED AT CCDC AT THE TIME LITTLE WAS AT THE JAIL FOR THE FIRST (72 HOURS). EACH JAILER/STAFF WAS AWARE OF THE POSITION IN WHICH MR. LITTLE WAS IN AND FAILED IN THEIR DUTY TO PREVENT THE DEPRIVATIONS OF MR. LITTLE. OFFICERS HAVE FALSIFIED THESE DOCUMENTS AS WELL AND WITHOUT A DOUBT THE VIDEO FOOTAGE WILL NOT COINCIDE WITH THE ENTRIES (TIMES AND DATES) (EXHIBITE) OF JUST THE FIRST FEW DAYS OF LITTLE'S INCARCERATION AT THE JAIL. OFFICERS OR EX-OFFICERS FALSIFIED ENTRIES ABOUT FEEDING MR. LITTLE AS WELL AS ALLOWING HIM TO STRETCH HIS BODY. PAGE 5, 6, 7 ARE MISSING AND WERE NEVER PRODUCED IN (C/A NO. 0:23-797-RMG-PJG) TO THE BEST OF LITTLE'S KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEFS NO OFFICER EVER OFFERED HIM FOOD AND NO MEDICAL STAFF (NURSE OR DOCTOR) SAW OR ATTEMPTED TO SEE OR SPEAK WITH HIM. MR. LITTLE DECLARES THAT EVERY NAMED INDIVIDUAL EXCLUDING MAYBE SEVEN (7) HAVE BEEN TERMINATED FROM THE CCDC OR QUIT FOR OBVIOUS REASONS IN WHICH IS FOR A JURY TO DECIDE. MR. LITTLE DENIES THAT HE LEFT THE JAIL ON 02/15/2021 AT 16:57 WITH INVEST. SCOTT OR INVEST. SHELDON AND FROM HIS BELIEF AND MEMORY THIS INCIDENT DID NOT OCCUR UNTIL 02/17/2021 AFTER BEING FORCED ANTIPSYCHOTIC DRUGS ON 02/16/2021. NO ENTRY CAN BE FOUND WHERE A NURSE CAME TO SEE

MR. LITTLE WHICH EXPLAINS NURSES MEDICAL DOCUMENTS FOR MR. LITTLE STARTING ON 02/16/2021. ONE THING ABOUT MISTAKES... THEY ARE INEVITABLE! WHAT IS DONE IN THE DARK WILL SURELY COME TO LIGHT. IN MR. LITTLE'S CASE... THESE OFFICERS OR MEDICAL STAFF WERE NOT MAKING MISTAKES UNKNOWINGLY, BUT INDEED ON PURPOSE AND AT THE DIRECT ORDER OF WHO...? SHERIFF JAMES DIXON? OR SOMEONE WHO GAVE HIM ORDERS, MR. LITTLE IS NOT CERTAIN. MR. LITTLE IS CERTAIN OF OTHER THINGS THOUGH. LIKE FALSE IMPRISONMENT... [SCOTT V. AMBANI, 577 F.3D 642 (6TH CIR. 2009)]

THE COURT MUST CONSIDER AT WHAT POINT THE CONTINUED USE OF THE RESTRAINT CHAIR, IN LIGHT OF LITTLE'S FALSE "ATTEMPT" TO BREAK LIGHT FIXTURE, AND/OR BE A "DANGER" TO STAFF JUSTIFIED THE INFLICTIONS OF PAIN, SUFFERING, OPPRESSION, AND VIOLATIONS OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS IN WHICH HE HAS ENDURED. [MELOY V. BACHMEIER, 302 F.3D 845 (8TH CIR. 2002)]

THE ACTS COMMITTED AGAINST MR. LITTLE CONSTITUTED A MALICIOUS AND SADISTIC USE OF FORCE - BECAUSE IT IS "PHYSICALLY BARBAROUS" OR INVOLVES "THE IMPOSITION OF PAIN TOTALLY WITHOUT PENOLOGICAL JUSTIFICATION" RATHER THAN A GOOD FAITH EFFORT TO MAINTAIN DISCIPLINE. [SMITH V. MCNESBY, 2007 U.S. DIST. LEXIS 83520* (N.D. FLA. SEPT. 28, 2007)] [LANCATA V. PRISON HEALTH SERVS., INC., 769 F.2D 700, 704 (11TH CIR. 1985)]

FALSE IMPRISONMENT CONSISTS OF ANY TYPE OF UNLAWFUL RESTRAINT OR INTERFERENCE WITH THE PERSONAL LIBERTY OF AN INDIVIDUAL. IT BASICALLY INVOLVES ANY UNLAWFUL VIOLATION OF THE LIBERTY OF ANOTHER.

- (1) DETENTION OR RESTRAINT AGAINST ONE'S WILL; AND
- (2) THE UNLAWFULNESS OF SUCH DETENTION OR RESTRAINT

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA RECOGNIZES A LEGAL THEORY CALLED ACCOMPLICE LIABILITY AND IT IS OFTEN DESCRIBED AS "THE HAND OF ONE HAND OF ALL." THE HAND OF ONE HAND OF ALL APPLIES WHEN THERE ARE ACCOMPLICES TO A "CRIME" ACTING TOGETHER.

JAIL OFFICIALS WILL GENERALLY CLAIM THAT WHATEVER THEY DID TO YOU WAS FOR A LEGITIMATE PURPOSE(S) OF MAINTAINING ORDER AND SECURITY - NOT FOR PUNISHMENT. BUT A COURT CAN STILL DECIDE THAT THE CONDITIONS YOU WERE SUBJECTED TO WERE NOT JUSTIFIED OR LAWFUL DESPITE WHAT THE OFFICER(S) SAY. [GRIEVESON V. ANDERSON, 538 F.3D 763, 779 (7TH CIR. 2008)]

IN THE CASE OF DAVID ANTONIO LITTLE, JR., THE OFFICERS OF CHESTERFIELD COUNTY HAVE PAINTED A ALMOST PERFECT PICTURE TO THE PUBLIC BY HEARSAY AND DECEPTIVE LIES, AS WELL AS TO THEMSELVES BY PRECONCEIVED BIASED PRESUMPTIONS. A PRESUMPTION IS NOT EVIDENCE, AND HEARSAY IS INADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE. THE STATE ACTORS REFUSE AND/OR DENY WHOLE HEARTEDLY THAT ANY VIDEO FOOTAGE EXISTS FROM THE DAY OF FEBRUARY 14, 2021 OR ANY TIME AFTER WHILE LITTLE WAS IN CUSTODY OF THE STATE ... UNCONSTITUTIONALLY SO.

