



# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS  
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN  
DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211  
1015 SUMTER STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201  
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890  
FAX: (803) 734-1839  
www.sccourts.org

August 02, 2013

Ms. Lorelle D. Proctor  
O.T. Wallace Bldg.  
101 Meeting St., 5th Floor  
Charleston SC 29401

Re: The State v. Domoneik Washington  
Appellate Case No. 2013-001616

Dear Counsel:

Upon reviewing your notice of appeal, the following deficiency or deficiencies have been noted under the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR), and any deficiency must be corrected within ten (10) days of the date of this letter:

- Please provide a redacted version of the orders being appealed by marking out the appellant's personal information: social security number, driver's license, birth date, and address.

Very truly yours,

*V. Claire Allen, Deputy*  
CLERK

cc: Douglas Bruce DuRant  
Salley W. Elliott  
Robert Michael Dudek

**RECEIVED**

AUG 12 2013

**SC Court of Appeals**



## The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS  
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN  
DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211  
1015 SUMTER STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201  
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890  
FAX: (803) 734-1839  
[www.sccourts.org](http://www.sccourts.org)

August 02, 2013

Ms. Lorelle D. Proctor  
O.T. Wallace Bldg.  
101 Meeting St., 5th Floor  
Charleston SC 29401

Re: The State v. Domoneik Washington  
Appellate Case No. 2013-001616

**RECEIVED**

AUG 1 2 2013

**SC Court of Appeals**

Dear Counsel:

This Court has received your notice of appeal, and the case has been assigned the appellate case number that appears above. Please use this number on all future correspondence relating to this matter.

All parties to this matter are advised that all filings must comply with the requirements of Rule 267 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR). The SCACR are available online at [www.sccourts.org/courtreg](http://www.sccourts.org/courtreg). Additionally, any filings submitted by counsel admitted in South Carolina must include counsel's bar number.

The attention of the parties is directed to the order relating to the inclusion of personal data identifiers and other sensitive information in documents filed with the Supreme Court of South Carolina and the South Carolina Court of Appeals. The order can be found at [www.sccourts.org/courtOrders/HTMLFiles/2007-08-13-02.htm](http://www.sccourts.org/courtOrders/HTMLFiles/2007-08-13-02.htm). Please note that the responsibility for insuring that information is redacted or sealed as required by this order rests with counsel and the parties. This office will *not* review filings for redaction or to determine if materials should be sealed.

Very truly yours,

*V. Claire Allen, Deputy*

CLERK

cc: Douglas Bruce DuRant  
Salley W. Elliott  
Robert Michael Dudek

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY  
Court of General Sessions

Deadra L Jefferson, Circuit Court Judge

INDICTMENT NO.: 2011GS106881; 82; 83

THE STATE, ..... RESPONDENT

v.

DOMONEIK WASHINGTON, ..... APPELLANT

PROOF OF SERVICE

FILED  
2013 JUL 23 PM 2:24  
JULIE J ARMSTRONG  
CLERK OF COURT

I certify that I have served the Notice of Appeal on Bruce Durant, Deputy Solicitor for Charleston County, by hand delivering a copy of it to the Charleston County Solicitor's Office at 101 Meeting Street, Charleston, South Carolina 29401, on July 23, 2013.

*Lorelle D. Proctor*

Lorelle D. Proctor  
Attorney for Appellant Domoneik Washington  
Charleston County Public Defender  
O. T. Wallace County Office Building  
101 Meeting Street  
Charleston, SC 29401  
(843) 958-1850

RECEIVED

AUG 1 2 2013

SC Court of Appeals

ATTEST: A TRUE COPY  
JULIE J ARMSTRONG (SEAL)

By *Mark B. [Signature]*  
DEPUTY CLERK

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY  
Court of General Sessions

Deadra L. Jefferson, Circuit Court Judge

INDICTMENT NO.: 2011GS106881; 82; 83

THE STATE, ..... RESPONDENT,

v.

DOMONEIK WASHINGTON, ..... APPELLANT

BY  
JULIE J. ARMSTRONG  
CLERK OF COURT

2013 JUL 23 PM 2: 24

FILED

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Domoneik Washington appeals his conviction and sentence in this case. The sentence was imposed by the Honorable Deadra L. Jefferson on July 17, 2013.

*Lorelle D. Proctor*

Lorelle D. Proctor  
Attorney for Appellant Domoneik Washington  
Charleston County Public Defender  
O. T. Wallace County Office Building  
101 Meeting Street  
Charleston, SC 29401  
(843) 958-1850

Other counsel of record are:  
Bruce Durant  
Deputy Solicitor  
O.T. Wallace County Office Building  
101 Meeting Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Charleston, SC 29401  
(843) 958-1900  
Attorney for Respondent

ATTEST: A TRUE COPY  
JULIE J. ARMSTRONG (SEAL)  
CLERK, C.P. 27, A.F.C.  
By *Julie J. Armstrong*  
DEPUTY CLERK

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
 COUNTY OF CHARLESTON )  
 )  
 STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
 )  
 )  
 -versus- )  
 )  
 Domoneik Washington, )  
 )  
 Defendant. )

IN THE SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS  
 INDICTMENT NO.: 2011GS106881; 82; 83  
 CHARGE: Three Counts of Attempted Murder

REQUEST FOR REPRESENTATION  
 ON APPEAL

FILED  
 2013 JUL 23 PM 2:24  
 JULIE J. ARMSTRONG  
 CLERK OF COURT

On behalf of the request of the above-named Defendant, to be represented by South Carolina Commission of Appellate Defense, the undersigned attorney would show to the Honorable Court that:

1. She is the attorney for the defendant-appellant in the above captioned case. The defendant-appellant was placed in custody immediately following conviction and sentence and was not available to personally sign this Request.
2. The defendant-appellant was represented by the Charleston County Public Defender's Office as an indigent, pursuant to the Defense of Indigent Act.
3. The defendant-appellant has been informed that he may request assistance from the South Carolina Commission of Appellate Defense in perfecting his appeal.
4. A timely Notice of Intention to Appeal has been filed on the defendant's-appellant behalf.
5. The defendant-appellant has been informed that nothing requires that office to pursue this appeal unless that office's Chief Attorney is satisfied that there is arguable merit to this appeal and that he cannot afford to hire an attorney.

At this time, the defendant-appellant requests the aid of the South Carolina Commission of Appellate Defense in perfecting his appeal to the South Carolina Court of Appeals.

*Lorelle D Proctor*  
 Lorelle D. Proctor  
 Attorney for Domoneik Washington

Charleston, South Carolina  
 Dated: *July 22*, 2013.

ATTEST: A TRUE COPY  
 JULIE J. ARMSTRONG (SEAL)  
 CLERK, C.P., S.S. & F.C.  
 By: *[Signature]*  
 DEPUTY CLERK



## APPELLATE DEFENSE CHECKLIST

The documents forwarded with this letter have had a check mark placed by them. Documents not applicable to this case have been marked N/A. All other documents will be forwarded at a later date.

1. Copy of Appeal papers sent to S.C. Court of Appeals
2. Copy of Warrants and accompanying Affidavits
3. Copy of Indictments
4. Copy of written Motions
- NA 5. Copy of written Request to Charge
- NA 6. Copy of Defendant's Statement
- NA 7. Copy of Written Exhibits introduced at trial
8. Copy of Sentencing Sheet

**FILED**  
2013 JUL 23 PM 2: 24  
JULIE J. ARMSTRONG  
CLERK OF COURT  
BY \_\_\_\_\_

ATTEST: A TRUE COPY  
JULIE J. ARMSTRONG (SEAL)  
CLERK, C.P. S.S. & J.C.  
By Mark Benton  
DEPUTY CLERK

Charleston County Sheriff's Office  
Criminal Investigations Division  
Phone (843) 554-2475



3505 Pinehaven Drive  
Charleston Heights, SC 29405  
FAX (843) 554-9744

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON

AFFIDAVIT

OCA#2011-005237B

Personally appeared before me, a magistrate of this County, one Detective D. J. Boyd, who, first being duly sworn, deposes and says that

**DOMONEIK ANTWAN WASHINGTON**

did within this County and State on or about the 30<sup>TH</sup> day of March, 2011, violate the criminal laws of the State of South Carolina in the following particulars:

**DESCRIPTION OF OFFENSE**  
**MURDER**  
**16-3-10**

The affiant states that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant named above did commit the crime(s) set forth, and that such probable cause is based on the following facts:

That on March 30, 2011, while in the area of 897 Folly Road, James Island, in the County and State aforesaid, the above named defendant, **DOMONEIK ANTWAN WASHINGTON**, did commit the offense of violation section 16-3-10 of the South Carolina State Code of Laws, 1976 as amended, **MURDER**, in that he did willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously, and with malice aforethought shoot the victim, Antwan Devon Wilson (aka Brian Revon Wilson), and that this did directly cause the death of the victim.

