

COURTS COPY

FREE SHAHID MAJID LEGAL DEFENSE FOUNDATION

HIGH LIFE NOTIONS PRESIDENT

VICE PRESIDENT

SHAHID L. A. MAJID #199398

KAREEMA MOSELEY ANTHONY

DACI-4460 BROAD RIVER RD COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201

RECEIVED

HONORABLE MERRYL ABBOTT KITCHINGS

APR 02 2024

CLERK S.C. COURT OF APPEALS

SC Court of Appeals

P.O. BOX 11689 - COLUMBIA, S.C. 29211

MARCH 27-2024,

RE: SODC VS. SHAHID MAJID FKA AS TRUS MOSELEY #199398 APPELLANT

CIN: 2024-00046

DEAR CLERK MERRYL KITCHINGS;

ENCLOSED FOR THE NOTICE OF APPEAL IN THE ABOVE CASE ARE THE FOLLOWING.

- (1) PROOF OF SERVICE OF THE NOTICE OF APPEAL ON THE RESPONDENT(S)
- (2) A COPY OF THE ORDERS WHICH ARE TO BE CHALLENGED ON APPEAL EXHIBITS 1-3 ATTACHED, EXH A SWORN AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY MARGAN CONNIE GADDY.

(3) NOTICE AND MOTION TO FILE NOTICE OF APPEAL INFANTA PAPERIS 1FP

LET THE RECORD REFLECT MY NAME HAS BEEN CHANGED SINCE 1992 AND ON MY SOCIAL SEC CARD SINCE 2009. MY LEGAL NAME IS SHAHID MAJID AND I'M ENTITLED NAME CHANGE FOR MY RELIGION 1ST AMENDMENT. EXTRA COPY ENCLOSED TO PROVIDE CLOCKED STAMPED COPY TO ME ON THIS TAN 07/28TH, NORTH OF MARCH, 2024

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

Shahid Majid

WITNESSED AND SIGNED

Witness

EXHA

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I ATTEST UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY VIA §1746 THAT BELOW IS TRUE.

1. THAT ATTACHED DOCUMENT(S) IS FACTS AND NON FRIVOLOUS


2. THAT I'M INDIGENT AND CANT AFFORD TO PAY COURTS FILING FEES COST

THIS IS TRUE, SIGNED AND SUBSCRIBED TO ME ON THIS DAY OF 25, MONTH OF 03, 24

EXPIRATION DATE: 10-4-32

S/ Umar Eady  
NOTARY PUBLIC

S/ Shabid Malik  
WITHOUT PREJUDICE (20)



RECEIVED

APR 02 2024

SC Court of Appeals

*[Handwritten signature]*

~~EX-11~~

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

Arthur (Shadid) Mosley (Majid), #199398 )  
 )  
 Appellant, )  
 )  
 vs. )  
 )  
 South Carolina Department of )  
 Corrections, )  
 )  
 Respondent. )  
 )  
 )  
 )  
 )  
 )

Docket No. 23-ALJ-04-0520-AP  
Grievance No. BRCI 681-23

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

RECEIVED  
APR 02 2024  
SC Court of Appeals

This matter is before the South Carolina Administrative Law Court (ALC or Court) pursuant to the Notice of Appeal filed by Arthur (Shadid) Mosley (Majid) (Appellant), an inmate incarcerated with the South Carolina Department of Corrections (Department or SCDC). On June 21, 2023, Appellant filed a Step One Grievance seeking to overturn his disciplinary conviction. On June 28, 2023, the Step One Grievance was denied. Appellant then filed a Step Two Grievance on June 29, 2023. The Step Two Grievance was denied on August 8, 2023. This appeal of the denial of the Step Two Grievance followed. Appellant sent a letter to the Court requesting an extension of time to file the Appellant's brief. On January 3, 2024, the Court denied this request since Appellant had time remaining to file his brief pursuant to SCALC Rule 60(A). On January 26, 2024, the Department filed a Motion to Dismiss (Motion), on the grounds that the appeal did not implicate a state-created liberty or property interest. Appellant did not submit a response regarding the Department's motion. The Court grants the Department's Motion to Dismiss for the reasons discussed below.