DECENCY, SECURITY, AND LIBERTY ALIKE DEMAND THAT GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS SHALL BE SUBJECTED TO THE SAME RULES OF CONDUCT THAT ARE "COMMANDS" TO THE "CITIZEN". IN A GOVERNMENT OF LAWS, EXISTENCE OF THE GOVERNMENT WILL BE IMPERILED IF IT FAILS TO OBSERVE THE LAW SCRUPULOUSLY. OUR GOVERNMENT IS THE POTENT, THE OMNIPRESENT TEACHER. FOR GOOD OR FOR ILL, IT TEACHES THE WHOLE PEOPLE BY ITS EXAMPLE. CRIME IS CONTAGIOUS. IF THE GOVERNMENT BECOMES A LAWBREAKER, IT BREEDS CONTEMPT FOR LAW; IT INVITES EVERY MAN TO BECOME A LAW LINTO HIMSELF; IT INVITES ANARCHY. TO DECLARE THAT IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE CRIMINAL LAW THE END JUSTIFIES THE MEANS - TO DECLARE THAT THE GOVERNMENT MAY COMMIT CRIMES IN ORDER TO SECURE THE CONVICTION OF A PRIVATE CRIMINAL - WOULD BRING TERRIBLE RETRIBUTION. AGAINST THAT PERNICIOUS DOCTRINE THIS COURT SHOULD SET ITS FACE.

THE STATE AGENTS OF THIS GOVERNMENT HAVE MADE THE ASSERTIONS THAT LITTLE WAS COMBATIVE, AGGRESSIVE, AND SHOUTING AT STATE AGENTS. THEY TESTIFY THAT THESE ARE THE REASONS HE WAS FORCED TO REMAIN IN A RESTRAINT CHAIR FROM 02/14/2021 UNTIL 02/18/2021. WHILE IN THIS UNCOMFORTABLE, UNLAWFUL, ILLEGAL, AND SADISTIC POSITION HE WAS NOT OFFERED OR GIVEN ANY FOOD, WATER, OR ACCESS TO RELIEVE HIMSELF OF BODILY FLUIDS. [VINEYARD, 311 F.3D AT 1349 N.15] AS A PRETRIAL DETAINEE, YOU MUST BE PRESUMED INNOCENT BECAUSE YOU HAVE NOT BEEN CONVICTED OF A CRIME. [PLITMAN V. GIERLOFF, 639 F.2D 415, 420-422 (8TH CIR. 1981)]; SEE ALSO [COLLAZO-LEON V. U.S. BUREAU OF PRISONS, 51 F.3D 315, 318 (1ST CIR. 1995)] (NOTING THAT AN OTHERWISE LEGITIMATE RESTRICTION OR CONDITION MAY BE VIEWED AS PUNITIVE AND THEREFORE VIOLATE THE DETAINEE'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS IF THE CONDITION IS "EXCESSIVE IN LIGHT OF THE SERIOUSNESS OF THE DETAINEE'S VIOLATION OF THE PRISON RULES". WITHOUT A CONVICTION, YOU CANNOT BE PUNISHED. [RAPIER V. HARRIS, 172 F.3D 999, 1004-1005 (7TH CIR. 1999)])

ON 02/16/2021 STATE AGENTS AND AGENCIES CONSPIRED IN THE FORCED DRUGGING AND TAKING OF THE "ARRESTEE'S" URINE AND DNA. [2001 WIS. L. REV. AT 365], [28 HOLIS. L. REV. AT 23] ADMINISTERING ANTIPSYCHOTIC DRUGS AGAINST AN INDIVIDUALS WILL INFRINGES A VARIETY OF LIBERTY INTRESTS PROTECTED BY THE CONSTITUTION. IN IDENTIFYING THE INDIVIDUAL'S LIBERTY INTRESTS TO BE BALANCED, THIS COURT MUST LOOK TO "THE FULL SCOPE OF LIBERTY GUARANTEED BY THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE WHICH CANNOT BE ... LIMITED BY THE PRECISE TERMS OF THE SPECIFIC GUARANTEES ELSEWHERE PROVIDED IN THE CONSTITUTION." [MOORE V. CITY OF EAST CLEVELAND, 431 U.S. 494, 502 (1977)] [2002 WL 31898310, SELL V. U.S.]

AS LITTLE STATED IN (CIVIL CASE NO. 0:23-797-RMG-PJG) AFTER BEING ARRESTED HE WAS PLACED, UNCONSTITUTIONALLY AND BASED OFF PRECONCEIVED BIASED PRESUMPTIONS, AND FALSE REPORTS OF JAILER SGT. MARCIA MARINE, IN EXCESSIVE RESTRAINTS VIA RESTRAINT CHAIR FOR MORE THAN (72) HOURS WITHOUT FOOD, WATER, AND FREEDOM TO RELIEVE BODILY FLUIDS ... SHOCKING THE CONSCIENCE. AFTER ABOUT TWO (2) DAYS HE WAS TAKEN TO MCLEOD HEALTH CHERAW AND HELD DOWN BY POLICY ENFORCER SHERIFF DEPUTY DAVID BROWN AND DEPUTY CODY DIXON WHILE MEDICAL STAFF INJECTED DRUGS ... POISONS ... MAYBE H.I.V. ... SYPHILLIS, (HE DOES NOT KNOW AND CAN'T BE SURE) INTO HIS SYSTEM BY FORCE AND WITHOUT CONSENT ... ALL WHILE BEING FULLY RESTRAINED WITH BELLY CHAINS, CLIFFS, AND LEG SHACKLES. BEFORE ENTERING THE HOSPITAL WEARING A SMOCK, LITTLE SAW TWO (2) EUROPEANS ... OLDER MEN (PROBABLY) JEWISH WHO WERE IN A GREEN VAN ... LAUGHING AT THE PRISONER BEING ESCORTED TO THE SYRINGE BEARERS. IT WAS ALMOST AS IF THEY WERE AWARE AND AWAITING MY ARRIVAL. THESE "DEVILS" WERE NO DOUBT CO-CONSPIRATORS OF THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE, GOVERNMENT AGENCIES, AND MCLEOD HEALTH CORPORATION ... PROBABLY STOCKHOLDERS OF THE HOSPITAL OR SOME BANK. SEE: [CIA NO. 0:22-3851-RMG-PJG]

BIBLE (NAHUM 3:1-5)

WOE TO THE CITY OF BLOOD TOTALLY DECEITFUL, FULL OF PLUNDER, NEVER WITHOUT PREY. THE CRACK OF THE WHIP AND RUMBLE OF THE WHEEL, GALLOPING HORSE AND JOLTING CHARIOT! CHARGING HORSEMAN, FLASHING SWORD, SHINING SPEAR; HEAPS OF SLAIN, MOUNDS OF CORPSES, DEAD BODIES WITHOUT END - THEY STUMBLE OVER THEIR DEAD.

