

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,

v.


Travis Dwone Bryant, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2024-000239

ORDER

On April 16, 2024, we received a letter from Appellant, which we construe as a motion to proceed pro se. Appellant may proceed pro se in this matter only after knowingly and intelligently waiving the right to counsel. *See Faretta v. California*, 422 U.S. 806 (1975); *State v. Brewer*, 328 S.C. 117, 492 S.E.2d 97 (1997). We take this opportunity to warn Appellant that this court requires pro se parties to fully comply with all applicable rules. Appellant is certain to be unlearned in other aspects of the law as well. Representation by an attorney trained in the law would be highly beneficial, and we strongly encourage Appellant to continue with representation.

After considering this information, Appellant shall, within thirty days of the date of this order, notify this court whether he wishes to proceed pro se or if he would like for this court to appoint counsel if Appellant qualifies for appointed counsel. If Appellant notifies this court that he wishes to proceed pro se despite the dangers and disadvantages of doing so, this court will then determine if it will allow him to do so. *Cf. State v. Roberts*, 364 S.C. 583, 614 S.E.2d 626 (2005) (no right to proceed pro se on appeal). If Appellant fails to notify this court within thirty days, counsel will continue to provide representation in this matter.


FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

FILED
Apr 26 2024

cc:

Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire

Mark Reynolds Farthing, Esquire

Cecil Yates Brown, Jr., Esquire

Juankell Shingles, Esquire

Walter R. Martin, Esquire

Robert Michael Dudek, Esquire

Travis Dwone Bryant, 00393314