DISCUSSION

The Court's jurisdiction to hear this matter is derived from the decision of the South Carolina Supreme Court in *Al-Shabazz v. State*, 338 S.C. 354, 527 S.E.2d 742 (2000). Recently, the court clarified that while this Court has jurisdiction over all inmate grievance appeals, it is not required to hold a hearing in every matter and may summarily dismiss an inmate's grievance if it

State of South Carolina  
Administrative Law Court  
FEB 22 2024

does not implicate a state-created liberty or property interest sufficient to trigger procedural due process guarantees.” *Allen v. S.C. Dep’t of Corr.*, 439 S.C. 164, 170-71, 886 S.E.2d 671, 674 (2023). To trigger due process guarantees and judicial review, our Supreme Court has further stated that “an inmate’s complaint must encompass an infringement of a liberty interest that imposes an atypical and *significant hardship* on the inmate.” *Skipper v. S.C. Dep’t of Corr.*, 370 S.C. 267, 274, 633 S.E.2d 910, 914 (2006) (emphasis added).

As a result of the conviction, Appellant did not lose any good time rather Appellant’s privileges were taken, he failed to earn good time for the month the disciplinary infraction occurred, and he was given disciplinary detention. No other state-created liberty or property interest was implicated in this matter. Despite Appellant’s contentions, Appellant has made no claim of the loss of any state-created liberty or property interest. Because Appellant’s appeal does not involve a state-created liberty or property interest, the Court concludes that the appeal is appropriate for summary dismissal. *See Allen v. S.C. Dep’t of Corr.*, 439 S.C. 164, 170-71, 886 S.E.2d 671, 674 (2023) (summary dismissal appropriate where the inmate’s grievance does not implicate a state created liberty or property interest.)

**THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Department’s Motion to Dismiss is **GRANTED**, and this appeal is **DISMISSED**.

**AND IT IS SO ORDERED**

*Crystal M. Rookard*

\_\_\_\_\_  
The Honorable Crystal M. Rookard  
South Carolina Administrative Law Judge

February 22, 2024  
Columbia, South Carolina

23 February 2024  
*[Signature]*

EXHA

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

Arthur (Shadid) Mosley (Majid), #199398 )  
Appellant, )  
vs. )  
South Carolina Department of )  
Corrections, )  
Respondent. )

Docket No. 23-ALJ-04-0521-AP  
Grievance No. BRCI 682-23

ORDER OF DISMISSAL  
**RECEIVED**  
APR 02 2024  
SC Court of Appeals

This matter is before the South Carolina Administrative Law Court (ALC or Court) pursuant to the Notice of Appeal filed by Arthur (Shadid) Mosley (Majid) (Appellant), an inmate incarcerated with the South Carolina Department of Corrections (Department or SCDC). On June 21, 2023, Appellant filed a Step One Grievance seeking to overturn his disciplinary conviction. On June 28, 2023, the Step One Grievance was denied. Appellant then filed a Step Two Grievance on June 29, 2023. The Step Two Grievance was denied on August 8, 2023. This appeal of the denial of the Step Two Grievance followed. Appellant sent a letter to the Court requesting an extension of time to file the Appellant's brief. On January 3, 2024, the Court denied this request since Appellant had time remaining to file his brief pursuant to SCALC Rule 60(A). On January 26, 2024, the Department filed a Motion to Dismiss (Motion), on the grounds that the appeal did not implicate a state-created liberty or property interest. Appellant did not submit a response regarding the Department's motion. The Court grants the Department's Motion to Dismiss for the reasons discussed below.

