

**AMENDED MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO FILE AND SERVE BRIEF, FOR REINSTATEMENT, AND TO VACATE CLERICAL ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In the Court of Appeals  
[In The Supreme Court]

---

**RECEIVED**  
**May 28 2024**  
SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas

Deadra L. Jefferson, Circuit Court Judge

---

Case # 2022-CP-00-100-3246

---

Anthony M. Chayban,

Respondent,

v.

Mary A. Griffith,

Appellant.

---

**AMENDED MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO FILE AND SERVE BRIEF, FOR REINSTATEMENT, AND TO VACATE CLERICAL ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

---

Despite this Honorable Court’s past extraordinary benevolence for which Appellant is eternally thankful, Appellant now motions to enlarge the time to file and serve the case brief, and prays Your Honor will please consider reinstatement of the action in accordance with SCRCP Rule 260(a), possibly under Federal Rule 6(b), for and supported by the following causes:

1. The complexity of civil litigation against a technical criminal gang on the part of a layperson (Appellant, “I,” “me,” “my”) who has been materially and otherwise victimized by such a group:

The number of crimes and number of participants combined with the volume and different types of evidence to be prepared are, I believe, outrageous in comparison to the one single person currently documenting, preparing evidence, and efforting to resolve the conflicts.

I have been gathering video, audio, and paper evidence surrounding the Respondent's activity against us since 2020.

I alone am yet responsible for organizing and filing evidence against Anthony M. Chayban, who I believe owes me money as partially discussed herein, as well as who I believe is responsible for numerous crimes against my family and me.

The regime against whom I struggle in various civil and criminal contexts operates "like" the ISIS organization, whether or not an official designation of such has yet been made, or is ever made.

2. That I am aware of, I'm diagnosed with PTSD and ADHD.
3. Following multiple additional moves and other costs, I cannot yet afford an attorney.
4. There are coinciding unresolved criminal issues surrounding this action, including but not limited to identity theft issues experienced by my grown son and me.

The criminal activity complained of briefly (by comparison to the totality of the criminal activity against my family and me) in a labor-intensive series of letters and reports, has not, to my knowledge, been remediated and/or fully remediated.

Despite Appellant's willingness to take responsibility for completing complex criminal reports required in various actions and contexts while suffering material and other hardships on account of the crimes complained of, no one has contacted Appellant to discuss, investigate, or deliver news of any outcome relative to Appellant's complaints.

The criminal activity placing Appellant at a disadvantage remains unresolved, to Appellant's knowledge, despite Appellant's clear inclusion of contact information on all or virtually all reports, pleadings, and other writings and communications.

The unresolved criminal events against Appellant and/or loved ones cause constant distractions from Appellant's civil pleading, herein or otherwise.

5. Until very recently, I have not been able to afford counseling or medications on account of hardship.

6. As a result of not receiving care or medication, the process of compiling evidence has been hampered by ADHD and Post Traumatic Stress Disorder-related distraction without medicine, and by internal distraction of depression, anger, and anxiety about what I've been exposed to. There are symptoms for which I cannot yet afford treatment at all.

I am experiencing distracting and excessive anger as a result of betrayal trauma from perceived betrayals by people who aligned with my opponents because of the frequency and gravity of the offenses and/or perceived offenses, and because of the surrounding circumstances. It is sometimes difficult for me to calm down to the point of proper focus resulting from these lingering emotional problems, which are exacerbated when I am feeling otherwise unsafe or upset by current life challenges.

Betrayal trauma recovery is documented on Google to last from 1.5-3 years. I understand why the reference shown indicated that length of time, based on my overwhelming experience with what I believe are its effects.

This excerpt I discovered in a PubMed Abstract of a case report called, “Betrayal: A psychological analysis” by S. Rachman listing the symptoms of betrayal trauma resonates and aligns with my overwhelming experience:

“...The effects of betrayal include shock, loss and grief, morbid preoccupation, damaged self-esteem, self-doubting, anger. Not infrequently they produce life-altering changes. The effects of a catastrophic betrayal are most relevant for anxiety disorders, and OCD and PTSD in particular...” (© 2009 Elsevier Ltd.)

At <https://www.sccourts.org/languageHelp/ADACompliance.cfm> the South Carolina Judicial Branch website, states:

“In accordance with the requirements of Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), the South Carolina Judicial Branch will not discriminate against qualified individuals with disabilities on the basis of disability in its services, programs, or activities.”

