

May 28 2024

SC Court of Appeals

From: [BridgetB](#)
To: [Court Of Appeals Filings](#)
Cc: [Tuska-Butler, Roshel](#); [Chesley, Kristi](#); [Cook, Ben](#); [Korn, Matthew](#); amosby@fisherphillips.com
Subject: Case # 2024-000251
Date: Tuesday, May 28, 2024 11:26:22 PM

*** **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

May 28, 2024 ;

SC Court of Appeals

To Whom It May Concern;

A few questions coming from me, the Appellant in Case # 2024-000251

1. I politely ask; when the court denied the Motion to Preserve Evidence under Wells Fargo's acknowledgment that they would "continue to preserve all available evidence in accordance with its obligation to do so" ... can I move to clarify how the Court is interrupting that statement?

Can I ask what "obligation" Wells Fargo's team is referring? Anything testified on in the Unemployment trial and on Record, which would include anything testified on by Chabot or McCarthy?

(For example, the surveillance footage McCarthy extensively testified on but was not entered in to evidence?)

2. I politely request if the Court could clarify the legal definitions and/or where to find them; such terms as "Statement of Facts" or expressly, the legal definition of "Facts" particularly?

I would presume a fact would be something both opposing parties agree on; found in the Record?

If the Respondent makes a claim of fact in the Statement of Facts which was explicitly contested in trial and not proven by any other evidence, I would assume this would not induce a fact?

Fundamentally, what constitutes a fact in Court?

Also the legal definition "evidentiary support" , what does the Court recognize as evidence / "evidentiary support" ?

I just need some clarification on my end.

These questions are integral to my case and thank you in advance for your time.

Sincerely,
Bridgette Chabot