BECAUSE OF THE CONTINUAL PROSTITUTION OF THE PROSTITUTE, THE ATTRACTIVE MISTRESS OF SORCERY, WHO TREATS NATIONS AND CLANS LIKE MERCHANDISE BY HER PROSTITUTION AND SORCERY, I AM AGAINST YOU.

PEOPLE - ARE SUPREME, NOT THE STATE. [WARING V. THE MAYOR OF SAVANNAH]; THE STATE CANNOT DIMINISH RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE. [HERTADO V. CALIFORNIA]; ... AT THE REVOLUTION, THE SOVEREIGNTY DEVOLVED ON THE PEOPLE; AND THEY ARE TRULY THE SOVEREIGNS OF THE COUNTRY, BUT THEY ARE SOVEREIGNS WITHOUT SUBJECTS ... WITH NONE TO GOVERN BUT THEMSELVES. [CHISHOLM V. GEORGIA]

"IF THE "CITIZENS" (PROPERTY) NEGLECT THEIR DUTY AND PLACE UNPRINCIPLED MEN IN OFFICE, THE GOVERNMENT WILL SOON BE CORRUPTED; LAWS WILL BE MADE NOT FOR THE PUBLIC GOOD SO MUCH AS FOR THE SELFISH OR LOCAL PURPOSES;" - NOAH WEBSTER

IT IS NO SECRET AS TO WHAT IS TAKING PLACE IN THIS COUNTRY AND WHAT HAS ALREADY TAKEN PLACE. PEOPLE JUST REFUSE TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THERE IS A WAR ON THE "BLACK" RACES OF PEOPLE, AS WELL AS THE POOR AND IGNORANT. WHAT HAS BEEN DONE TO LITTLE HAS NOT BEEN OUT OF PURE NEGLIGENCE OR IGNORANCE ... IT HAS BEEN INACTED IN A ATTEMPT OF GENOCIDE, PSYCHOLOGICAL WARFARE, AND COERCION TACTICS. HISTORY ONLY REPEATS ITSELF AND PEOPLE HAVE ONLY BECOME MORE CORRUPTED AND ROTTEN TO THE CORE ALL IN THE NAME OF WEALTH AND CONTROL. THE SAME PEOPLE WHO HAVE DEEMED ME AN "INDIAN SAVAGE", BY NO MEANS WISH TO GIVE JUSTICE, LIBERTY, OR FEEL THAT LITTLE IS ENTITLED TO THE RIGHTS OF LAW UNDER THE CONSTITUTIONS BILL OF RIGHTS. FOR THAT WOULD BE A CONTRADICTION AND CLEAR HYPOCRISY PURSUANT TO THE "DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE". JUST AS JESUS WAS SOLD OUT BY HIS OWN RACE OF PEOPLE TO THE ROMANS ... MR. LITTLE (JESUS REINCARNATED) HAS BEEN SOLD OUT AND CRUCIFIED FOR NOT SILVER, BUT PAPER FIAT AND A PAT ON THE BACK ... A FEW CRUMBS OFF OF THE SLAVEMASTERS PLATE.

HISTORY OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE PRESENT PREDICAMENTS AND ATROCITIES SHOW AND PROVE THAT THESE MAN-MADE COMMERCIAL ENTITIES ARE CORRUPTED, INHUMANE, AND WANTON WHEN IT COMES TO LIBERTY AND JUSTICE FOR PEOPLE. IT ALSO SHOWS THAT THE GOVERNMENT (CORPORATION) AND OTHERS INSIDE THEREOF WILL MOST LIKELY PROTECT THEIR OWN BEFORE DOING THAT WHICH IS RIGHT. [1 A.L.R. 222], [14 A.L.R. 2D 353], [98 A.L.R. 2D 966]

THE RIGHT OF EACH PERSON TO DETERMINE HIS OR HER MEDICAL TREATMENT IS ONE OF THE MOST VALUED LIBERTIES IN A "DEMOCRATIC" SOCIETY. THE LIBERTY INTEREST TO BODILY INTEGRITY IN MEDICAL DECISIONS HAS LONG BEEN RECOGNIZED BY "COMMON LAW" AND UNDER THE CONSTITUTION. COURTS HAVE RECOGNIZED THE IMPORTANT CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS OF PERSONAL AUTONOMY AND BODILY INTEGRITY IN DECISIONS RELATING TO PROCREATION, CONTRACEPTION, REFUSAL OR TERMINATION OF MEDICAL TREATMENT (INCLUDING LIFE-SAVING MEDICAL TREATMENT), AND A CRIMINAL DEFENDANT'S RIGHT TO REFUSE UNWANTED ANTI-PSYCHOTIC MEDICATION PRIOR TO AND DURING TRIAL. SEE: WHEN HUMAN EXPERIMENTATION IS CRIMINAL - L. SONG RICHARDSON, 99 JCRLC 89

"AT THE HEART OF LIBERTY IS THE RIGHT TO DEFINE ONE'S OWN CONCEPT OF EXISTENCE, OF MEANING, OF THE UNIVERSE, AND OF THE MYSTERY OF HUMAN LIFE. BELIEFS ABOUT THESE MATTERS COULD NOT DEFINE THE ATTRIBUTES OF PERSONHOOD WERE THEY FORMED UNDER COMPELSION OF THE STATE." [505 U.S. AT 851], [PARHAM, 442 U.S. AT 607-609, 99 S. CT. AT 2506-2508]

THE POPE CAN ABOLISH ANY LAW IN THE UNITED STATES - ELEMENTS OF ECCLESIASTICAL LAW, VOL. 1, 53-54

IN [CRUZAN V. DIRECTOR, MISSOURI DEPT' OF HEALTH, 497 U.S. 261 (1990)], THIS COURT REASONED THAT THE RIGHT TO BODILY INTEGRITY IS EMBODIED IN THE GENERAL REQUIREMENT OF INFORMED CONSENT FOR MEDICAL TREATMENT, AND THAT "THE LOGICAL COROLLARY OF THE DOCTRINE OF INFORMED CONSENT IS THAT THE PATIENT GENERALLY POSSESSES THE RIGHT NOT TO CONSENT, THAT IS TO REFUSE TREATMENT." [ID. AT 269], [SCHMERBER V. CALIFORNIA, 384 U.S. 757, 772 (1966)], [53 LA. L. REV. AT 302], [80 A.L.R. 3D 583] THE FIRST AMENDMENT PROVIDES, IN PERTINENT PART: "CONGRESS 'SHALL' MAKE NO LAW... ABRIDGING THE FREEDOM OF SPEECH..." U.S. CONST. AMEND. 1. ("FOR AT THE HEART OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT IS THE NOTION THAT AN INDIVIDUAL SHOULD BE FREE TO BELIEVE AS HE WILL, AND THAT IN A FREE SOCIETY ONE'S BELIEFS SHOULD BE SHAPED BY HIS MIND AND HIS CONSCIENCE RATHER THAN COERCED BY THE STATE.") MR. LITTLE WAS STRIPPED OF THESE RIGHTS BY THE ORDER AND PRESUMPTIONS OF SHERIFF JAMES DIXON AND HIS EMPLOYEES, WHO WERE ALL AGENTS OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA. [ABOOD V. DETROIT BD. OF EDUC., 431 U.S. 209, 234-235 (1977)], [PROCLINIER V. MARTINEZ, 416 U.S. 396, 405 (1974)]