The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), As Amended, Subchapter II - Public Services [Title II], Part A - Prohibition Against Discrimination and Other Generally Applicable Provisions, Sec. 12131. Definitions, states:

“As used in this subchapter:

(1) Public entity

The term “public entity” means

(A) any State or local government;

(B) any department, agency, special purpose district, or other instrumentality of a State or States or local government; and

(C) the National Railroad Passenger Corporation, and any commuter authority (as defined in section 24102(4) of title 49).

(2) Qualified individual with a disability

The term “qualified individual with a disability” means an individual with a disability who, with or without reasonable modifications to rules, policies, or practices, the removal of architectural, communication, or transportation barriers, or the provision of auxiliary aids and services, meets the

essential eligibility requirements for the receipt of services or the participation in programs or activities provided by a public entity.”

The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), As Amended, Subchapter II - Public Services [Title II], Part A - Prohibition Against Discrimination and Other Generally Applicable Provisions, Sec. 12132. Discrimination, states:

“Subject to the provisions of this subchapter, no qualified individual with a disability shall, by reason of such disability, be excluded from participation in or be denied the benefits of services, programs, or activities of a public entity, or be subjected to discrimination by any such entity.”

7. I have saved responses to the Respondent’s religious and other defamation which must now be reformatted. I understand I have to plead on the lien’s facts first, but I believe the Respondent added those distractions at the lower court level intentionally, that he was malicious in doing so, and that the referenced content also eventually must be addressed. I don’t believe the brief address of the topics is a burden to the government amid the other content.

On basis also of prophecy at a church conference by a trained prophet which indicated to me that “Columbia” might be a place of resolution for my upcoming safety events, at least some of which I believe are contained in this action, please have mercy on me.

Additionally, on account of my mother’s ministry donation on or about 10/10/2020 based on a 2020 prophetic revelation at the time Chayban and I agreed to the ministry, based on the fact that my

mother stated that wants the case here to continue, and based on other (relevant) religious content besides what is mentioned, I'm asking for extra grace, please. I feel my mother would be relieved. Her statement about her contribution and wishes has been provided ex parte for privacy reasons.

I believe it's unfair not to allow me to plead on the religious defamation, as well, on account of the fact that I had to do so much other paperwork due to circumstances I didn't create.

My attempted exercise of religious freedom has not yet hampered or delayed a government process since the responses were made with other "Limine"-style Motion drafts to Respondent's hand-written, terroristic lies at the lower court, and since at least some of the subject matter supported and still supports my arguments as to Respondent's illegal Muslim extremist alignment and participation.

**8.** I do not believe a further escalation would be in the government's best interest time-wise, despite my ineptitude and delays including but not limited to delays in the securing of counsel.

Finding, much less funding, counsel at this current Court level is more difficult than I would have guessed.

If this Court level is difficult, another is bound to be moreso. I don't feel it would be productive if that can possibly be avoided.

**9.** I've experienced several moves, several assaults, and several attempted assaults over the past several years. I've moved repeatedly over the past month and a half, largely surrounding safety concerns. I was also a recent victim of a kidnapping which was described ex parte.

**10.** My reputation remains at-risk if I cannot gain (please) a little further accommodation to keep my promises to the Court at this level. The smear campaign that I face is a difficult matter which places my family and me at a level of criminal jeopardy if left unresolved.

**11.** It is more difficult to achieve justice as well as to retain counsel due to public corruption including but not limited to that described in this cause.

I recently discovered that one professional reported for participation in a position I believe constitutes conflict-of-interest which opposed and harmed my family and me surrounding these participants in the past has not only kept their position, but advertised openly on social media in seeming recruitment efforts to fill the complained-of position.

The discovery of the person's seeming personal recruitment efforts following the individual's prior participation in the position, which I considered and considered illegal and/or inappropriate, was upsetting to me. The position and its designated clients and/or selected tasks are not part of the complained-of individual's job description in what I found available for review, I believe probably because such performance would constitute conflicts-of-interest.

I believe the perceived recruitment, as well as the seeming lack of effort by appropriate others to take initiative and/or accept opportunities to assist wherever possible, are inappropriate and/or unfair to me and others.

Counsel has historically been unwilling and/or afraid to participate when I am transparent about coinciding public corruption, funding aside.

**12.** Respondent is an admitted enemy of the United States as described in Appellant's Motion for Reconsideration and Reinstatement. Appellant believes the release of the property discussed in this action for probable liquidation to be potentially dangerous and out of alignment with the best interests of the country as described in Appellant's Motion for Reconsideration and Reinstatement. Appellant requests the Court please consider erring on the side of caution in this case.

