

RECEIVED

Apr 29 2024

SC Court of Appeals

**FORM 8
LETTER TO THE APPELLATE COURT CLERK
FILING THE NOTICE OF APPEAL
WRIT OF CERIORARI**

April 28, 2024,

The Honorable

Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

[The Honorable Patricia A. Howard
Clerk, Supreme Court of South Carolina
Post Office Box 11330
Columbia, South Carolina 29211]

RE: Joe Clemons Appellant, vs. Peggy Pennell Agency, Inc., Peggy H. Pinnell Insurance Agency, Inc., State Farm Life Insurance Company, (jointly and severally Liable), Respondents.
Case No. 2021-001183

Dear Ms. Kitchings [Ms. Howard]:

Enclosed for filing is a notice of appeal in the above case. Also enclosed are the following:

- (1) Proof of service of the notice of appeal on the respondent[s].
- (2) A copy of the order[s] [judgment] which is [are] to be challenged on appeal.
- (3) A filing fee of \$250.*
- [(4) This appeal is being filed with the Supreme Court because, the issues that was stated with valid proof and sufficient evidence, where not considered in the court's decision to deny appellant's request to reverse the lower court improper use of a directed verdict.

The Questions Presented for Review.

1. Was there sufficient evidence for the lower court to except a directed verdict?
2. What was the core issue that the case was about?
3. Were all the transcripts used by the respondent certified and accurate?

4. Who and what were the issues about the signature being forged.
5. Was there a Scintilla of evidence that show it was not my signature on the document in question.
6. Was there a Scintilla of evidence that showed a Directed Verdict was not necessary?

A Concise statement of the case.

This case is about forgery, I the appellant brought a life insurance policy that have a WPD (waiver of premium) rider, and the respondent attempted and placed my name (appellant) on a document that will deny me the right to get WPD that will pay the premium for remaining years of the policy. But when the respondent transferred that signature to that document and what made it so obvious, it was not my (appellant) signature.

A Direct and Concise Argument in Support of the Petition.

I, Joe Clemons the appellant in this case is so grateful for the opportunity to express to this body of our Supreme Justice system, and I truly believe that this supreme court and our lower courts have successfully brought justice to hundreds of thousands and even millions of people that have been done wrong by a fellow American. But unfortunately, there are times when this wonderful system that God gave mankind to deal with this **fallen race, get invaded and influenced by people who compromise on their oath, vow, obligation and responsibility**. Well, my point in saying all that is this case that I have been fighting for the last 7 years could be the simplest and easiest case that may have ever come to this Supreme court. The reason being that I have been telling consistent truth with consistent proof with sufficient and consistent evidence, and according to our laws it should be a Fact. But and because I am not a lawyer (not because I did not want one, because I had 4 lawyers) and I only had Direct and Circumstantial Evidence and not a lot of authority my proofs were overlooked. But rule 1.12J Direct and Circumstantial Evidence, does not need any authority because it is self-sufficient. This case is only about the forging of my name on document that even is not my signature, and it is plain is day, but the problem with this case is that that demon of influence and favoritism or racism that did not let the truth with proof became a fact. I will put in the Appendix my record on appeal and the petition for rehearing, also some excerpts from deposition and if I need anything else, please let me know.

Mr. Charles R. Norris, Esquire
89 Broad Street.
Charleston, SC 29401
Respondent

s/Joe Clemons
2202 Addidas St.
Eutawville, SC 29048
Appellant, Pro Se/
Apologist