

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,


v.

Henry Cantaerero-Sanchez, Appellant.


Appellate Case No. 2023-001895

ORDER

We construe Appellant's February 27, 2024 letter as a petition to rehear the dismissal of this appeal. After careful consideration of the petition for rehearing, the Court is unable to discover that any material fact or principle of law has been either overlooked or disregarded, and hence, there is no basis for granting a rehearing.¹ Accordingly, the petition for rehearing is denied.


_____ J.


_____ J.


_____ J.

¹ Appellant failed to articulate any issues with his guilty plea or sentencing that were preserved for this court's review on direct appeal. Appellant's allegations regarding the alleged ineffectiveness of his plea counsel would be more appropriately set forth in an application for post-conviction relief. See S.C. Code Ann. § 17-27-10 et seq.; *Al-Shabazz v. State*, 338 S.C. 354, 363, 527 S.E.2d 742, 747 (2000) ("In a direct appeal, the focus generally is upon the propriety of rulings made by the circuit court in response to a party's motions or objections. In PCR, the focus usually is upon alleged errors made by trial or plea counsel.").

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Henry Cantaerero-Sanchez, 00392652

Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire

Mark Reynolds Farthing, Esquire

Kathryn Cavanaugh, Esquire

Laura Wood Young, Esquire

Robert Michael Dudek, Esquire

FILED
Apr 29 2024