

# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,

v.

Bryan Murray, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2023-001770

---

## ORDER

---

This appeal arises out of an order of the circuit court denying the appellant's motion to reconsider sentence because the motion was not timely made. The underlying sentences were imposed on June 4, 2002. The appellant filed his motion to reconsider sentence pursuant to Rule 29(a), SCRCrimP, on July 27, 2023. The post-trial motion was filed more than 11 years after imposition of the sentences. Rule 203(b)(2), SCACR provides "When a timely post-trial motion is made under Rule 29(a), SCRCrimP, the time to appeal shall be stayed. . . ." The appellant did not timely file his post-trial motion; thus, the time to serve the notice of appeal was not stayed. Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed. *See State v. Devore*, 416 S.C. 115, 119, 784 S.E.2d 690, 692 (Ct. App. 2016) (noting timely service of the notice of appeal is a jurisdictional requirement). The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b), SCACR.



---

FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Bryan Murray, 00284701

Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire

Mark Reynolds Farthing, Esquire

Daniel Ryan Goldberg, Esquire

**FILED**  
**Mar 27 2024**

---