That on March 30, 2011, members of the Charleston County Sheriff's Office responded to the Kangaroo gas station located at 897 Folly Rd. in reference to a shooting. City of Charleston Police found the victim, Antwan Devon Wilson, in the area of Folly Rd. and Central Park Rd. inside a crashed gold in color Chevy Impala with gunshot wounds to the head and the lower body. The victim was pronounced dead at the scene. Deputies obtained the surveillance video from the Kangaroo gas station. The surveillance video was reviewed and it showed that the gold in color Impala was parked by the gas pumps in front of the Kangaroo and a black male subject armed with a black handgun shot into the car numerous times striking the victim. The gold in color Impala was observed leaving the area and a few minutes later was found crashed at Folly Rd. and Central Park Rd. by CCPD.


During the course of the investigation Domoneik Antwan Washington was developed as a suspect in the shooting. A photo line-up of Washington was drafted and shown to the witness that was at the scene during the shooting. The witness then positively identified Domoneik Antwan Washington as the subject that shot at the gold in color Impala multiple times striking the victim.

The above information was revealed through the investigation of Detective D. J. Boyd and he is a witness to prove the same against the form of the statute in such case made and provided against the peace and dignity of the State.

Sworn to and Subscribed before me

this 31 day of March 2011

Signature of Judge

  
Detective D. J. Boyd  
Charleston County Sheriff's Office  
3505 Pinehaven Drive  
Charleston Heights, SC 29405  
843-554-2475

BAIL set by

Judge Lombard  
on 5201  
Type and Amount NO BOND  
Name of Surety \_\_\_\_\_

PRELIMINARY HEARING held by

Judge \_\_\_\_\_  
on \_\_\_\_\_

Defendant Attorney \_\_\_\_\_

Decision \_\_\_\_\_

Judge \_\_\_\_\_

on \_\_\_\_\_

by \_\_\_\_\_  
(indicate jury trial, bench trial, plea, nol pros, etc.)

Disposition \_\_\_\_\_

Sentence \_\_\_\_\_

JURORS

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

WITNESSES

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone \_\_\_\_\_

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone \_\_\_\_\_

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone \_\_\_\_\_

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone \_\_\_\_\_

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone \_\_\_\_\_

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone \_\_\_\_\_

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone \_\_\_\_\_

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone \_\_\_\_\_

CODEFENDANTS

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_



FILED  
2011 MAY 24 AM 9:5  
JULIE A. HONG  
CLERK OF COURT  
DISPOSITION before

2011-005237  
ARREST WARRANT

M-605012

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

County/  Municipality of

Charleston

THE STATE

against

Domoneik Antwan Washington

Address

Phone \_\_\_\_\_ SSN \_\_\_\_\_

Sex \_\_\_\_\_ Race \_\_\_\_\_ Height \_\_\_\_\_ Weight \_\_\_\_\_

DL State \_\_\_\_\_ DL# \_\_\_\_\_

DOB \_\_\_\_\_ Agency ORI # SC0100000

Prosecuting Agency Charleston County Sheriff

Prosecuting Officer Derek J. Boyd - 0020

Offense Murder / Murder

Offense Code 0116

Code/Ordinance Sec 16-03-0010, 0020

This warrant is CERTIFIED FOR SERVICE in the

County/  Municipality of

The accused

is to be arrested and brought before me to be

dealt with according to the law

(L.S.)

Signature of Judge

Date

RETURN

A copy of this arrest warrant was delivered to

defendant Domoneik Washington

on 5-19-2011

*D. Boyd*  
Signature of Constable/Law Enforcement Officer

RETURN WARRANT TO:

General Sessions  
Charleston County Judicial Center  
100 Broad Street, Suite 106  
Charleston, SC 29401

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

County/  Municipality of

Charleston

AFFIDAVIT

ORIGINAL

Form Approved by  
S.C. Attorney General  
April 21, 2003  
SCCA 518

Personally appeared before me the affiant Derek J. Boyd

being duly sworn deposes and says that defendant Domoneik Antwan Washington

did within this county and state on or about 3/31/2011 violate the criminal laws of the

State of South Carolina (or ordinance of  County/  Municipality of Charleston)

in the following particulars

DESCRIPTION OF OFFENSE: Murder / Murder

I further state that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant named above did commit the crime set forth and that probable cause is based on the following facts

SEE ATTACHED AFFADAVIT

Signature of Affiant

*D. Boyd*

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

County/  Municipality of

Charleston

Affiant's Address 3505 Pinehaven Drive

Charleston, SC 29405-

Affiant's Telephone (843)554-2475

ARREST WARRANT

TO ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER OF THIS STATE OR MUNICIPALITY OR ANY CONSTABLE OF THIS COUNTY:

It appearing from the above affidavit that there are reasonable grounds to believe that

on or about 3/31/2011 defendant Domoneik Antwan Washington

did violate the criminal laws of the State of South Carolina (or ordinance of

County/  Municipality of Charleston) as set forth below

DESCRIPTION OF OFFENSE: Murder / Murder

Having found probable cause and the above affiant having sworn before me, you are empowered and directed to arrest the said defendant and bring him or her before me forthwith to be dealt with according to law. A copy of this Arrest Warrant shall be delivered to the defendant at the time of its execution, or as soon thereafter as is practicable.

Sworn to and subscribed before me

on 3/31/2011

Signature of Issuing Judge

*David Wilson Coker*

David Wilson Coker

Judge Code 5535

Judge's Address 4045 Bridge View Drive

Charleston, SC 29419

Judge's Telephone (843)202-6610

Issuing Court

Magistrate

Municipal

Circuit

Charleston County Sheriff's Office  
Criminal Investigations Division  
Phone (843) 554-2475



3505 Pinehaven Drive  
Charleston Heights, SC 29405  
FAX (843) 554-9744

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON

AFFIDAVIT

OCA#2011-005237B

Personally appeared before me, a magistrate of this County, one Detective D. J. Boyd, who, first being duly sworn, deposes and says that

**DOMONEIK ANTWAN WASHINGTON**

did within this County and State on or about the 30<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2011, violate the criminal laws of the State of South Carolina in the following particulars:

**DESCRIPTION OF OFFENSE  
ATTEMPTED MURDER  
16-3-29**

The affiant states that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant named above did commit the crime(s) set forth, and that such probable cause is based on the following facts:

That on March 30, 2011, while in the area of 897 Folly Road, James Island, in the County and State aforesaid, the above named defendant, **DOMONEIK ANTWAN WASHINGTON**, did commit the offense of violation section 16-3-29 of the South Carolina State Code of Laws, 1976 as amended, **ATTEMPTED MURDER**, in that he did willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously, and with malice aforethought shoot the victim, Edward Jerome Wittrell Jr.

That on March 30, 2011, members of the Charleston County Sheriff's Office responded to the Kangaroo gas station located at 897 Folly Rd. in reference to a shooting. City of Charleston Police found the victim, Edward Jerome Wittrell Jr., in the area of Folly Rd. and Central Park Rd. inside a crashed gold in color Chevy Impala with a gunshot wound to the upper body. The victim was transported to the hospital to be treated for his injuries. Deputies obtained the surveillance video from the Kangaroo gas station. The surveillance video was reviewed and it showed that the gold in color Impala was parked by the gas pumps in front of the Kangaroo and a black male subject armed with a black handgun shot into the car numerous times striking the victim. The gold in color Impala was observed leaving the area and a few minutes later was found crashed at Folly Rd and Central Park Rd. by CCPD.

During the course of the investigation Domoneik Antwan Washington was developed as a suspect in the shooting. A photo line-up of Washington was drafted and shown to the witness that was at the scene during the shooting. The witness then positively identified Domoneik Antwan Washington as the subject that shot at the gold in color Impala multiple times striking the victim.

The above information was revealed through the investigation of Detective D. J. Boyd and he is a witness to prove the same against the form of the statute in such case made and provided against the peace and dignity of the State.

Sworn to and Subscribed before me

this 31 day of MARCH, 2011

Signature of Judge

Detective D. J. Boyd  
Charleston County Sheriff's Office  
3505 Pinehaven Drive  
Charleston Heights, SC 29405  
843-554-2475

2011-005237  
ARREST WARRANT

M-605013

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

County/  Municipality of

Charleston

THE STATE

against

Domoneik Antwan Washington

Address

Phone SSN

Sex Race Height Weight

DL State DL #

DOB Agency ORI # SC0100000

Prosecuting Agency Charleston County Sheriff

Prosecuting Officer Derek J. Boyd - 0020

Offense Murder / Attempted Murder

Offense Code 3410

Code/Ordinance Sec 16-03-0029

This warrant is CERTIFIED FOR SERVICE in the

County/  Municipality of

The accused

is to be arrested and brought before me to be dealt with according to the law

(L.S.)