THE MAKERS OF OUR CONSTITUTION... RECOGNIZED THE SIGNIFICANCE OF MAN'S SPIRITUAL NATURE, OF HIS FEELINGS AND OF HIS INTELLECT. [THEY] SOUGHT TO PROTECT AMERICANS IN THEIR BELIEFS, THEIR THOUGHTS, THEIR EMOTIONS AND THEIR SENSATIONS. THEY CONFERRED, AS AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT, THE RIGHT TO BE LET ALONE - THE MOST COMPREHENSIVE OF RIGHTS AND THE RIGHT MOST VALUED BY "CIVILIZED" MEN. [U.S. V. BRANDON, 158 F.3D 947, 953 (6TH CIR. 1998)], [445 U.S. AT 494], [OLMSTEAD V. UNITED STATES, 277 U.S. 438, 478 (1928)]

- A COMPLAINT WILL NOT BE DISMISSED SO LONG AS IT CONTAINS FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS SUFFICIENT "TO RAISE A RIGHT TO RELIEF ABOVE THE SPECULATIVE LEVEL." [BELL ATL. CORP. V. TWOMBLY,

550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007)]; SEE [IQBAL, 556 U.S. AT 678] (CLAIM MUST HAVE "FACIAL PLAUSIBILITY"); [EDWARDS V. PRIME, INC., 602 F.3D 1276, 1291 (11TH CIR. 2010)]

THE INTRUSION SOUGHT BY THE STATE ACTORS OF THE GOVERNMENT WERE EXTENSIVE AND REACHES FAR BEYOND ITS SHORT-TERM PROSECUTORIAL GOALS. IN ONE ACT OF JUSTIFICATION THEY CLAIM LITTLE WAS AGGRESSIVE, COMBATIVE, AND A DANGER TO THE JAILS PROPERTY... THEN IN ANOTHER ACT THEY CLAIM HE WAS MENTALLY-ILL AND TALKING OUT OF HIS HEAD. THEN WE SEE THAT EVEN AFTER THE FORCED DRUGS AND THE TORTURE HE ENDURED WHILE IN THE STATES CUSTODY, HE WAS STILL FOUND TO BE COMPETENT AT HIS TRIAL BY THE PERJURED TESTAMONY OF THE "STATES" DOCTOR... DR. MATTHEW GASKINS. [S.C. CODE ANN. § 44-17-580 (2)]

THE FORCED MEDICATIONS ALONE AND THE FORCED TAKING OF DNA AND URINE SOUGHT BY THE STATE ACTORS AND GOVERNMENT COULD HAVE A LIFE-LONG IMPACT ON MR. LITTLE'S ABILITY TO THINK AND COMMUNICATE. ITS IMPACTS ARE FAR MORE THAN JUST THE SYMPTOMS OF A MENTAL ILLNESS; "ALTERING THE VERY ESSENCE OF HIS IDENTITY." EVEN THE COURTS BELOW ACKNOWLEDGED THAT ANTIPSYCHOTIC DRUGS ALTER A PERSON'S "COGNITIVE PROCESSES" AND THE DRUGS CAN HAVE SERIOUS, EVEN FATAL, SIDE EFFECTS. [SELL, 282 F.3D AT 569] IN HARPER, A CONCURRING OPINION NOTES THAT THIRTY PERCENT OF THE PERSONS WHO DEVELOP NEUROLEPTIC MALIGNANT SYNDROME DIE. [HARPER, 494 U.S. AT 240] THEREFORE, THE STATE ACTORS INVOLVED DID NOT ACT IN GOOD FAITH WHEN TAKING PART IN THE FORCED INTRUSION ON LITTLE'S MIND AND SANCTA. [ID. AT 569-570] [RIGGINS, 504 U.S. AT 130]

THE STATE BOTH LACKED EVIDENCE AND ACTUAL FINDINGS TO ADMINISTER FORCED DRUGS AND FORCED TAKING OF DNA AND URINE FROM MR. LITTLE. [U.S. V. WESTON, 255 F.3D 873, 880 (DC. CIR. 2001)], SEE; [GRIMES V. KENNEDY KRIEGER INSTITUTE, INC.] THE RIGHT TO PRIVACY. SEE 4 HARV. L. REV. 193 (1890) SEE ALSO; THE CAPTIVE LAB RAT: (161 BCLR)

DAVID M. SIEGEL ET AL.: OLD LAW MEETS NEW MEDICINE: REVISITING INVOLUNTARY PSYCHOTROPIC MEDICATION OF THE CRIMINAL DEFENDANT, 2001 WIS. L. REV. 307, 357

THE STATE DOES NOT HAVE A BROAD PARENTS PATRIARE POWER THAT PERMITS IT TO TAKE WHATEVER STEPS ARE NECESSARY (COERCIVELY, IF NEED BE) TO RESTORE SOMEONES MENTAL HEALTH ... NOR TO USE MIND ALTERING DRUGS TO SEDATE A PERSON. TO "PRESUME" IS DANGEROUS. IN LITTLE'S CASE STATE ACTORS MADE PRECONCEIVED BIASED OPINIONS WHICH STEMMED FROM THE ALLEGED NATURE OF HIS ARREST. THE STATE FAILED TO UNDERSTAND OR ACKNOWLEDGE WHAT, IF ANYTHING AT ALL, WAS WRONG WITH HIM. STATE ACTORS ONLY ASSUMED AND HAD THEIR OWN HIDDEN OBJECTIVES AT HAND ... THEREFORE, RESPONDING NEGLIGENTLY, RECKLESS, WANTONLY, AND CONSPIRATORILY THROUGH EACH CORPORATION. SEEKING TO ALTER THE ARRESTEE'S MIND WITH DRUGS TO OBTAIN A COERCED CONFESSION ... OR TO MAKE FALSE STATEMENTS THAT THEY DID, AND THIS IS INDEED WHAT DETECTIVE DANIEL SCOTT OF THE CHESTERFIELD COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE DID, IN WHICH VIOLATED THE FIFTH, SIXTH, AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT OF MR. LITTLE TO A FAIR TRIAL AND DUE PROCESS. SINCE THEN, DETECTIVE DANIEL SCOTT TOO HAS RESIGNED OR LEFT HIS EMPLOYMENT WITH THE CCSO.