DISCUSSION

The Court's jurisdiction to hear this matter is derived from the decision of the South Carolina Supreme Court in *Al-Shabazz v. State*, 338 S.C. 354, 527 S.E.2d 742 (2000). Recently, the court clarified that while this Court has jurisdiction over all inmate grievance appeals, it is not required to hold a hearing in every matter and may summarily dismiss an inmate's grievance if it


South Carolina  
Administrative Law  
Court  
FEB 22 2024

does not implicate a state-created liberty or property interest sufficient to trigger procedural due process guarantees.” *Allen v. S.C. Dep’t of Corr.*, 439 S.C. 164, 170-71, 886 S.E.2d 671, 674 (2023). To trigger due process guarantees and judicial review, our Supreme Court has further stated that “an inmate’s complaint must encompass an infringement of a liberty interest that imposes an atypical and *significant hardship* on the inmate.” *Skipper v. S.C. Dep’t of Corr.*, 370 S.C. 267, 274, 633 S.E.2d 910, 914 (2006) (emphasis added).

As a result of the conviction, Appellant did not lose any good time rather Appellant’s privileges were taken, he failed to earn good time for the month the disciplinary infraction occurred, and he was given disciplinary detention. No other state-created liberty or property interest was implicated in this matter. Despite Appellant’s contentions, Appellant has made no claim of the loss of any state-created liberty or property interest. Because Appellant’s appeal does not involve a state-created liberty or property interest, the Court concludes that the appeal is appropriate for summary dismissal. *See Allen v. S.C. Dep’t of Corr.*, 439 S.C. 164, 170-71, 886 S.E.2d 671, 674 (2023) (summary dismissal appropriate where the inmate’s grievance does not implicate a state created liberty or property interest.)

**THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Department’s Motion to Dismiss is **GRANTED**, and this appeal is **DISMISSED**.

**AND IT IS SO ORDERED**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
The Honorable Crystal M. Rookard  
South Carolina Administrative Law Judge

February 22, 2024  
Columbia, South Carolina

22  
February 2024



does not implicate a state-created liberty or property interest sufficient to trigger procedural due process guarantees.” *Allen v. S.C. Dep’t of Corr.*, 439 S.C. 164, 170-71, 886 S.E.2d 671, 674 (2023). To trigger due process guarantees and judicial review, our Supreme Court has further stated that “an inmate’s complaint must encompass an infringement of a liberty interest that imposes an atypical and *significant hardship* on the inmate.” *Skipper v. S.C. Dep’t of Corr.*, 370 S.C. 267, 274, 633 S.E.2d 910, 914 (2006) (emphasis added).

As a result of the conviction, Appellant did not lose any good time rather Appellant’s privileges were taken, he failed to earn good time for the month the disciplinary infraction occurred, and he was given disciplinary detention. No other state-created liberty or property interest was implicated in this matter. Despite Appellant’s contentions, Appellant has made no claim of the loss of any state-created liberty or property interest. Because Appellant’s appeal does not involve a state-created liberty or property interest, the Court concludes that the appeal is appropriate for summary dismissal. *See Allen v. S.C. Dep’t of Corr.*, 439 S.C. 164, 170-71, 886 S.E.2d 671, 674 (2023) (summary dismissal appropriate where the inmate’s grievance does not implicate a state created liberty or property interest.)

**THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Department’s Motion to Dismiss is **GRANTED**, and this appeal is **DISMISSED**.

**AND IT IS SO ORDERED**

*Crystal M. Rookard*

---

The Honorable Crystal M. Rookard  
South Carolina Administrative Law Judge

February 22, 2024  
Columbia, South Carolina

*zz* February 2024

COURT COPY

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

CRYSTAL M. RUCKENBERG, JUDGE, FEB. 22-2024, ORDER OF DISMISSAL

CIN: 23-ALT-04-05A0-AP; CIN: 23-ALT-04-05A1-AP; CIN: 23-ALT-04-05A2-AP

SCDC

RESPONDENT

RECEIVED

V.S.