Signature of Judge

Date

RETURN

A copy of this arrest warrant was delivered to

defendant DOMONEIK WASHINGTON

on 5-19-2011

Signature of Constable/Enforcement Officer

RETURN WARRANT TO:

General Sessions  
Charleston County Judicial Center  
100 Broad Street, Suite 106  
Charleston, SC 29401

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

County/  Municipality of

Charleston

AFFIDAVIT

ORIGINAL

Form Approved by  
S.C. Attorney General  
April 21, 2003  
SOCA 518

Personally appeared before me the affiant Derek J. Boyd who

being duly sworn deposes and says that defendant Domoneik Antwan Washington

did within this county and state on or about 3/31/2011 violate the criminal laws of the

State of South Carolina (or ordinance of  County/  Municipality of Charleston)  
in the following particulars

DESCRIPTION OF OFFENSE Murder / Attempted Murder

I further state that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant named above did commit the crime set forth and that probable cause is based on the following facts

SEE ATTACHED AFFADAVIT

Signature of Affiant

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

County/  Municipality of

Charleston

Affiant's Address 3505 Pinehaven Drive

Charleston, SC 29405-

Affiant's Telephone (843)554-2475

ARREST WARRANT

TO ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER OF THIS STATE OR MUNICIPALITY OR ANY CONSTABLE OF THIS COUNTY:

It appearing from the above affidavit that there are reasonable grounds to believe that

on or about 3/31/2011 defendant Domoneik Antwan Washington

did violate the criminal laws of the State of South Carolina (or ordinance of

County/  Municipality of Charleston) as set forth below

DESCRIPTION OF OFFENSE: Murder / Attempted Murder

Having found probable cause and the above affiant having sworn before me, you are empowered and directed to arrest the said defendant and bring him or her before me forthwith to be dealt with according to law. A copy of this Arrest Warrant shall be delivered to the defendant at the time of its execution, or as soon thereafter as is practicable.

Sworn to and subscribed before me

on 3/31/2011

Signature of Issuing Judge

David Wilson Coker

Judge Code 5535

Judge's Address 4045 Bridge View Drive

Charleston, SC 29419

Judge's Telephone (843)202-6610

Issuing Court  Magistrate  Municipal  Circuit

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

BAIL set by

WITNESSES



Judge Lombard  
on 5-20-11  
Type and Amount 500,000  
Name of Surety \_\_\_\_\_

Name \_\_\_\_\_  
Address \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone \_\_\_\_\_

Name \_\_\_\_\_  
Address \_\_\_\_\_

PRELIMINARY HEARING held by

Telephone \_\_\_\_\_

Judge \_\_\_\_\_

Name \_\_\_\_\_

on \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

Defendant Attorney \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone \_\_\_\_\_

Decision \_\_\_\_\_

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone \_\_\_\_\_

Judge \_\_\_\_\_

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

on \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone \_\_\_\_\_

by \_\_\_\_\_

(indicate jury trial, bench trial, plea, not pros. etc.)

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

Disposition \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone \_\_\_\_\_

Sentence \_\_\_\_\_

JURORS

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone \_\_\_\_\_

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone \_\_\_\_\_

CODEFENDANTS

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

FILED  
2011 MAY 24 AM 9:58  
JULIE HARRISON  
CLERK OF COURT  
BY \_\_\_\_\_  
DISPOSITION before

Charleston County Sheriff's Office  
Criminal Investigations Division  
Phone (843) 554-2475



3505 Pinehaven Drive  
Charleston Heights, SC 29405  
FAX (843) 554-9744

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON

AFFIDAVIT

OCA#2011-005237B

Personally appeared before me, a magistrate of this County, one Detective D. J. Boyd, who, first being duly sworn, deposes and says that

**DOMONEIK ANTWAN WASHINGTON**

did within this County and State on or about the 30<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2011, violate the criminal laws of the State of South Carolina in the following particulars:

**DESCRIPTION OF OFFENSE  
ATTEMPTED MURDER  
16-3-29**

The affiant states that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant named above did commit the crime(s) set forth, and that such probable cause is based on the following facts

That on March 30, 2011, while in the area of 897 Folly Road, James Island, in the County and State aforesaid, the above named defendant, **DOMONEIK ANTWAN WASHINGTON**, did commit the offense of violation section 16-3-29 of the South Carolina State Code of Laws, 1976 as amended, **ATTEMPTED MURDER**, in that he did willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously, and with malice aforethought shoot the victim, Ronald Cornell Bryant III

That on March 30, 2011, members of the Charleston County Sheriff's Office responded to the Kangaroo gas station located at 897 Folly Rd. in reference to a shooting. City of Charleston Police found the victim, Ronald Cornell Bryant III, in the area of Folly Rd. and Central Park Rd. inside a crashed gold in color Chevy Impala with a gunshot wound to the head. The victim was transported to the hospital to be treated for his injuries. Deputies obtained the surveillance video from the Kangaroo gas station. The surveillance video was reviewed and it showed that the gold in color Impala was parked by the gas pumps in front of the Kangaroo and a black male subject armed with a black handgun shot into the car numerous times striking the victim. The gold in color Impala was observed leaving the area and a few minutes later was found crashed at Folly Rd. and Central Park Rd. by CCPD.

During the course of the investigation Domoneik Antwan Washington was developed as a suspect in the shooting. A photo line-up of Washington was drafted and shown to the witness that was at the scene during the shooting. The witness then positively identified Domoneik Antwan Washington as the subject that shot at the gold in color Impala multiple times striking the victim.

The above information was revealed through the investigation of Detective D. J. Boyd and he is a witness to prove the same against the form of the statute in such case made and provided against the peace and dignity of the State.

Sworn to and Subscribed before me

this 31 day of March 2011

Signature of Judge

Detective D. J. Boyd  
Charleston County Sheriff's Office  
3505 Pinehaven Drive  
Charleston Heights, SC 29405  
843-554-2475

M-605014

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

County/  Municipality of

Charleston

THE STATE

against

Domoneik Antwan Washington

Address

Phone [redacted] SSN [redacted]  
Sex [redacted] Race [redacted] Height [redacted] Weight [redacted]  
DL State SC DL # [redacted]  
DOB [redacted] Agency ORI # [redacted]

Prosecuting Agency Charleston County Sheriff  
Prosecuting Officer Derek J. Boyd - 0020  
Offense Murder / Attempted Murder

Offense Code 3410  
Code/Ordinance Sec 16-03-0029

This warrant is CERTIFIED FOR SERVICE in the  
 County/  Municipality of

The accused is to be arrested and brought before me to be dealt with according to the law

(L.S.)

Signature of Judge

Date

RETURN

A copy of this arrest warrant was delivered to defendant DOMONEIK WASHINGTON on 5-19-2011

*DJ Boyd*  
Signature of Law Enforcement Officer

RETURN WARRANT TO:

General Sessions  
Charleston County Judicial Center  
100 Broad Street, Suite 106  
Charleston, SC 29401

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
 County/  Municipality of )  
Charleston )

AFFIDAVIT

ORIGINAL

Form Approved by  
S.C. Attorney General  
April 21, 2003  
SCCA 518

Personally appeared before me the affiant Derek J. Boyd who  
being duly sworn deposes and says that defendant Domoneik Antwan Washington  
did within this county and state on or about 3/31/2011 violate the criminal laws of the  
State of South Carolina (or ordinance of  County/  Municipality of Charleston)  
in the following particulars

DESCRIPTION OF OFFENSE: Murder / Attempted Murder

I further state that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant named above did commit the crime set forth and that probable cause is based on the following facts

SEE ATTACHED AFFADAVIT

Signature of Affiant

*DJ Boyd*

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
 County/  Municipality of )  
Charleston )

Affiant's Address 3505 Pinehaven Drive  
Charleston, SC 29405-  
Affiant's Telephone (843)554-2475

ARREST WARRANT

TO ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER OF THIS STATE OR MUNICIPALITY OR ANY CONSTABLE OF THIS COUNTY

It appearing from the above affidavit that there are reasonable grounds to believe that

on or about 3/31/2011 defendant Domoneik Antwan Washington  
did violate the criminal laws of the State of South Carolina (or ordinance of  
 County/  Municipality of Charleston) as set forth below

DESCRIPTION OF OFFENSE: Murder / Attempted Murder

Having found probable cause and the above affiant having sworn before me, you are empowered and directed to arrest the said defendant and bring him or her before me forthwith to be dealt with according to law. A copy of this Arrest Warrant shall be delivered to the defendant at the time of its execution, or as soon thereafter as is practicable.