[MILLS V. ROGERS, 457 U.S. 291, 299, 102 S. CT. 2442, 2448, 73 L. ED. 2D 16 (1982)] EVEN THE INVOLUNTARILY COMMITTED MENTAL PATIENTS HAVE A FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT IN A NON-EMERGENCY TO REFUSE MEDICATION BASED IN THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO PRIVACY, RIGHT TO BODILY INTEGRITY AND THE RIGHT TO FREEDOM OF THOUGHT SO LITTLE MOST CERTAINLY SHOULD HAVE BEEN AFFORDED THOSE RIGHTS. MR. LITTLE, AN ARRESTEE WHO HAD NOT BEEN IN CUSTODY (24 HOURS) YET AND WHO WAS IMPROPERLY PROCESSED DURING INTAKE BY SGT. MARCIA MARINE, CLEARLY HAD THE RIGHT TO REFUSE THE INTRUSIONS INTO HIS MIND AND BODY. [ROGIER V. OKIN] HE ALSO SHOULD NOT HAVE BEEN PLACED IN A RESTRAINT CHAIR UPON HIS ARRIVAL AT CCDC ... EVEN THE DRUNK AND DISORDERLY ... COMBATIVE, AGGRESSIVE, AND UNRULY ARE NOT SUBJECTED TO THE VIOLATIVE ACTS THAT LITTLE EXPERIENCED HIS FIRST (72 HOURS) OF INCARCERATION AT CCDC.

THE STATE CANNOT CONSTITUTIONALLY DEPRIVE ARRESTEES OR PATIENTS OF THEIR FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS TO REFUSE MEDICATION BY "PRESUMING" THEIR INCOMPETENT OR ONCE COMMITTED, STILL CANNOT WATONLY VIOLATE THOSE RIGHTS. THE COURT MUST, AS A REQUIREMENT OF DUE PROCESS, EXERCISE A "SUBSTITUTED JUDGMENT" FOR THOSE WHO ARE FOUND INCOMPETENT. [110 WASH. 2D, AT 874, 759 P. 2D, AT 360], [MOORE V. CITY OF EAST CLEVELAND, 43 U.S. 494, 502 (1977)], [101 A.L.R. 5TH 515] AMONG THE HISTORIC LIBERTIES WAS A RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM AND TO OBTAIN JUDICIAL RELIEF FOR UNJUSTIFIED INTRUSIONS ON PERSONAL SECURITY. [2002 WL 3189830]

ON 03/22/2021 MR. LITTLE WAS TRANSFERRED FROM THE CHESTERFIELD COUNTY DETENTION CENTER FOR AN ALLEGED ASSAULT ON SHERIFF OFFICERS WHO PROVOKED AND INTICED MR. LITTLE BY SHOVING HIM BEFORE FOLLOW-PROPER PROTOCOL, IN AN ATTEMPT TO MAKE HIM RETURN

TO HIS CELL. SGT. MARCIA MARINE FALSIFIED DOCUMENTS AS WELL AS GAVE A PERJURED TESTIMONY AT LITTLE'S TRIAL ON JULY 27, 2021 IN AN ATTEMPT TO SATISFY THE GOVERNMENT'S INTEREST IN OBTAINING A CONVICTION. SGT MARCIA MARINE ALLEGED THAT LITTLE WAS NOT SUPPOSE TO BE OUT OF HIS CELL UNRESTRAINED AND LIED ON TAYLOR MCQUEEN, WHO WAS A JAILER AT THE TIME, FALSELY STATING THAT MCQUEEN FAILED IN HER DUTY TO RESTRAIN HIM WITH RESTRAINTS. PRIOR TO 03/09/2021 MR. LITTLE WAS NOT BEING MADE TO COME OUT FOR RECREATION IN RESTRAINTS. SEE: [2021-GS-16-00603; 00604; 00605 (TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD)]

ONCE MR. LITTLE ARRIVED AT THE FLORENCE COUNTY DET. CENTER HE WAS IMMEDIATELY PLACED INTO A RESTRAINT CHAIR AT THE ORDER OF LT. RAHEEM HANETT, WHO FALSELY ALLEGED THAT LITTLE "ATTEMPTED" TO RUN AWAY WHEN ARRIVING AT FCDC . . . MR. LITTLE WAS FULLY RESTRAINED IN SHACKLES AND HANDCUFFS . . . HE WAS TRANSPORTED THERE BY SHERIFF DEPUTY TROY ELLERBEE AND DEPUTY SMITH. SEE: [CA NO. 0:23-4288-RMG-PJG] [CA NO. 0:23-2874-RMG-PJG] THE TWO (2) CORPORATIONS AND THE AGENTS OF BOTH FLORENCE COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE AND CHESTERFIELD COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE CLEARLY CONSPIRED WITH ONE ANOTHER TO MAKE MR. LITTLE APPEAR TO BE AGGRESSIVE AND COMBATIVE AND BY THE FORCED ADMINISTERED MIND ALTERING DRUGS GIVEN ON 02/16/2021 AND 03/22/2021. THE STATE ACTORS FORCED MR. LITTLE TO BE AGGRESSIVE, COMBATIVE, AND UNRULY. WHO IN THEIR RIGHT MIND AFTER BEING FORCED DRUG INJECTIONS, BY AN OPPRESSIVE SYSTEM OF TYRRANICAL STATE ACTORS WOULD WANT TO COMPLY AND NOT FEEL THE NEED TO FIGHT BACK AGAINST CLEAR OPPRESSION AND CORRUPTION . . .