APR 02 2024

SHAHID NAJID FKA

SC Court of Appeals

ARTHUR R. MASELEY

APPELLANT

PROOF OF SERVICE

I CERTIFY THAT I HAVE SERVED NOTICE OF APPEAL DIV: 1, CHRISTINA CATIE BIGGLOW ESQUIRE; 2. JUDGE CRYSTAL M. RUCKENBERG ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT BY DEPOSITING A COPY OF IT IN THE UNITED STATES MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID ON MARCH 29<sup>TH</sup> 2024 ADDRESSED TO SCDC ATTORNEY OF RECORD CHRISTINA CATIE BIGGLOW ESQ, 4444 BROAD RIVER Rd, Columbia, SC 29901 AND ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT JUDGE CRYSTAL M. RUCKENBERG EDGAR A. BROWN BUILDING, SUITE 204 + 1205 PENDLETON STREET, COLUMBIA, SC 29901 ON MARCH 29<sup>TH</sup> 2024

MARCH 29<sup>TH</sup> 2024

S/ Shahid Najid

WITNESSED AND SIGNED

[Handwritten signature]

COURTS COPY

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

CRYSTAL M. BOOKARD JUDGE, FEB. 22, 2024, ORDER OF DISMISSAL  
CIN: 23-ALT-DH-0500-AP; CIN: 23-ALT-DH-0501-AP  
CIN: 23-ALT-DH-0502-AP

SCDC

RESPONDENT RECEIVED

vs.

APR 02 2024

SHAHID NAJJID F.K.A

SC Court of Appeals

ARTHUR R. MOSELEY

APPELLANT

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2024-00486

NOTICE OF APPEAL

SHAHID NAJJID #199398 APPEALS THE DECISION OF HONORABLE CRYSTAL M. BOOKARD DATED, FEB. 22, 2024. APPELLANT RECEIVED A COPY OF THIS DECISION ON, FEB. 27, 2024,

MARCH 29<sup>TH</sup> 2024,

s/ Shahid Najid

WITHOUT PREJUDICE 0001-20

DUES COUNSEL OF RECORD:

SHAHID NAJJID #199398

CHRISTINA CATOE BIGELOW ESQUIRE

BRCT-4460 BROAD RIVER RD.

4444 BROAD RIVER RD.

COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201

COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201

ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT

COURTS COPY

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

CALISTAL M. RANKFORD JUDGE, FEB. 22-2024, ORDER OF DISMISSAL

CIN: 23-ALT-J-04-1580-AP; CIN: 23-ALT-1581-AP; CIN: 23-ALT-04-1582-AP

MARCH 27<sup>TH</sup> 2024

SCDC

CIN: 2024-000466

RESPONDENT

NOTICE AND MOTION TO FILE NOTICE OF APPEAL

VS.

INFAMA PAPERS IFP

SHAHID NAJID FKA

RECEIVED

ARTHUR MOSELEY

APR 02 2024

APPELLANT

SC Court of Appeals

APPELLANT, HUMSIN MINGOS S.C. COURT OF APPEALS TO GRANT NOTICE AND MOTION TO FILE NOTICE OF APPEAL INFAMA PAPERS IFP BASED ON ATTACHED TWO PRIMA FACIE DOCUMENTAL EVIDENCE.

- 1. EXH. A, SWORN AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE. A TEST APPELLANT INDIGENT
- 2. EXH. B, APPELLANTS FINANCIAL CERTIFICATE. A TEST APPELLANT INDIGENT ACCOUNT BALANCE 1/29/24 DATE 1/31/24

EXHIBITS A - B ARE SUFFICIENT TO WARRANT HONORABLE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS TO GRANT INFAMA PAPERS IFP MOTION ON THIS DAY OF 27<sup>TH</sup> MONTH OF MARCH 2024

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

Shahid Najid

WITHOUT PREJUDICE 2024

Shahid Najid

EXH. A

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE,  
I ATTEST UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY  
VIA § 1746 THAT BELOW IS TRUE.


1. THAT ATTACHED DOCUMENT(S) IS FACTS AND  
NON FRIVOLOUS.

2. THAT I'M INDIGENT AND CANT AFFORD  
TO PAY COURTS FILING FEES COST

THIS IS TRUE, SWORN AND SUBSCRIBED TO ME  
ON THIS DAY OF 25, MONTH OF 03, 24

EXPIRATION DATE: 10-4-32

CONNIE EADY  
NOTARY PUBLIC  
WITHTHOUT PREJUDICE



RECEIVED

APR 02 2024

SC Court of Appeals

Handwritten signature and initials at the bottom right.

