

RECEIVED

APR 23 2024

SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

Appeal FROM ADMINISTRATIVE LAW
COURT JUDGE PHILLIP LENSKI
CASE No. 2023-ALJ-150019-AP

Richard h. Coleman APPELLANT,

South Carolina Department of Probation Parole and
Pardon Services RESPONDENT.

APPELLATE CASE No. 2024 000050

FINAL BRIEF

Administrative law Judge Phillip Lenski alleged in his ORDER OF DISMISSAL that Appellant did not file his NOTICE OF Appeal with the Court until June 1 2023 and the Judge alleged Appellant did not provide the Court with a copy of the letter of rejection from South Carolina Department of Probation Parole and Pardon Services. The Honorable Judge Lenski allegations are false.

FACTS

1. April 26, 2023 Appellant Appeared before South Carolina Parole Board and Appellant Parole was rejected.
2. May 15 2023 nineteen (19) after Appellant's Parole was rejected Appellant file a notice of Appeal with the

South Carolina Administrative Law Court. (SEE EXHIBIT #1) and the Court itself stamped filed Appellant's NOTICE OF APPEAL until June 1, 2023. Appellant's NOTICE OF APPEAL was filed on May 15, 2023 the same day of delivery or drop off in prison U.S. mailbox, etc. Houston vs. Lack 487 U.S. 266

3. Appellant provided the Administrative Law Court with copy of South Carolina Department of Probation Parole and Pardon Services letter of Rejection. Logically showing and proving in light of reality Appellant's case was assigned to a Administrative Judge, which serves as clear indication that Appellant provided the Court with copy of the rejection letter. It is required that an individual provide the Court with copy of final decision. If not provided the Court will not assign a case to a Administrative Judge.

4. The Honorable Judge Henski claims are false, so false even the Respondent never alleged such claims in any of its responses nor Motion to Dismiss.

CONCLUSION

Based on good intent faith and law Appellant's Appeal should be granted.