WHILE AT FCDC MR. LITTLE WAS ONCE AGAIN FORCED MIND ALTERING DRUGS BY INJECTION WHILE BEING RESTRAINED IN A RESTRAINT CHAIR BY NURSE ERIC MCDANIEL. LITTLE REPEATEDLY INFORMED NURSE ERIC MCDANIEL AND JAILERS AT FCDC THAT HE DID NOT CONSENT. MR. LITTLE WAS SENT TO FCDC BY THE ORDER OF SHERIFF JAMES DIXON WITHOUT JUSTIFICATION WHICH IS SUBSTANTIAL, HAVING NO WARRANTS IN THE COUNTY OF FLORENCE. THE TRANSFER SHALL BE SEEN AS A FORM OF PUNISHMENT BY RETALIATION, AND A MEANS TO PLACE THE INMATE IN A MORE HARSH AND STRICTER ENVIRONMENT. CONSIDERING THE EVIDENCE (EXHIBIT A) AND WHAT MR. LITTLE HAD TO ENDURE WHILE AT FCDC THE COURT SHALL CONSIDER A FORM OF TORTURE AND POLICE BRUTALITY BY BOTH CORPORATIONS, AND THE ACTIONS OF UNPROFESSIONAL, UNTRAINED, CORRUPT, AND VINDICTIVE STATE ACTORS. IF THE STATE AND GOVERNMENT HAS THE RIGHT TO FORCE DANGEROUS MIND ALTERING DRUGS BY INJECTION INTO THE BODY AND MIND OF AN INDIVIDUAL BASED OFF HEARSAY AND PRESUMPTION ... AS WELL AS FALSE ALLEGATIONS OF AGGRESSIVENESS AND COMBATIVENESS, THEN IT'S SAFE TO SAY THAT THIS WORLD IS A DANGEROUS PLACE ... IN WHICH IT IS. HISTORY HAS SHOWN US THE REALITY OF THIS WORLD.

[MITCHELL V. DUPNIK, 75 F.3D 517 (9TH CIR. 1996)]

THE REAL AGENDA IS SIMPLE ... IT IS CONTROL AND ENSLAVEMENT OF THE PEOPLE BY THE CREATION OF A FALSE SENSE OF OBLIGATION. THAT OBLIGATION IS FALSE BECAUSE THE PRIVATE CENTRAL BANKING, BY DESIGN, ALWAYS CREATES MORE DEBT THAN "MONEY" WITH WHICH TO REPAY THAT DEBT. PRIVATE CENTRAL BANKING IS NOT SCIENCE, IT IS A RELIGION. A SET OF ARBITRARY RULES CREATED TO BENEFIT THE PRIESTHOOD, MEANING THE OWNERS OF THE PRIVATE CENTRAL BANK. (BIBLE - ACTS 3:22-28)

IN THE CASE AT HAND... THE CONCEPT OF AGGRESSIVENESS, COMBATIVENESS, OR UNRULINESS OF PSYCHIATRIC EMERGENCY WILL NOT FURTHER THE PENUMBRA OF RIGHTS SPECIFICALLY ENUMERATED IN THE BILL OF RIGHTS. [GRISWOLD V. CONNECTICUT, 381 U.S. 479 (1965)]. THIS COURT, IN ARTICULATING THE RIGHT TO PRIVACY, HAS LOOKED TO LIBERTY INTERESTS CONTAINED IN THE FIRST AMENDMENT FREEDOM OF THOUGHT, [STANLEY V. GEORGIA, 394 U.S. 557 (1969)] AND THE 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO BODILY INTEGRITY, AS WELL AS THE 4TH AMENDMENT [ROE V. WADE, 410 U.S. 113 (1973)] [IN [CITY OF REVERE V. MASS. GEN. HOS., 463 U.S. 239 (1983)] [SCHLOENDORFF V. SOCIETY OF N.Y. HOSPITAL, 211 N.Y. 125, 105 N.E. 92, 93 (1914)] JUSTICE CARDOZO STATED: "EVERY HUMAN BEING OF ADULT YEARS OR SOUND MIND HAS THE RIGHT TO DETERMINE WHAT SHALL BE DONE WITH HIS OWN BODY." IN [INGRAHAM V. WRIGHT, 430 U.S. 651 (1977)], THIS COURT RECOGNIZED THAT THIS RIGHT, HELD SO SACRED AND CAREFULLY GUARDED BY COMMON LAW, IS A LIBERTY INTEREST PROTECTED BY THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE OF THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. [493 F.2D 1230], [294 F.SUPP. 893] WITHOUT THE CAPACITY TO THINK, WE MERELY EXIST, NOT FUNCTION. REALISTICALLY, THE CAPACITY TO THINK AND DECIDE IS A FUNDAMENTAL ELEMENT OF FREEDOM.

IN [MACKEY V. PROCLINIER, SUPRA AT 877] FORCIBLE ADMINISTRATION OF A DRUG IN CONNECTION WITH SHOCK THERAPY WAS HELD TO BE AN "IMPERMISSIBLE TINKERING WITH THE MENTAL PROCESS", IN VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMEND. SEE, [SCOTT V. PLANTE, SUPRA AT 996], [FERGUSON V. CITY OF CHARLESTON, 532 U.S. 67 (2001)] SEE, (EXHIBIT A (2)) (PG. 3 OF 28)

- WARREN, DAVID G., PROBLEMS IN HOSPITAL LAW (3RD ED. 1978)
- LAURA I APPLEMAN (61 BCLR1) THE CAPTIVE LAB RAT: HUMAN MEDICAL EXPERIMENTATION IN THE CARCERAL STATE

- "MIND CONTROL", "SYNTHETIC SANITY", "ARTIFICIAL COMPETENCE" AND GENUINE CONFUSION: LEGALLY RELEVANT EFFECTS OF ANTIPSYCHOTIC MEDICATION, 12 HOFSTRA L. REV. 77, AT 110, 119 (1983)

AT THIS POINT MR. LITTLE IS UNCERTAIN AS TO THE FACTS OF THE REAL DRUGS OR DIS-EASES HE COULD HAVE BEEN INJECTED WITH. DESPITE WHAT THE DOCUMENT SAY (EXHIBIT B) THE SAD TRUTH IS ... YOU CANNOT TRUST BIG PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATIONS AND CERTAINLY NOT THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. SEE: THE TUSKEGEE EXPERIMENT ... HUNDREDS OF AFRICAN AMERICAN MEN WERE DECEIVED! USED IN RESEARCH WITHOUT THEIR KNOWLEDGE OR CONSENT ... LIED TO BY THEIR GOVERNMENT. SEE: [REMARKS IN APOLOGY TO AFRICAN-AMERICANS ON THE TUSKEGEE EXPERIMENT. WILLIAM J. CLINTON]

SO YES, MR. LITTLE IS VERY CONCERNED AS TO THE NATURE OF THE DRUG INJECTIONS OR DIS-EASES THAT HAVE BEEN INJECTED INTO HIS BODY, AGAINST HIS WILL, AND WITHOUT HIS CONSENT. "DECEPTION IS DECEPTION", AND AS IT WAS THEN ... IT STILL IS TODAY ... JUST BEING DONE MORE STEALTHIER BY PEOPLE WHO ARE HIDING BEHIND THE SCENE, OR HIDING IN PLAIN SIGHT ... THROWING ROCKS AND HIDING THEIR HANDS.

