

Pro-Se Response

Chavis Morris v. State

Case No: 2023-061908

RECEIVED

MAY 07 2024

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Now comes the above name petitioner, Pro-se to submit my response to the Court. I would show to this Honorable Court the following:

I feel that Judge Sprouse should have recused himself in my case because of a conflict of interest. I further feel that both the Judge and Solicitor Danny Fulmer should have recused themselves due to Bob Lusk being a member of the bar and sitting on the County Council in the same County I was to be tried in. This injustice was multiplied by the fact that his wife Kathy Lusk, also the mother of my alleged victim, works inside the Courthouse.

Assistant Solicitor Danny Fulmer presented my first public Defender, Jennifer Johnson with a letter acknowledging that I would need an out of town Judge to hear the case (see Exhibit 1) Upon Attorney Johnson passing my case on to Attorney Jennifer Byford. Attorney Byford neglected to preserve this issue of the Conflict that required me to have an out of town Judge. At no point did counsel ever present the correspondence from the

Solicitors office to the Court. I strongly insisted on going to trial until Counsel advised me that I would not be able to get a change of venue or another Judge. Thus I involuntarily entered my plea thinking that I had no other alternatives.

Appellate Counsel Sarah Henry failed to conscientiously help me inasmuch as she failed to raise any of the above issues on my appeal.

Based on the above facts I would ask this Honorable Court to overturn my conviction.

Sincerely I am
Chris Morris

Chris Morris # 352985