Sworn to and subscribed before me on 3/31/2011 )  
*David Wilson Coker* )  
Signature of Issuing Judge (L.S.) )  
David Wilson Coker )  
Judge Code 5535 )

Judge's Address 4045 Bridge View Drive  
Charleston, SC 29419  
Judge's Telephone (843)202-6610

Issuing Court  Magistrate  Municipal  Circuit

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

BAIL set by

Judge Lombard  
on 5-20-11  
Type and Amount 500,000-  
Name of Surety \_\_\_\_\_

PRELIMINARY HEARING held by

Judge \_\_\_\_\_  
on \_\_\_\_\_  
Defendant Attorney \_\_\_\_\_

Decision \_\_\_\_\_

Judge \_\_\_\_\_

on \_\_\_\_\_

by \_\_\_\_\_  
(indicate jury trial, bench trial, plea, nol pros, etc.)

Disposition \_\_\_\_\_

Sentence \_\_\_\_\_

JURORS

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

WITNESSES

Name \_\_\_\_\_  
Address \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone \_\_\_\_\_  
Name \_\_\_\_\_  
Address \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone \_\_\_\_\_  
Name \_\_\_\_\_  
Address \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone \_\_\_\_\_  
Name \_\_\_\_\_  
Address \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone \_\_\_\_\_  
Name \_\_\_\_\_  
Address \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone \_\_\_\_\_  
Name \_\_\_\_\_  
Address \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone \_\_\_\_\_  
Name \_\_\_\_\_  
Address \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone \_\_\_\_\_



FILED  
2011 MAY 24 AM 9:51  
JULIE A. ARNOLD  
CLERK OF COURT  
DISPOSITION before

CODEFENDANTS

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

COUNTY OF Charleston
STATE VS.

INDICTMENT/CASE#: 2011GS1006882

Domoneik Antwan Washington

A/W#: M605013

AKA:

Date of Offense: 3/30/2011

Race: Sex: M Age:

S.C. Code §: 16-03-0029

DOB: SS#:

CDR Code #: 3410

Address:

City, State, Zip:

DL#: SID#:

\*CDL Yes No CMV Yes No Hazmat Yes No

In disposition of the said indictment comes now the Defendant who was TO: Assault and Battery of a High and Aggravated Nature

CONVICTED OF or PLEADS

SENTENCE SHEET

in violation of § 16-03-0600(B)(1) of the S.C. Code of Laws, bearing CDR Code # 3411

NON-VIOLENT VIOLENT SERIOUS MOST SERIOUS Mandatory GPS(CSC w/minor 1st or Lewd Act) §17-25-45

The charge is: As Indicted, Lesser Included Offense, Defendant Waives Presentment to Grand Jury.

The plea is: Without Negotiations or Recommendation, Negotiated Sentence, Recommendation by the State.

ATTEST: DuRant, D. Bruce SC Bar# 1801 Defendant Attorney for Defendant SC Bar#

WHEREFORE, the Defendant is committed to the State Department of Corrections, County Detention Center, for a determinate term of 20 days/months/years or under the Youthful Offender Act not to exceed years and/or to pay a fine of \$; provided that upon the service of days/months/years and/or payment of \$; plus costs and assessments as applicable\*; the balance is suspended with probation for

months/years and subject to South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services standard conditions of probation, which are incorporated by reference.

CONCURRENT or CONSECUTIVE to sentence on: 2011-GS-10-6881, 6883
The Defendant is to be given credit for time served pursuant to S.C. Code § 24-13-40 to be calculated and applied by the State Department of Corrections.

The Defendant is to be placed on the Central Registry of Child Abuse and Neglect pursuant to S.C. Code §17-25-135.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 922, it is unlawful for a person convicted of a violation of Section 16-25-20 or 16-25-65 (Criminal Domestic Violence) to ship, transport, possess, or receive a firearm or ammunition.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

RESTITUTION: Deferred Def. Waives Hearing Ordered PTUP
Total: \$ plus 20% fee: \$
Payment Terms:
Set by SCDPPPS

Recipient:

days/hours Public Service Employment

Obtain GED

Attend Voc. Rehab. or Job Corp.

May serve W/E beginning

Substance Abuse Counseling

Random Drug/Alcohol testing

Fine may be pd. in equal, consecutive weekly/monthly pmts. of \$ beginning

\$ paid to Public Defender Fund

Other:

Appointed PD or appointed other counsel, § 47.12 requires \$500 be paid to Clerk during probation.

Presiding Judge Judge Code: 2128 Sentence Date: 7/17/2013

Clerk of Court/ Deputy Clerk Court Reporter: Phyllis Norton SCCA217 (03/2011)

Table with 3 columns: Description, Amount, Total. Includes items like § 14-1-206 (Assessments 107.5%), § 14-1-211(A)(1) (Conv. Surcharge) \$100, § 14-1-211(A)(2) (DUI Surcharge) \$100, § 56-5-2995 (DUI Assessment) \$12, § 56-1-286 (DUI Breath Test) \$25, Proviso 47.9 (Public Def/Prob) \$500, § 14-1-212 (Law Enforce. Funding) \$25, § 14-1-213 (Drug Court Surcharge) \$150, § 50-21-114(BUI Breath Test Fee) \$50, § 56-5-2942(J) (Vehicle Assessment) \$40/ca, Proviso 90.5 (SCCJA Surcharge) \$5, 3% to County (if paid in installments) \$3.90, TOTAL \$133.90

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

COUNTY OF Charleston
STATE VS.

Domoneik Antwan Washington

INDICTMENT/CASE#: 2011GS1006883

A/W#: M605014

Date of Offense: 3/30/2011

S.C. Code §: 16-03-0029

CDR Code #: 3410

SENTENCE SHEET

AKA:
Race: Sex: Age:
DOB: SS#:
Address:
City, State, Zip:
DL#: SID#:

\*CDL Yes No CMV Yes No Hazmat Yes No
In disposition of the said indictment comes now the Defendant who was TO: Murder / Attempted Murder

CONVICTED OF or PLEADS

in violation of § 16-03-0029 of the S.C. Code of Laws, bearing CDR Code # 3410
NON-VIOLENT VIOLENT SERIOUS MOST SERIOUS Mandatory GPS(CSC w/minor 1st or Lewd Act) §17-25-45

The charge is: As Indicted, Lesser Included Offense, Defendant Waives Presentment to Grand Jury.
The plea is: Without Negotiations or Recommendation, Negotiated Sentence, Recommendation by the State.

ATTEST: DuRant, D. Bruce SC Bar# 1801 Defendant - Attorney for Defendant SC Bar#

WHEREFORE, the Defendant is committed to the State Department of Corrections, County Detention Center,
for a determinate term of 20 days/months/years or under the Youthful Offender Act not to exceed years
and/or to pay a fine of \$; provided that upon the service of days/months/years and/or payment
of \$; plus costs and assessments as applicable\*; the balance is suspended with probation for

months/years and subject to South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services standard conditions of
probation, which are incorporated by reference.

CONCURRENT or CONSECUTIVE to sentence on: 2011-GS-6881, 6882
The Defendant is to be given credit for time served pursuant to S.C. Code § 24-13-40 to be calculated and applied
by the State Department of Corrections.
The Defendant is to be placed on the Central Registry of Child Abuse and Neglect pursuant to S.C. Code §17-25-135.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 922, it is unlawful for a person convicted of a violation of Section 16-25-20 or 16-25-65 (Criminal
Domestic Violence ) to ship, transport, possess, or receive a firearm or ammunition.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

RESTITUTION: Deferred Def. Waives Hearing Ordered
Total: \$ plus 20% fee: \$
Payment Terms:
Set by SCDPPPS

PTUP
days/hours Public Service Employment
Obtain GED
Attend Voc. Rehab. or Job Corp.
May serve W/E beginning
Substance Abuse Counseling
Random Drug/Alcohol testing
Fine may be pd. in equal, consecutive weekly/monthly
pmts. of \$ beginning
\$ paid to Public Defender Fund
Other:

Appointed PD or appointed other counsel,
§ 47.12 requires \$500 be paid to Clerk
during probation.