OUR "GOVERNMENT" IS SUPPOSE TO PROTECT THE "RIGHTS" OF ITS CITIZENS (PROPERTY) "PRIOR TO 1913, MOST AMERICANS OWNED CLEAR, ALLODIAL TITLE TO PROPERTY, FREE AND CLEAR OF ANY LIENS OF MORTGAGES UNTIL THE FEDERAL RESERVE ACT (1913) "HYPOTHECATED" ALL PROPERTY WITHIN THE FEDERAL UNITED STATES TO THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE, IN WHICH THE TRUSTEES (STOCKHOLDERS) HELD LEGAL TITLE. THE U.S. CITIZEN (TENANT, FRANCHISE) WAS REGISTERED AS A "BENEFICIARY" OF THE TRUST VIA HIS/HER BIRTH CERTIFICATE. IN 1933 THE FEDERAL UNITED STATES HYPOTHECATED ALL OF THE PRESENT AND FUTURE PROPERTIES (PEOPLE), ASSETS, AND LABOR OF THEIR "SUBJECTS", THE 14TH AMENDMENT U.S. CITIZEN TO THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM.

MR LITTLE, JUST AS THE MEN OF THE TUSKEGEE EXPERIMENT, HAD HIS RIGHTS TRAMPLED UPON JUST AS THEY DID, FOR 40 YEARS. HUNDREDS OF MEN BETRAYED, ALONG WITH THEIR WIVES AND CHILDREN, ALONG WITH THE COMMUNITY OF MACON COUNTY, ALABAMA, THE CITY OF TUSKEGEE, THE FINE UNIVERSITY THERE, AND THE LARGER AFRICAN-AMERICAN COMMUNITY. THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES CORPORATION DID SOMETHING THAT WAS WRONG, DEEPLY, PROFOUNDLY, MORALLY WRONG. JUST AS THE GOVERNMENT DID TO MR. LITTLE WHEN HE VISITED A BANK IN HOUSTON, TEXAS PRIOR TO HIS ARREST. THE SAME GOVERNMENT STILL TO THIS VERY DAY CONTINUES TO INFRINGE UPON THE RIGHTS OF MR. LITTLE ... BUT TO WHAT DISTANCE WILL IT GO BY THE MANIPULATION OF ITS AGENTS TO SILENCE MR. LITTLE FOR THE KNOWLEDGE HE POSSESSES ... INDEED WE SHALL SEE. [19 C.F.R. 177.10 (B)] WWW.USITC.GOV/TATA/HHS/ SEE; WHAT BIG EYES AND EARS YOU HAVE! - MARK G. YOUNG, 70 FDMLR 1017 [FOREWORD 72 MSLJ 1]

THEREFORE, THERE IS "NO" TRUST WHEN IT COMES TO THESE DECEPTIVE MAN-MADE CORPORATIONS WHO'S MAIN OBJECTIVES ARE TO CAUSE PROBLEMS THEN TURN AROUND AND OFFER THE PEOPLE SOLUTIONS ... CREATING GENOCIDE AND MASS POPULATION CONTROL, CONTROL IN STEALTH ... BUT IN PLAIN SIGHT FOR THOSE WHO ARE NOT DELUDED BY THIS MATRIX OF A SYSTEM. THE LIFE, HEALTH, AND SPIRITUALITY OF A PERSON IS MORE AT STATE HERE THAN ANY AMOUNT OF PAPER FIAT, IN WHICH THE STATE AND GOVERNMENT POSSIBLY HAS TO OFFER FOR ITS ENCROACHMENTS MADE BY INCOMPETENT STATE AGENTS WHO'S GOAL IS TO PROTECT A GOVERNMENT CORPORATION THAT THAT HAS NO INTENT TO PROTECT THEM.

"EVERY MASTER OF SLAVES IS BORN A PETTY TYRANT. THEY BRING THE JUDGMENT OF HEAVEN UPON A COUNTRY. AS NATIONS CANNOT BE REWARDED OR PUNISHED IN THE NEXT WORLD, THEY MUST BE IN THIS. BY AN INEVITABLE CHAIN OF CAUSES AND EFFECTS, PROVIDENCE PUNISHES NATIONAL CALAMITIES." GEORGE MASON, FATHER OF OUR BILL OF RIGHTS, 1787


FOR THESE REASON EXPLAINED IN THIS BRIEF MOTION, AND THE EVIDENCE~~S~~ PRODUCE ALONG WITH THE LAWS CITED IN THE DE MINIMIS MEMORANDUM, THIS COURT SHALL SET ITS SIGHT AND GRANT THE PETITIONER THE RELIEF IN WHICH HE DESIRES AND DESERVES.

"MY PEOPLE ARE DESTROYED FOR LACK OF KNOWLEDGE?" HOSEA 4:6

DATE: FEBRUARY 19, 2024

04-04-1990
DATE OF BIRTH
REV. 22:16
REV. 19:15-16

PRISONER AT WAR
RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:


DAVID ANTONIO LITTLE, JR.
I AM THAT I AM... NOT WHO
YOU SAY I AM



WITHOUT PREJUDICE
WITHOUT REBUTTAL
WITH RECOURSE

DAVID ANTONIO LITTLE, JR.,
PETITIONER,

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF
SOUTH CAROLINA

v.

APPELLATE CASE NO.: 2023-000732
2021-000990

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,
RESPONDENT.

PROOF OF SERVICE

THE PETITIONER, DAVID ANTONIO LITTLE, JR., DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT HE HAS SUBMITTED REQUEST FOR PRISONER RELEASE ORDER THIS 19TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2024 BY POSTAL MAIL SERVICE. PETITIONER HAS ALSO FILED MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT.

FEBRUARY 19, 2024


DAVID ANTONIO LITTLE, JR.,
#385407

RECEIVED

FEB 28 2024

S.C. SUPREME COURT

RECEIVED

Feb 28 2024

SC Court of Appeals

LEGAL

LITTLE-385407

DAVID ANTONIO LITTLE, JR.,
PETITIONER,

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF
SOUTH CAROLINA

v.

APPELLANT CASE NO.: 2021-000990
AND 2023-000732

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,
RESPONDENT.


