

# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Danny Rose, Respondent,

v.

Robert Rose, Gloria Rose-Ruch, Mary Margaret Doll  
Rose, and John Does 1-99, Defendants,

Of whom Robert Rose, Gloria Rose-Ruch, and Mary  
Margaret Doll Rose are the Appellants.


Appellate Case No. 2023-001740

---

## ORDER

---

Appellants appeal orders from the circuit court denying Appellants' motion to compel discovery and motion to set an appeal bond without an accompanying motion to stay. Respondent filed a motion to dismiss, arguing the orders are not immediately appealable. Appellants filed a return, and Respondent filed a reply. After careful consideration of the parties' filings, Respondents' motion to dismiss is granted because the orders are not immediately appealable. *See* S.C. Code Ann. § 14-3-330 (1976 & Supp. 2012) (providing this court has appellate jurisdiction to review final judgments and interlocutory orders (1) involving the merits or (2) affecting a substantial right when the order "in effect determines the action and prevents a judgment from which an appeal might be taken or discontinues the action."); *Ex parte Wilson*, 367 S.C. 7, 12, 625 S.E.2d 205, 208 (2005) ("Any judgment or decree, leaving some further act to be done by the court before the rights of the parties are determined, is interlocutory and not final."); *Grosshuesch v. Cramer*, 377 S.C. 12, 30, 659 S.E.2d 112, 122 (2008) (explaining "discovery orders, in general, are interlocutory and are not immediately appealable"). Accordingly, Appellants' appeal is dismissed.



---

FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

**FILED**  
**Dec 28 2023**

cc:

John Martin Foster, Esquire

T. Hunt Reid, Esquire

William Thomas Young, III, Esquire