Table with 3 columns: Description, Amount, Total. Includes items like § 14-1-206 (Assessments 107.5%), § 14-1-211(A)(1) (Conv. Surcharge) \$100, § 14-1-211(A)(2) (DUI Surcharge) \$100, § 56-5-2995 (DUI Assessment) \$12, § 56-1-286 (DUI Breath Test) \$25, Proviso 47.9 (Public Def/Prob) \$500, § 14-1-212 (Law Enforce. Funding) \$25, § 14-1-213 (Drug Court Surcharge) \$150, § 50-21-114 (BUJ Breath Test Fee) \$50, § 56-5-2942(J) (Vehicle Assessment) \$40/ea, Proviso 90.5 (SCCJA Surcharge) \$5, 3% to County (if paid in installments) \$3.90, TOTAL \$133.90

Clerk of Court/ Deputy Clerk: Phyllis Norton
Court Reporter:
SCCA217 (03/2011)

Presiding Judge: [Signature]
Judge Code: 2128
Sentence Date: 7/17/2013

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

COUNTY OF Charleston
STATE VS.
Domoneik Antwan Washington

INDICTMENT/CASE#: 2011GS1006881
A/W#: M605012
Date of Offense: 3/30/2011
S.C. Code §: 16-03-0029
CDR Code #: 3410

AKA:
Race: Sex: Age:
DOB: SS#
Address:
City, State, Zip:
DL#: SID#

\*CDL Yes No CMV Yes No Hazmat Yes No
In disposition of the said indictment comes now the Defendant who was TO: Murder / Attempted Murder

SENTENCE SHEET

CONVICTED OF or PLEADS

in violation of § 16-03-0029 of the S.C. Code of Laws, bearing CDR Code # 3410
NON-VIOLENT VIOLENT SERIOUS MOST SERIOUS
Mandatory GPS(CSC w/minor 1st or Lewd Act) §17-25-45

The charge is: As Indicted, Lesser Included Offense, Defendant Waives Presentment to Grand Jury.
The plea is: Without Negotiations or Recommendation, Negotiated Sentence, Recommendation by the State.

ATTORNEY: DuRant, D. Bruce SC Bar# 1801 Defendant
Attorney for Defendant SC Bar#

WHEREFORE, the Defendant is committed to the State Department of Corrections, County Detention Center,
for a determinate term of 20 days/months/years or under the Youthful Offender Act not to exceed years
and/or to pay a fine of \$; provided that upon the service of days/months/years and/or payment
of \$, plus costs and assessments as applicable\*; the balance is suspended with probation for

months/years and subject to South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services standard conditions of
probation, which are incorporated by reference.

CONCURRENT or CONSECUTIVE to sentence on: 2011-GS-10-6882, 6883
The Defendant is to be given credit for time served pursuant to S.C. Code § 24-13-40 to be calculated and applied
by the State Department of Corrections.
The Defendant is to be placed on the Central Registry of Child Abuse and Neglect pursuant to S.C. Code §17-25-135.

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 922, it is unlawful for a person convicted of a violation of Section 16-25-20 or 16-25-65 (Criminal
Domestic Violence) to ship, transport, possess, or receive a firearm or ammunition.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS:

RESTITUTION: Deferred Def. Waives Hearing Ordered
Total: \$ plus 20% fee: \$
Payment Terms:
Set by SCDPPPS
Recipient:

PTUP
days/hours Public Service Employment
Obtain GED
Attend Voc. Rehab. or Job Corp.
May serve W/E beginning
Substance Abuse Counseling
Random Drug/Alcohol testing
Fine may be pd. in equal, consecutive weekly/monthly
pmts. of \$ beginning
\$ paid to Public Defender Fund
Other:

Table with 2 columns: Description and Amount. Includes items like § 14-1-206 (Assessments 107.5%), § 14-1-211(A)(1) (Conv. Surcharge) \$100, § 14-1-211(A)(2) (DUI Surcharge) \$100, § 56-5-2995 (DUI Assessment) \$12, § 56-1-286 (DUI Breath Test) \$25, Proviso 47.9 (Public Def/Prob) \$500, § 14-1-212 (Law Enforce. Funding) \$25, § 14-1-213 (Drug Court Surcharge) \$150, § 50-21-114(BUI Breath Test Fee) \$50, § 56-5-2942(J) (Vehicle Assessment) \$40/ea, Proviso 90.5 (SCCJA Surcharge) \$5, 3% to County (if paid in installments) \$3.90, TOTAL \$133.90

Appointed PD or appointed other counsel,
§ 47.12 requires \$500 be paid to Clerk
during probation.

Clerk of Court/ Deputy Clerk: Phyllis Napton
Court Reporter: Phyllis Napton
SCCA/217 (03/2011)

Presiding Judge: [Signature]
Judge Code: 2128
Sentence Date: 7/17/2013

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON )

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS  
OF THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
INDICTMENT NO.: 2011-GS-10-6881

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
Plaintiff, )

vs. )

VERDICT FORM

Domoneik Antwan Washington, )  
Defendant. )

AS TO INDICTMENT NO.: 2011-GS-10-6881:

We, the jury, by unanimous consent find the Defendant:

Guilty of Attempted Murder.

OR AS TO THE LESSER INCLUDED OFFENSE:

Guilty of Assault and Battery of a High and Aggravated Nature


OR AS TO THE LESSER INCLUDED OFFENSE:

Guilty of Assault and Battery, 1st Degree.

OR

Not Guilty.

Please sign and date.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Foreperson

July 17, 2013

Please let the bailiffs know when you have finished your deliberations.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON )

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS  
OF THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
INDICTMENT NO.: 2011-GS-10-6883

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
Plaintiff, )

vs. )

VERDICT FORM

Domoneik Antwan Washington, )  
Defendant. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

AS TO INDICTMENT NO.: 2011-GS-10-6883:

✓ We, the jury, by unanimous consent find the Defendant:

Guilty of Attempted Murder.

OR AS TO THE LESSER INCLUDED OFFENSE:

Guilty of Assault and Battery of a High and Aggravated Nature


OR AS TO THE LESSER INCLUDED OFFENSE:

Guilty of Assault and Battery, 1<sup>st</sup> Degree.

OR

Not Guilty.

Please sign and date.

  
Foreperson

July 17, 2013

**Please let the bailiffs know when you have finished your deliberations.**

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON )

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS  
OF THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
INDICTMENT NO.: 2011-GS-10-6882

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
Plaintiff, )

vs. )

VERDICT FORM

Domoneik Antwan Washington, )  
Defendant. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

AS TO INDICTMENT NO.: 2011-GS-10-6882:

We, the jury, by unanimous consent find the Defendant:

\_\_\_\_\_ Guilty of Attempted Murder.

OR AS TO THE LESSER INCLUDED OFFENSE:

Guilty of Assault and Battery of a High and Aggravated Nature


OR AS TO THE LESSER INCLUDED OFFENSE:

\_\_\_\_\_ Guilty of Assault and Battery, 1<sup>st</sup> Degree.

OR

\_\_\_\_\_ Not Guilty.

Please sign and date.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Foreperson

July 17, 2013

**Please let the bailiffs know when you have finished your deliberations.**

DBD20110402158

DOCKET NO. 2011GS1006883

WITNESSES

Derek J. Boyd  
Charleston County Sheriff's Office

The State of South Carolina

County of Charleston

AGENCY CASE NUMBER

2011005237B

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

November 2011 Term

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

M605014

THE STATE

vs.

DATE OF ARREST

May 20, 2011

DOMONEIK ANTWAN WASHINGTON

DOB: [REDACTED]

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

**TRUE BILL**

Indictment for

ATTEMPTED MURDER

*J. Boyd*  
Foreperson of Grand Jury  
Date:

NOV 14 2011

VERDICT

*[Signature]*  
Foreperson of Petit Jury  
Date: 7/17/2013

INDICT.DOT

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
                                          )  
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON    )


INDICTMENT

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on November 14, 2011, the Grand Jurors of Charleston County present upon their oath:

**ATTEMPTED MURDER**

That in Charleston County, South Carolina, on or about March 30, 2011, the Defendant, **DOMONEIK ANTWAN WASHINGTON**, did, with intent to kill and malice aforethought, attempt to kill Ronald Cornell Bryant III. This is in violation of Section 16-3-29 of the South Carolina Code of Laws (1976) as amended.

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.



**D. BRUCE DURANT**  
CHIEF DEPUTY SOLICITOR

DBD20110402158

DOCKET NO. 2011GS1006881

WITNESSES

Derek J. Boyd  
Charleston County Sheriff's Office

The State of South Carolina

County of Charleston

AGENCY CASE NUMBER

2011005237B

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

November 2011 Term

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

M605012

THE STATE

DATE OF ARREST

May 20, 2011

vs.

