

A Tebele & Sons
PLAINTIFF(S)

Certain Underwriters At Lloyds et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

On December 21, 2023, Plaintiff A. Tebele & Sons filed post-trial motions with respect to the defendant insurers in this case. On December 27, 2023, Plaintiff filed amended post-trial motions with respect to those defendants, incorporating by reference the exhibits included in the motions originally filed on December 21, 2023. Plaintiff moves for a new trial and judgment notwithstanding the verdict. After carefully considering the amended motion, the exhibits incorporated by reference, the supplemental memorandum filed January 10, 2024, the defendant insurers' memorandum in opposition filed January 10, 2024, as well as Plaintiff's reply brief thereto, and all relevant caselaw and statutes, the court respectfully denies Plaintiff's post-trial motions as to the defendant insurers in this case.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 04/08/2024 .

RECEIVED

Apr 29 2024

SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.



Horry Common Pleas

Case Caption: A Tebele & Sons VS Certain Underwriters At Lloyds , defendant, et al

Case Number: 2020CP2600808

Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

15th Circuit Resident Judge

s/ B. Alex Hyman