PROOF OF SERVICE

THE PETITIONER, DAVID ANTONIO LITTLE, JR., DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT HE HAS SUBMITTED, THIS 25TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2024, THE FOLLOWING:

1. (EXHIBIT A (1)(2)) JAIL INCIDENT REPORTS FROM CHESTERFIELD COUNTY DETENTION CENTER AND FLORENCE COUNTY DETENTION CENTER
2. (EXHIBIT B (A)(B)) MEDICAL RECORDS FROM CCDC/MCLEOD HEALTH AND FCDC
3. (EXHIBIT D) INMATE GRIEVANCES
* FCDC REFUSED TO PRODUCE
4. (EXHIBIT E) FALSIFIED DOCUMENTS PRODUCED BY CCSO AND CPD

FEBRUARY 25, 2024

RESPECTFULLY,


DAVID A. LITTLE, JR.,
#385407
4848 GOLD MINE HWY
KERSHAW SC, 29067
RHLI-36

RECEIVED

Feb 28 2024

SC Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

FEB 28 2024

S.C. SUPREME COURT

DAVID ANTONIO LITTLE, JR.,
PETITIONER,

IN THE SUPREME COURT
OF
SOUTH CAROLINA

RECEIVED

v.

FEB 28 2024

THE STATE,

RESPONDENT,

S.C. SUPREME COURT

APPELLATE CASE NO(S). 2023-000732
2021-000990

RECEIVED

Feb 28 2024

REQUEST FOR PRISONER
RELEASE ORDER

SC Court of Appeals

THE PETITIONER, DAVID ANTONIO LITTLE, JR., DOES HEREBY DEMAND ...
RESPECTFULLY, THAT THE STATE AND ITS AGENTS CAREFULLY TAKE INTO
CONSIDERATION, THE EVIDENCE OF FACTS PRESENTED BY THE PETITIONER
AND THE NATURE OF HIS UNLAWFUL AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL CONVICTION.
THE STATE SHALL SET ITS FACE ON THE FACTS AT HAND; AS WELL AS THE
IRREPARABLE DAMAGES THE PETITIONER HAS BEEN SUBJECTED TO BY THE
HANDS OF STATE ACTORS. [POINDEXTER V. GREENHOW, SUPRA, 114 U.S., AT
288, 5 S. CT. AT 912-913], SEE ALSO; [WILL V. MICHIGAN DEPT. OF STATE
POLICE, 491 U.S. 58, 109 S. CT. 2304 (U.S. MICH., 1989)]

CLEARLY THE STATE, THROUGH ITS AGENTS HAVE ... AND WILL CONTINUE TO
INFRINGE UPON THE RIGHTS, LIFE AND PROPERTY OF THE PETITIONER
THROUGH AND BY THE FORCED IMPRISONMENT OF HIS FLESH AND BLOOD BODY.
[HALE V. HENKEL, 201 U.S. 43 (1906)]

"SHALL IT BE SAID ... THAT THE COURTS CANNOT GIVE REMEDY WHEN
THE CITIZEN HAS BEEN DEPRIVED OF HIS "PROPERTY" BY FORCE, HIS
ESTATE SEIZED AND CONVERTED TO THE USE OF THE GOVERNMENT
WITHOUT ANY LAWFUL AUTHORITY, WITHOUT ANY PROCESS OF LAW, AND
WITHOUT ANY COMPENSATION, BECAUSE THE "PRESIDENT" HAS ORDERED
IT AND HIS OFFICERS ARE IN POSSESSION? IF SUCH BE THE "LAW" OF
THIS COUNTRY, IT SANCTIONS A TYRANNY WHICH HAS NO EXISTENCE IN
THE MONARCHIES OF EUROPE, NOR IN ANY GOVERNMENT WHICH HAS A
JUST CLAIM TO WELL-REGULATED LIBERTY AND THE PROTECTION OF
PERSONAL RIGHTS." [LOW U.S., AT 220, 22]

NO STATE SHALL MAKE OR ENFORCE ANY LAW WHICH SHALL ABRIDGE THE
PRIVILEGES OR IMMUNITIES OF CITIZENS OF THE UNITED STATES; NOR
SHALL ANY STATE DEPRIVE ANY PERSON OF LIFE LIBERTY, OR PROPERTY,
WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW; NOR DENY TO ANY PERSON WITHIN ITS
JURISDICTION THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS. [COLMSTEAD V.
UNITED STATES, 277 U.S. 438, 478 (1928)]


RIGHT TO AN EFFECTIVE REMEDY: "EVERYONE WHOSE RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS AS SET FORTH IN THE CONVENTION ARE VIOLATED SHALL HAVE AN EFFECTIVE REMEDY BEFORE A NATIONAL AUTHORITY NOT WITHSTANDING THAT THE VIOLATION HAS BEEN COMMITTED BY PERSONS ACTING IN AN OFFICIAL CAPACITY." [SEE: MONTGOMERY V. LOUISIANA]

IF THE SUPREME COURT DOES NOT GRANT THIS PRISONER RELEASE ORDER THEN IT WILL BE CONFIRMATION THAT THIS ENTIRE SYSTEM IS CORRUPTED AND I HAVE NO DOUBT THAT IT IS. THE DOCUMENTS PRODUCED WILL BE COPIED AND SENT TO EVERY NEWS STATION AND PERSONS OF INTREST. I'M AWARE OF THE ENTIRE GAME THAT'S BEING AND REFUSE TO PLAY. I ALSO REFUSE TO JOIN THE GOVERNMENT AND BE A SALE OUT. YOU AGENTS MAY AS WELL COME ON AND KILL ME NOW! THE WHOLE WORLD WAS AWARE OF MY ARRIVAL... SOME BELIEVE AND MANY DON'T AND MAY NEVER WILL. THIS GAME BEING PLAYED IN THIS COUNTRY WILL STOP... YOU CAN KILL ME, BUT UNTIL THE MISSION IS COMPLETE... ALLAH WILL KEEP SENDING ME BACK! YOU "JUSTICES" KNOW THAT THIS CASE IS FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND STILL REFUSE TO DO THAT WHICH IS RIGHT, ACCORDING TO YOUR LAW... I HAVE MY OWN LAW. WHEN I DIE OR WHEN THE POPE, PRESIDENT, OR GOVERNMENT AGENTS ASSASSINATE ME... JUST MAKE SURE YOU BURY ME A MUSLIM BESIDE THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD IBN ABDULLAH. ALLAH IS THE GREATEST! I WILL NEVER SERVE THIS GOVERNMENT... I'M THE MASTER AND I SERVE NO MAN OR CREATION, BUT ALLAH.
(BIBLE; REV. 2:10-11) (REV. 14:8)

DATED: FEBRUARY 19, 2024

I'M BACK!
IN THE NAME OF
ALLAH (SWT)

SUPREME JUSTUS


DAVID ANTONIO LITTLE, JR.
WITHOUT PREJUDICE
WITHOUT RESERVATION