EXH. B

213 Broad River <sup>08</sup> RECEIVED

Ashur Musedey

INMATE TRUST FUND ACCOUNT REPORT  
for SOUTH-CAROLINA COURT FILING FEES PCI MAILROOM

JAN 09 2024

**INSTRUCTIONS TO INMATE:** Complete top portion then give to your mailroom. When returned from Accounting, you must mail this form with any payment to the Court.

By signing my name below, I am asking the Financial Accounting Office of the South Carolina Department of Corrections to complete this report. In accordance with SC Code of Laws §24-27-100 and 150, I authorize payment of the full filing fee. If I have insufficient funds in my account at this time to pay the court's full filing fee, I authorize SCDC to deduct the initial and subsequent payments until payment is completed.

INMATE NAME (print): Shahid MAJID

SCDC # 1998348 INMATE SIGNATURE: Shahid Majid  
with a PREJUDICE UCCJAN

I plan to file this action in the SC County of ★

The section below is for SCDC - Financial Accounting Branch's use ONLY.

- (1) Total deposits to inmate's account for preceding six months' period\* ..... \$ 25.98
- (2) Twenty percent (20%) of line 1 ..... \$ 17.19
- (3) Account balance - current date ..... \$ 17.59
- (4) PAYMENT AMOUNT \*\*  
(lesser of line 2 or line 3) ~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
Enclosed check # ~~\_\_\_\_\_~~ 0

\*\*NOTE to COURT: If payment is for partial fee, Court must notify SCDC once case is accepted and filed. Send notice with case # and balance owed to address below. SCDC will NOT process any additional payments until notification is received from Court.

South Carolina Department of Corrections  
Financial Accounting - Room 214  
PO Box 21787  
Columbia, SC 29221-1787

\* Admission date is noted here if inmate incarcerated less than six months     /    /    

*[Handwritten Signature]*

01/31/24

Appellants Copy

FREE SHAHID MAJID LEGAL DEFENSE FOUNDATION

HIGH LIFE MOTIONS PRESIDENT

VICE PRESIDENT

SHAHID H. A. MAJID #1991398

KAREEMA NOSELEY 211@gmail

BRCT-11410 BROAD RIVER Rd. Columbia, S.C. 29201

HONORABLE JENN ARBON KITCHINGS

RECEIVED

CLERK S.C. COURT OF APPEALS

APR 02 2024

P.O. BOX 11689 - Columbia, S.C. 29211

SC Court of Appeals

MARCH 27-2024

RE: SCDC VS. SHAHID MAJID FKA ABTAR NOSELEY #1991398 APPELLANT

CIN: 2024-20046

DEAR CLERK MARGAM KITCHINGS;

ENCLOSED FOR THE NOTICE OF APPEAL IN THE ABOVE CASE ARE THE FOLLOWING: EXH. A, SWORN AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE DATED 3/25/24 BY LONNIE EADY.

(1) PROOF OF SERVICE OF THE NOTICE OF APPEAL ON THE RESPONDENT(S)

(2) A COPY OF THE ORDERS WHICH ARE TO BE CHALLENGED ON APPEAL EXHIBITS 1-3 ATTACHED

(3) NOTICE AND MOTION TO FILE NOTICE OF APPEAL INFAMA PALPIS IFP  
BET THE RECORD REFLECT MY NAME HAS BEEN CHANGED SINCE 1997 AND ON  
MY SOCIAL SEC. CARD SINCE 2019. MY LEGAL NAME IS SHAHID MAJID AND

I'M ENTITLED NAME CHANGE FOR MY RELIGION 1<sup>ST</sup> AMENDMENT. EXTRA

COPY ENCLOSED TO PROVIDE CLOCKED STAMPED COPY TO ME ON THIS

DATE DEPART<sup>TH</sup> MONTH OF MARCH 2024 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

Shahid Majid

WITHOUT PREJUDICE

EXHA

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE,

I ATTEST UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY VIA  
§19H6 THAT BELOW IS TRUE.