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

DOMONEIK ANTWAN WASHINGTON

DOB: [REDACTED]

**TRUE BILL**

*[Signature]*  
Foreperson of Grand Jury  
Date:

NOV 14 2011

Indictment for

ATTEMPTED MURDER

VERDICT

*[Signature]* 7/17/2013  
Foreperson of Petit Jury Date:

INDICT.DOT

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
 )  
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON )


INDICTMENT

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on November 14, 2011, the Grand Jurors of Charleston County present upon their oath:

**ATTEMPTED MURDER**

That in Charleston County on or about March 30, 2011, the defendant, **DOMONEIK ANTWAN WASHINGTON**, with intent to kill and malice aforethought, attempt to kill Antwan Devon Wilson, also known as Brian Revon Wilson. This is in violation of Section 16-3-29 of the South Carolina Code of Laws (1976) as amended.

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.



**D. BRUCE DURANT**  
CHIEF DEPUTY SOLICITOR

DBD20110402158

DOCKET NO. 2011GS1006882

WITNESSES

Derek J. Boyd  
Charleston County Sheriff's Office

AGENCY CASE NUMBER

2011005237B

ARREST WARRANT NUMBER

M605013

DATE OF ARREST

May 20, 2011

ACTION OF GRAND JURY

**TRUE BILL**

*J. Boyd*  
Foreperson of Grand Jury  
Date:

NOV 14 2011

VERDICT

*Phillip Lewis*  
Foreperson of Petit Jury

*7/17/2011*  
Date:

INDICT.DOT

The State of South Carolina

County of Charleston

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS

November 2011 Term

THE STATE

vs.

DOMONEIK ANTWAN WASHINGTON

[REDACTED]

Indictment for

ATTEMPTED MURDER

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
                                          )  
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON )


INDICTMENT

At a Court of General Sessions, convened on November 14, 2011, the Grand Jurors of Charleston County present upon their oath:

**ATTEMPTED MURDER**

That in Charleston County, South Carolina, on or about March 30, 2011, the Defendant, **DOMONEIK ANTWAN WASHINGTON**, did, with intent to kill and malice aforethought, attempt to kill Edward Jerome Wittrell Jr. This is in violation of Section 16-3-29 of the South Carolina Code of Laws (1976) as amended.

Against the peace and dignity of the State, and contrary to the statute in such case made and provided.



**D. BRUCE DURANT**  
CHIEF DEPUTY SOLICITOR

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
 )  
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON )  
 )  
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
 )  
 )  
 )  
-versus- )  
 )  
 )  
DOMONEIK WASHINGTON, )  
 )  
 )  
Defendant. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS  
FOR THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Warrant No.: M605012; 13; 14  
Indictment: 2011GS1006881; 82; 83  
Charge: 3 counts of Attempted Murder


DEFENDANT'S POTENTIAL  
WITNESS LIST

1. Will Muirheid
2. Chris L. Pate
3. Diane Turner
4. Derek Boyd
5. Kathy Kjellman
6. James Milz
7. Paul McManigal
8. Joe McKelvey Jr.
9. Equett Robinson
10. Laval Hazel
11. Akim Washington
12. William Sellers
13. Suzann Cromer
14. Ila Simmons
15. Don Manigault
16. Tezra Washington
17. Mia Wright
18. Initial Scott
19. Dan Kross

- Charleston County Sheriff's Office
- Charleston County Sheriff's Office
- Charleston County Sheriff's Office
- Charleston County Sheriff's Office
- Charleston County Sheriff's Office
- Charleston County Sheriff's Office
- Charleston County Sheriff's Office

South Carolina Law Enforcement Division (SLED)  
South Carolina Law Enforcement Division (SLED)

Respectfully submitted,



Lorelle D. Proctor  
Attorney for Defendant

Charleston, South Carolina.  
Dated: July 13 2013


STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ) IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS  
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON ) FOR THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
) Warrant Nos.: M605012; 13; 14  
) Case No.: 2011GS1006881; 82; 83  
) Charge: 3 counts of Attempted Murder  
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
) )  
) -versus- )  
) DEFENDANT'S REQUESTED  
) VOIR DIRE QUESTIONS  
DOMONEIK WASHINGTON, )  
Defendant. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

The above-referenced Defendant through the Charleston County Public Defender's Office and its attorney, Lorelle D. Proctor, requests pursuant to South Carolina Code of Laws § 14-7-1020 that the jury panel be *sworn* prior to voir dire in this case. Counsel believes that there are matters of knowledge and prejudice which could taint the service of individual jurors in this case. Therefore, counsel requests that the following questions be asked of the entire jury panel. The Court asks that jurors respond by standing. Counsel requests that they be identified by name and juror number by the Clerk. Counsel then requests that the Court inquire as to the specific responses of that individual juror outside the presence of the entire panel on the record at the bench.

1. a) Are you a former law enforcement employee?  
b) Are any members of your immediate families employed by law enforcement agencies?
2. Do you belong to or contribute to any civic group such as Mothers Against Drunk Driving (M.A.D.D.), People Against Rape (P.A.R.), Citizens Advocating Decency and Return to Ethics (C.A.D.R.E.) or Parents Empowered to Save Teens (P.E.S.T.), or Citizens Against Violent Crime (CAVE)?
3. Does any members of the jury panel own a firearm? If so, what type?
4. Are you or is any member of your family a member of or support the National Rifle Association?
5. Have you or any member of your family been involved in any groups or supported any legislation that supports gun control laws?

6. Have you or any member of your family been involved in any groups or supported any legislation that supports the Second Amendment or the Castle Doctrine?
7. Do any members of the jury panel actively contribute to commentary on internet news websites?
8. a) Have you been the victim of a crime of violence?  
b) Have any of your close friends or relatives been victims of a crime of violence?  
c) Have you or any members of your family been the victim of a crime involving a firearm?
9. Do you have any particular opinions or feelings about the crime of Attempted Murder which would make it difficult for you to serve on a jury where the Defendant is charged with this crime?
10. a) Do you know or have any connection to any of the following (State's) witnesses.  
b) Do you know or have any connection to the victims in this case.
11. Are there any persons on the jury panel who have seen any press coverage relating to this incident on television or in the newspaper?
12. Do you believe the defendant sits before you as an innocent man, and he remains wholly innocent unless and until proven otherwise by the State?
13. Is there anyone who believes that the defendant must prove his/her innocence?
14. Have you ever served on a jury before? If so, did this prior jury service in any way affect the way you would view/judge this particular case? If so, please stand.
15. Are you aware of any reason whatsoever why you could not be fair and impartial to the defendant or the state?

Respectfully submitted,



Lorelle D. Proctor  
Attorney for Defendant

Charleston, South Carolina.

Dated: July 13 2013

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ) IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS  
 ) FOR THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
 COUNTY OF CHARLESTON )  
 ) Warrant Nos.: M605012; 13; 14  
 ) Case No.: 2011GS1006881; 82; 83  
 ) Charge: 3 counts of Attempted Murder  
 STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
 )  
 -versus- )  
 )  
 ) Motion to Exclude Testimony of  
 ) Defendant performing a "Crip Walk"  
 )  
 )  
 DOMONEIK WASHINGTON, )  
 )  
 Defendant. )  
 \_\_\_\_\_ )

Reference to the defendant performing a "Crip walk" is not relevant under SCRE 401. Such evidence raises only a mere suspicion of gang association and does not tend "to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action more probable or less probable than it would be without the evidence" and, thus, is not relevant.

Further, the state has not "provided extensive evidence that [the] defendant has retaliatory, gang-related motivations" for harming the victim. As such, reference to the defendant's performance of the "Crip walk" in order to demonstrate motive has no foundation and raises only further unsupported suspicion lacking relevancy in violation of SCRE 401.

In addition, evidence referring to defendant performing a "Crip walk" is violative of SCRE 403 in that is unfairly prejudicial, enticing the jury to label the defendant as a "gangster" and suggests that the defendant has a propensity for violence.

This motion is filed pursuant to Williams v. Adams, 447 Fed. Appx. 829 (9th Cir. 2011), cert. denied, 132 S. Ct. 579 (2011), State v. Sobers, 2013 WL 3199987 (2013), South Carolina Rules of Evidence 401 and 403.

Respectfully submitted,




Lorelle D. Proctor  
 Attorney for Defendant

Charleston, South Carolina.

Dated: July 13 2013

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	)	IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
	)	FOR THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON	)	Case No.: 2011GS1006881; 82; 83
	)	Warrant Nos.: M605012; 13; 14
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	)	Charge: 3 counts of Attempted Murder
	)	
	)	NOTICE AND MOTION TO SUPPRESS
-versus-	)	<u>IDENTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT</u>
	)	
DOMONEIK WASHINGTON,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
_____	)	


YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Defendant, by and through the undersigned attorney, will move before the Presiding Judge of the Court of General Sessions at the Charleston County Courthouse. 100 Broad Street, Charleston, South Carolina, as soon as the matter may be heard for an Order suppressing any out of court or in court identification of the Defendant by witnesses for the Prosecution on the grounds that all previous out of court identification procedures were unduly suggestive and conducive to irreparable mistaken identification as to deny that Defendant due process, all in violation of the Laws and Constitutions of the State of South Carolina and the United States of America. This motion is filed pursuant to Neil v. Biggers, 93 S.Ct. 375 (1972), State v. Washington, 473 S.E.2d 479 (S.C. App. 1996), South Caroline Rules of Evidence 104 (C).

