



# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS  
CLERK

CATHERINE S. HARRISON  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211  
1220 SENATE STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201  
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890  
FAX: (803) 734-1839  
[www.sccourts.org](http://www.sccourts.org)

May 01, 2024

Ms. Jessica M Saxon, Esquire  
1330 Lady Street, Suite 401  
PO Box 11589  
Columbia SC 29201

Mr. Mark Reynolds Farthing, Esquire  
PO Box 11549  
Columbia SC 29211-1549

Re: The State v. Da Juan R. Young  
Appellate Case No. 2021-001349

Dear Counsel:

Enclosed is the decision of the Court. The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Catherine Harrison, deputy". The signature is written in a cursive style.

CLERK

cc: Da Juan R. Young, 00386524  
Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire  
William W. Wilkins, Esquire  
The Honorable Edward W. Miller

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE  
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING  
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals**

The State, Respondent,

v.

Da Juan Rayshard Young, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2021-001349

---

Appeal From Greenville County  
Edward W. Miller, Circuit Court Judge

---

Unpublished Opinion No. 2024-UP-142  
Submitted April 1, 2024 – Filed May 1, 2024

---

**APPEAL DISMISSED**

---

Appellate Defender Jessica M. Saxon, of Columbia, for  
Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Senior  
Assistant Attorney General Mark Reynolds Farthing,  
both of Columbia; and Solicitor William Walter Wilkins,  
III, of Greenville, all for Respondent.

---

**PER CURIAM:** Dismissed after review pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386  
U.S. 738 (1967). Counsel's motion to be relieved is granted.

**APPEAL DISMISSED.<sup>1</sup>**

**THOMAS, MCDONALD, and VERDIN, JJ., concur.**

---

<sup>1</sup> We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.