

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF Calhoun
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2023CP0900171

Shaneeka Stroman
PLAINTIFF(S)

Carol B Fischer et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment filed October 26, 2023. "Summary judgment is appropriate where there is no genuine issue of material fact and it is clear the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Rule 56(c), SCRPC. In determining whether any triable issues of fact exist, the evidence and all inferences which can be reasonably drawn from the evidence must be viewed in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party." Hancock v. Mid-South Management Co., Inc., 381 S.C 326, 329-330, 673 S.E.2d 801, 802 (2009). "It is not sufficient for [the nonmoving] party to create an inference that is not reasonable or an issue of fact that is not genuine." Kitchen Planners, LLC v. Friedman, 440 S.C. 456, 464, 892 S.E.2d 297, 301 (2023), citing, Town of Hollywood v. Floyd, 403 S.C. 466, 744 S.E.2d 161 (2013).

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 01/29/2024 .

Emily Fischer-Bunker
Michael Smalls
Shaneeka Stroman for Shaneeka Stroman
Helen Carson
Carol B Fischer
Shaneeka Stroman for Shaneeka Stroman

RECEIVED
MAR 18 2024
SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

The Plaintiff's Amended Complaint fails to set forth a plausible cause of action as to any remaining Defendant especially in light of her under oath admission that she was trespassing on the property that is the subject of this action. Accordingly, the Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment is hereby denied.



Calhoun Common Pleas

Case Caption: Shaneeka Stroman VS Carol B Fischer , defendant, et al

Case Number: 2023CP0900171

Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Heath P. Taylor

Electronically signed on 2024-01-29 15:55:07 page 3 of 3