Respectfully submitted,  
  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Lorelle D. Proctor  
 Attorney for Defendant

Charleston, South Carolina  
 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2013.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	)	IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
	)	FOR THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON	)	
	)	Warrant No.: M605012; 13; 14
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	)	Indictment: 2011GS1006881; 82; 83
	)	Charge: 3 counts of Attempted Murder
	)	
	)	MOTION IN LIMINE TO DETERMINE
	)	THE ADMISSIBILITY OF PRIOR
	)	CONVICTIONS
	)	
-versus-	)	
	)	
	)	
DOMONEIK WASHINGTON,	)	
	)	
<u>Defendant.</u>	)	

YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Defendant, by and through the undersigned attorney, will move before the Presiding Judge of the Court of General Sessions at the Charleston County Judicial Center, 100 Broad Street, Charleston, South Carolina, as soon as the matter can be heard, for a determination prior to trial of the admissibility of prior convictions of the Defendant for impeachment or any other purposes. The within Motion is made on the grounds that the Defendant is desirous of taking the stand to testify and needs to know the nature of convictions which can be introduced in order to intelligently make a decision as to whether to testify; and, further, that it would be unduly prejudicial to allow the State to cross-examine the Defendant in open Court concerning convictions which are subsequently held not to be admissible.

Respectfully submitted,  
  
 Lorelle D. Proctor  
 Attorney for Defendant

Charleston, South Carolina  
 Dated: July 13, 2013.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
 )  
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON )  
 )  
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
 )  
 )  
-versus- )  
 )  
 )  
DOMONEIK WASHINGTON, )  
 )  
 )  
Defendant. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )


IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS  
FOR THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Warrant No.: M605012; 13; 14  
Indictment: 2011GS1006881; 82; 83  
Charge: 3 counts of Attempted Murder

MOTION TO SEQUESTER  
STATE'S WITNESSES

Please take notice that the defendant, by and through his undersigned attorney, hereby moves this Honorable Court to sequester the State's witnesses. This motion is made on the grounds that the separation (or sequestration) of witnesses will afford a means of discovering discrepancies (if any) in the different accounts which the witnesses will give of the same transaction.

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lorelle D. Proctor  
Attorney for Defendant

Charleston, South Carolina

Dated: July 13, 2013.

FILED

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ) IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS  
) FOR THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

COUNTY OF CHARLESTON )  
LIE J. ARMSTRONG  
CLERK OF COURT

Nos.: M605012; 13; 14  
Case No.: 2011GS1006881; 82; 83  
BY *[Signature]*

Charge: 3 counts of Attempted Murder

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )

-versus-

DOMONEIK WASHINGTON, )  
Defendant. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendant's  
Motion to Exclude Testimony Concerning Two  
Subsequent Shootings on Folly Road and Hwy. 61

I. BACKGROUND

Although many of the facts surrounding this case are in dispute, a general time-line of events can be outlined through consistent testimony of several eye-witness accounts as well as video surveillance captured at the scene of the alleged crime.

In the early hours of March 30, 2011 several different groups of people from the James Island community gather at the Palm Tree Lounge. At approximately 2:00 A.M., the party is cut short when a brawl breaks out forcing security to expel all of the occupants and close the club. After a mass exodus, a large number of people from the club drive to the nearby Kangaroo gas station located at 897 Folly Road.

Video surveillance at the Kangaroo shows the parking lot fill with cars and dozens of people within a span of five minutes. Ms. Equett Robinson, the cashier of the Kangaroo, wisely locks the door to the gas station believing that a fight will undoubtedly break out. Seconds later a single gunshot rings out, which is then followed by a hail of gun fire coming from multiple weapons, herein "the shootout".<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Several witness statements, as well as 911 calls, confirm that the shootout occurred at approximately 2:50 A.M.

The Defendant, Domoneik Washington, is briefly captured on one of the surveillance videos discharging his weapon at the scene. It is also presumed that Antwan Wilson was shot in the back of the right leg directly below the buttocks. Almost all of the individuals that were present during the shootout, including Antwan Wilson, immediately drive away from the scene and the gunfire eventually ceases.

After the shootout there are very few concrete facts to establish what exactly happened as the motorists from the shootout drive down Folly Road. Police investigation reveals that a number of witnesses, including the victims, see a gold-in-color Lincoln truck when multiple unknown individuals pull up beside Antwan Wilson's gold-in-color Chevy Impala and begin to fire an AK-47 (7.62 x 39 mm) mercilessly into the vehicle. Wilson is struck by one of these bullets in the back of his head causing his car to veer into the wrong lane before crashing into a telephone pole at the intersection of Folly Road and Central Park Road. Wilson was pronounced dead at the scene, and the two other passengers in the Impala, Ronald Bryant and Edward Wittrell, were also wounded by the same weapon. Neither of these victims were able to identify the assailants in the gold-in-color Lincoln truck.

Two other individuals, Akim Washington and William Sellers, are also driving on Folly Road behind both of the gold-in-color vehicles, and both Washington and Sellers witness the truck shoot into the Impala. Moments later, Akim Washington attempts to pass this same gold-in-color truck when the truck begins shooting at them, chasing them all the way down Highway 61 into West Ashley. Akim Washington also loses control and wrecks his vehicle. Washington nor Sellers were able to identify the individuals in the gold-in-color Lincoln truck, nor was the truck ever located by police.

After conducting an initial investigation, the Charleston County Sheriff's Office, along with the aid of U.S. Marshalls, arrested Domoneik Washington in Virginia at a relative's house and served him with three warrants: Murder x 1 (Antwan Wilson) & Attempted Murder x 2 (Ronald Bryant and Edward Wittrell). The murder warrant, however, was later amended to attempted murder by the Honorable James Gosnell at Domoneik's preliminary hearing. The State, through Solicitor Larry Todd, also acquiesced to amending this charge because there was no evidence establishing that Domoneik had any involvement with these subsequent shootings. See Transcript of Preliminary Hearing at 38, State v. Domoneik Antwan Washington (July 28, 2011) ("At this point in time, the State cannot establish that the Defendant fired the 7.62. We cannot establish that he was in the vehicle where the 7.62 was being handled.").

To this day, on the eve of Domoneik's trial, the State has yet to produce any evidence of Domoneik's involvement in these subsequent shootings.

## II. STANDARD OF LAW

"The admission or exclusion of evidence is left to the sound discretion of the trial judge, whose decision will not be reversed on appeal absent an abuse of discretion." State v. Saltz, 346 S.C. 114, 121, 551 S.E.2d 240, 244 (2001). An abuse of discretion occurs when the trial judge's ruling is based on an error of law. State v. McDonald, 343 S.C. 319, 325, 540 S.E.2d 464, 467 (2000).

"[C]ourts must be extremely careful that no evidence of a tendency to excite or influence the resentment of jurors, and which does not tend to support the evidence...or to connect the defendant with the commission of the crime, should be permitted to go to the jury." State v. Stokes, 339 S.C. 154, 528 S.E.2d 430, 433 (S.C. App. 2000) citing People v. Kearney, 110 N.Y. 188, 17 N.E. 736, 738 (1888).

### III. DISCUSSION

The crime that the State alleges the defendant committed, which is captured on video, can only take place at the Kangaroo gas station. Nevertheless, the State seeks to introduce testimony under a blanket *res gestae* theory pertaining to the two shootings described herein that were committed by unknown assailants minutes after the shootout at the Kangaroo. Proffering the admissibility of any of this testimony on the need to show the context of the defendant's crimes misconstrues current South Carolina evidence law concerning whether an uncharged offense—*known to have been perpetrated by a defendant*—should be admissible in order to provide a full presentation of the offense.

#### a. Testimony Concerning Subsequent Crimes Not Committed By The Defendant Is Not Necessary

“The rationale underlying the *res gestae* theory is that evidence of other criminal conduct that occurs contemporaneously with or is part and parcel of the crime charged is considered part of the *res gestae* of the offense.” State v. Williams, 321 S.C. 455, 462, 469 S.E.2d 49, 53 (1996). As explained by the Fourth Circuit in United States v. Masters, 622 F.2d 83, 86 (4th Cir. 1980), where the uncharged offense is “so linked together in point of time and circumstances with the crime charged that one cannot be fully shown without proving the other”, admissibility of evidence of the other crime is appropriate in order “to complete the story of the crime on trial by proving its immediate context or the ‘*res gestae*’.” State v. Hough, 325 S.C. 88, 92, 480 S.E.2d 77, 79 (S.C. 1997).