1. THAT ATTACHED DOCUMENT(S) IS FACTS AND  
NON FRIVOLOUS


2. THAT I'M INDIGENT AND CANT AFFORD TO  
PAY COURTS FILING FEES COST

THIS IS TRUE, SWORN AND SUBSCRIBED TO ME ON  
THIS DAY OF 25, MONTH OF, 03, 24

EXPIRATION DATE: 10-4-32

*[Signature]*  
NOTARY PUBLIC

*[Signature]*  
WITNESS PRE JUDGE (COO)



**RECEIVED**

APR 02 2024

SC Court of Appeals

*[Signature]*

Appellants Copy

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

Appeal From THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

CRYSTAL M. BUCKFORD JUDGE, FEB. 22, 2024, ORDER OF DISMISSAL

CIN: 23-ALJ-NH-0580-AP; CIN: 23-ALJ-NH-0581-AP; CIN: 23-ALJ-NH-0582-AP

SCDC

RESPONDENT

RECEIVED

VS.

APR 02 2024

SHAHID MAJID FKA

SC Court of Appeals

ARTHUR R. MASELEY

APPELLANT

PROOF OF SERVICE

I CERTIFY THAT I HAVE SERVED NOTICE OF APPEAL ON: 1. CHRISTINA CATCE BIGELOW  
ESQ.; 2. JUDGE CRYSTAL M. BUCKFORD ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT BY DEPOSITING A COPY  
OF IT IN THE UNITED STATES MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID ON MARCH 28<sup>TH</sup> 2024, ADDRESSED  
TO SCDC ATTORNEY AT RECORD CHRISTINA CATCE BIGELOW ESQ, 4444 BROAD RIVER RD.

COLUMBIA, S.C. 29801 AND ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT JUDGE CRYSTAL M. BUCKFORD  
EDGAR A. BROWN BUILDING, SUITE #204 1805 PENDLETON STREET, COLUMBIA, S.C. 29801  
ON MARCH 28<sup>TH</sup> 2024,

MARCH 27<sup>TH</sup> 2024

S/ Shahid Majid

WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Handwritten signature and initials at the bottom right of the page.

Appellants Copy

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

Appeal from the ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

CRYSTAL M. ROCKFORD JUDGE, FEB. 28-2024, ORDER OF DISMISSAL

CIN: 23-ALJ-14-1520-AP; CIN: 23-ALJ-04-1521-AP

CIN: 23-ALJ-04-1522-AP

SCDC

RESPONDENT

RECEIVED

VS.

APR 02 2024

SHAHID MAJID F.R.A

ARTHUR B. MASELEY

APPELLANT

SC Court of Appeals

Appellate Case No. 2024-000426

NOTICE OF APPEAL

SHAHID MAJID #199598 Appeals the decision of Honorable Crystal M. Rockford dated, FEB. 28-2024. Appellant received a copy of this decision on, FEB. 27-2024.

MARCH 27<sup>th</sup> 2024

s/ Shahid Majid

WITHOUT PREJUDICE

OTHER COUNSEL OF RECORD:

CHRISTINA CATOE BISBLOW ESQUIRE

4444 BROAD RIVER Rd.

COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201

ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT

SHAHID MAJID #199598

BRCI-4460 Broad River Rd.

COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201

Appellants copy

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

CAROL M. ROOPER JUDGE FEB. 22 2004, ORDER OF DISMISSAL

CIN: 03-ALT-04-1500-AP; CIN: 03-ALT-04-1501-AP; CIN: 03-ALT-04-1502-AP  
MARCH 8<sup>TH</sup> 2004

SCDC

RESPONDENT

vs

SHAHID MAJID FKA

ARTHUR MUSELEY

APPELLANT

CIN: 0004-1100406

NOTICE AND MOTION TO FILE NOTICE OF APPEAL

IN FORMA PAUPERIS IFP

Appellant, Humbly moves S.C. COURT OF APPEALS TO GRANT NOTICE AND MOTION TO FILE NOTICE OF APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS IFP BASED ON ATTACHED TWO PRIMA FACIE DOCUMENTAL EVIDENCE.