**13.** I've experienced several moves, several assaults, and several attempted assaults over the past several years. I've moved repeatedly over the past month and a half, largely surrounding safety concerns. I was also recently kidnapped as recently detailed ex parte.

**14.** Respondent's interests are not prejudiced by the granting of a time enlargement.

The respondent bragged to me in writing about being financially secure enough to afford an attorney. If he didn't get one and cause a dismissal himself, I believe Your Honor ought to reconsider the dismissal.

I haven't attached the screenshot of his bragging because the Respondent intentionally lies about me and other opponents via text messages and other avenues in order to taint evidence so we cannot use it. The evidence was tainted by a terrorist tactic and Your Honor previously said I couldn't redact evidence, so I don't want to enter lies about myself in Court. I, however, promise you that the

Respondent did brag to me on at least one occasion that he could afford counsel specifically relative to this particular action while “rubbing in” his belief that I couldn’t.

If my claims about the Respondent’s ISIS activity aren’t true, then why didn’t he retain a lawyer like he privately bragged to me that he could afford to do in 2022, what with his having enjoyed so many unfair advantages above me in my own country? Why couldn’t the attorney he bragged about being able to afford/retain file for the dismissal?

I’m not convinced that the Respondent’s excessive greed alone would cause him to go this far without counsel. I believe there is more to the story, and that that is the reason he hasn’t followed through with what he threatened.

The Respondent filed no Motion to Dismiss. The Respondent additionally admitted that he suffered from no prejudice when he bragged about having more resources than I and indicated he would seek counsel, which I can’t afford to do, even if I found counsel to assist with these dangerous complexities.

Respondent has also experienced extraordinary and unfair favor in multiple other contexts leading up to this phase of our ongoing conflicts.

**15.** I don’t believe the cumulative effects of terrorism could have been fully considered by the clerk, the Court, or anyone else in the contemplation of additional grace (unless the individual themselves had

experienced terrorist threats/attacks) because its effects have not yet been fully-documented on account of the ongoing events themselves.

Respondent's victims include law enforcement and other officials who were deceived, harmed, and set up by Chayban and his criminal gang — whether or not his repeatedly-stated ISIS ties are ever confirmed.

**16.** My reputation remains on the line if I cannot gain (please) a little further accommodation to keep my promises to the Court at this level. The smear campaign that I face is a difficult matter. It also places my family and me in some level of criminal jeopardy if left unresolved.

**17.** I am aware of my incompetent and pathetic nature relative to these activities. I'm sorry I am slower due to life circumstances created by our enemies, but I'm better than nothing.

Nothing is exactly what would have arisen had I not been forced to document the ISIS activity in the context of this action, and for safety reasons.

**18.** SCRCR Rule 260: Dismissal and Reinstatement, partially reads, "...A case shall not be reinstated except by leave of the court upon good cause shown, after notice to all parties..."

I see no greater cause for elevated mercies relative to time enlargement than attempts to ensure America's enemies do not prevail against us.

Even if there isn't an existing precedent for granting victims of those who claim terrorist allegiance added accommodations, even over and above those gracious accommodations already offered to the ever-grateful Appellant by this Honorable Court, I see no greater precedent than a precedent wherein a victim experiences elevated grace against a stated enemy of our country as partially described in my Motion for Reconsideration and Reinstatement.

If there is no precedent, then, with the utmost respect, I believe there should be.

While I eternally appreciate the Honorable Court's prior gracious accommodations based on my struggles, and while I am ever-grateful for those prior extraordinary considerations, I am hoping that the above material helps the Court to make an additional determination in my favor based on extenuating circumstances surrounding the subject matter and surrounding my disabilities and challenges.

I am sorry I didn't consider checking to see the level of work product required at your Honorable Court before I proceeded. I understand that it is my fault, but I wonder whether you would please consider the circumstance that I didn't stop to think because I was traumatized and therefore, please have a little more mercy on me for my foolishness. I did not mean to disrespect the Court. I admire your Court.

I respectfully request an extension of time of 7-30 days to file and serve my case brief for the above causes, and on account of my only recently receiving treatment and medication following a long lapse in mandatory care which hampered my functioning.

Thank you for your benevolent consideration.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Mary A. Griffith', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

---

Mary A. Griffith

843-922-5840

[violetvirginmary@gmail.com](mailto:violetvirginmary@gmail.com)