In State v. Benjamin, 549 S.E.2d 258, 260 (S.C. 2001), our Supreme Court specifically addresses when testimony of subsequent criminal acts committed by a defendant may form part of the *res gestae* of the crime charged. In that case, Benjamin and a co-defendant, Tyrone Aiken, robbed the Sweetwater Citgo convenience store in Calhoun County. Id. Aiken shot and killed

the store's employee and the two stole approximately \$100.00 from the register. Benjamin and Aiken then went, along with several accomplices waiting in the get-away car during the robbery, and purchased alcohol and drugs with the stolen money. Id. Several hours later, they robbed Dodger's Convenience store in Orangeburg County. Id. Benjamin was arrested the following day and gave a statement to police in which he admitted his participation in the robberies but claimed Aiken had shot Walker. Benjamin was tried and convicted for the robbery and murder at Sweetwater. Id.

On appeal, Benjamin argued that the trial court improperly admitted evidence of the armed robbery of Dodger's Convenience Store which occurred several hours after the robbery/murder at Sweetwater Citgo. Id. at 262. The Court disagreed, finding that there was testimony that as they left Dodger's with the register, Aiken dropped the gun which had been used in the Sweetwater Citgo robbery and murder. Id. Also, the Dodger's clerk positively identified Benjamin at trial. Id. at 263. Finding the testimony concerning the subsequent robbery admissible as part of the *res gestae*, the Court explained that the weapon used and left behind at the Dodger's store, was also the weapon used in the robbery/murder at Sweetwater Citgo such that testimony concerning the weapon was necessary to a full presentation of the State's case. Id. Furthermore, the Court found that the crimes were so clearly "linked together in point of time and circumstances" that one cannot fully be shown without proving the other. Id. at 264 fn.9, citing Hough at 866.

In the case *sub judice*, the AK-47 weapon used at the two subsequent shootings was remarkably different than any weapon used by any individual involved in the Kangaroo shootout. In addition, no testimony exists regarding the identity of the unknown assailant in the gold-in-color Lincoln truck using the AK-47. Contrary to the facts in Benjamin, there is no evidence on

record in this case tending to show that the Defendant had any involvement with these two subsequent shootings. See State v. King, 514 S.E.2d 578, 583 (S.C. 1999) (“The evidence of prior bad acts is inadmissible as part of the *res gestae*, where the record does not support any relationship between the crime and [prior bad acts]”)(internal quotations omitted).

These are two separate and distinct crimes with different facts spawning from the same chaos. The crime on trial—attempted murder—does not need “immediate context,” and is not “intimately connected” to the subsequent crime of murder. Hough at 866. In other words, it is not necessary for the State to introduce evidence of the murder of Antwan Wilson in order to prove the attempted murder charges alleged to have been committed by the Defendant at the Kangaroo gas station shootout. Therefore, any evidence of the subsequent two shootings should not be admitted.

b. Testimony Concerning Subsequent Crimes Not Committed By The Defendant  
Is Not Relevant

Evidence is relevant if it tends to establish or make more or less probable some matter in issue upon which it directly or indirectly bears. State v. Schmidt, 288 S.C. 301, 342 S.E.2d 401 (1986); See Rule 401, SCRE (“ ‘Relevant evidence’ means evidence having any tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action more probable or less probable than it would be without the evidence”). On the other hand, evidence “should be excluded if it is calculated to arouse the sympathy or prejudice of the jury or is irrelevant or unnecessary to substantiate the facts.” State v. Langley, 334 S.C. 643, 647, 515 S.E.2d 98, 100 (1999).

In State v. Benjamin, the Court performed this relevancy analysis under Rule 401, SCRE, to invalidate Justice Pleicones’s opinion that the subsequent robbery was not relevant. 549 S.E.2d at 263 fn8. Finding that it was relevant, the Court asserted that “the fact that Benjamin

was a willing, active participant in the Dodger's robbery tends to make less probable his claim that he acted under duress just a few hours earlier during the robbery of the Sweetwater Citgo." Id. Accordingly, it was properly admitted to rebut his claim of duress. Id.

Unlike the finding in Benjamin, evidence of a murder scene and subsequent shooting does not make any fact of consequence in the case any more or less probable that the Defendant committed the alleged crimes at the Kangaroo. Nor does any testimony related to the subsequent shootings rebut any defense that the Defendant may seek to use at trial. Furthermore, there is no evidence linking this subsequent criminal activity to the Defendant's alleged crimes, and is therefore irrelevant to the contested issues in this case as the identity of the victims and the time and place of the shootout are not disputed. Simply put, none of the testimony will help the jury in determining whether the Defendant committed the crimes alleged in his indictment.

c. Testimony Concerning Subsequent Crimes Not Committed By The Defendant Is Highly Prejudicial

Even if the evidence is relevant under *res gestae* theory, prior to admission the trial judge should determine whether its probative value clearly outweighs any unfair prejudice. Rule 403, SCRE; State v. Bolden, 398 S.E.2d 494 (1990). "Unfair prejudice means an undue tendency to suggest a decision on an improper basis." State v. Lyles, 379 S.C. 328, 338, 665 S.E.2d 201, 206 (S.C. App. 2008) citing State v. Gilchrist, 496 S.E.2d 424, 427 (S.C. App 1998).

When juxtaposing the prejudicial effect against the probative value, the determination must be based on the entire record and will turn on the facts of each case. Lyles, 665 S.E.2d at 206, citing State v. Gillian, 373 S.C. 601, 609, 646 S.E.2d 872, 876 (2007). "To show prejudice, there must be a reasonable probability that the jury's verdict was influenced by the challenged evidence or the lack thereof." State v. White, 372 S.C. 364, 372 (Ct. App. 2007).


The State is using this testimony to show that Antwan Wilson, one of the victims of the shooting alleged to have been perpetrated by the defendant at the Kangaroo, was later found shot to death in close proximity and time to the earlier shootout. While the probative value of this evidence is very low, it leaves any reasonable juror with the inescapable inference that the defendant was involved in the actual murder of the victim. This evidence is calculated to arouse the prejudice of the jury and a corresponding resentment for the accused.

Even though the State was unsuccessful in obtaining a murder indictment due to the lack of probable cause, allowing any of the testimony of the two subsequent shootings to be heard by a jury would essentially transform the defendant's attempted murder trial into a full-fledged murder trial. After more than two years of investigation, the defendant has been the only suspect arrested for this murder, leaving this attempted-murder trial as the only platform for the State to seek a conviction for the murder. Thus, testimony should be utilized in a different trial on the charge of murder, not the present case.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

Admitting testimony regarding the two subsequent shootings is not necessary for a full presentation of the State's case. Further, this evidence would not make any fact of consequence concerning the defendant's attempted murder charges any more or less probable. Lastly, the testimony is highly prejudicial with little to no probative value, and only serves as a stage to back-door a murder conviction. Therefore, the Defendant respectfully requests the Court to grant his motion to exclude any and all testimony concerning other crimes that were not committed by the Defendant.

Respectfully submitted,



Lorelle D. Proctor  
Attorney for Defendant

Charleston, South Carolina.  
Dated: July 13 2013

**FILED**

2013 JUL 15 PM 1:44

JULIE J. ARMSTRONG  
CLERK OF COURT

BY \_\_\_\_\_

*Berkeley County*  
219 N. Hwy. 52, Suite E  
P.O. Box 1687  
Moncks Corner, SC 29461  
(843) 899-2777  
(843) 899-2701 Fax  
Cody J. Groeber  
Berkeley County Public Defender

# Ninth Circuit Public Defender

*Berkeley & Charleston Counties*

D. Ashley Pennington, Circuit Defender  
publicdefender@charlestoncounty.org  
(843) 958-1850

*Charleston County*  
O.T. Wallace Building  
101 Meeting Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Charleston, SC 29401-2214  
(843) 958-1850  
(843) 958-1860 Fax  
Lorelle D. Proctor  
Charleston County Public Defender

August 6, 2013

The South Carolina Court of Appeals  
V. Claire Allen  
Post Office Box 11629  
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

RE: The State v. Domoneik Washington  
Appellate Case No. 2013-001616

Dear Ms Allen:

Enclosed is a copy of the redacted version of the orders for the above listed defendant along with your letter dated August 2, 2013. If you need any additional information, feel free to contact me at 843-958-1850. Thank you.

Sincerely,



Lorelle Proctor  
Chief Public Defender

Enclosed as stated.