1. EXHA SWORN AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE ATTEST APPELLANT INDIGENT
2. EXHA APPPELLANTS FINANCIAL CERTIFICATE ATTEST APPELLANT INDIGENT ACCOUNT BALANCE \$7.59 DATE, 1/8/04

EXHIBITS A-B ARE SUFFICIENT TO WARRANT HONORABLE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS TO GRANT IN FORMA PAUPERIS IFP MOTION ON THIS DAY OF 8<sup>TH</sup> MONTH OF MARCH, 2004

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

Shahid Majid

(WITHOUT PREJUDICE)

RECEIVED

APR 02 2004

SC Court of Appeals

*[Handwritten signature]*

94A

EXH. A

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I ATTEST UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY VIA  
SIGNING THAT BELOW IS TRUE.

1. THAT ATTACHED DOCUMENT(S) IS FACTS AND  
NON FRIVOLOUS


2. THAT I'M INDIGENT AND CANT AFFORD TO  
PAY COURTS FILING FEES COST

THIS IS TRUE, SWORN AND SUBSCRIBED TO ME  
ON THIS DAY 25, MONTH OF 03, 24

EXPIRATION DATE: 10-4-32

S/ Connie Eady  
NOTARY PUBLIC

S/ Shahid Mejid  
WITNESS



**RECEIVED**

APR 02 2024

SC Court of Appeals

[Signature]

EXH. B

213 Broad River DE RECEIVED

Ashur Masud

INMATE TRUST FUND ACCOUNT REPORT for SOUTH-CAROLINA COURT FILING FEES PCI MAILROOM

JAN 09 2024

INSTRUCTIONS TO INMATE: Complete top portion then give to your mailroom. When returned from Accounting, you must mail this form with any payment to the Court.

By signing my name below, I am asking the Financial Accounting Office of the South Carolina Department of Corrections to complete this report. In accordance with SC Code of Laws §24-27-100 and 150, I authorize payment of the full filing fee. If I have insufficient funds in my account at this time to pay the court's full filing fee, I authorize SCDC to deduct the initial and subsequent payments until payment is completed.

INMATE NAME (print): Shabid MAJID

SCDC # 1998348 INMATE SIGNATURE: Shabid Majid with PREJUDICE UCCJAN

I plan to file this action in the SC County of \*

The section below is for SCDC - Financial Accounting Branch's use ONLY.

- (1) Total deposits to inmate's account for preceding six months' period\* \$ 25.98
(2) Twenty percent (20%) of line 1 \$ 7.19
(3) Account balance - current date \$ 7.59
(4) PAYMENT AMOUNT \*\* (lesser of line 2 or line 3) Enclosed check # 0

\*\*NOTE to COURT: If payment is for partial fee, Court must notify SCDC once case is accepted and filed. Send notice with case # and balance owed to address below. SCDC will NOT process any additional payments until notification is received from Court.

South Carolina Department of Corrections Financial Accounting - Room 214 PO Box 21787 Columbia, SC 29221-1787

RECEIVED APR 02 2024 SC Court of Appeals

\* Admission date is noted here if inmate incarcerated less than six months

[Handwritten signature]

01/31/24

Free SHANDI NA TO LEGAL DEFENSE FOUNDATION GUP  
HIGH LIFE MATA PRESIDENT SHANDI NA TID 99989  
BPO I HING BROAD BROAD COLUBIA S.C. 29901  
VICE PRESIDENT KAREEMA NUSELEY AHAGRIAT

US POSTAGE  
\$002.83<sup>0</sup>  
MAY 25 2024

**RECEIVED**  
MAR 28 2024  
BPO  
MAILROOM

**RECEIVED**  
APR 02 2024  
SC Court of Appeals

SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS  
CLERK OF COURT HONORABLE JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS  
P.O. BOX 11689  
COLUMBIA, S.C. 29911

