

**RECEIVED**

**JUN 26 2024**

**STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT**

**S.C. SUPREME COURT**

Daniel Mishoe, #336764,

Appellant,

v.

South Carolina Department of Corrections,

Respondent.

Docket No. 24-ALJ-04-0417-AP  
Grievance No. TRCI 0230-23

**ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

This matter is before the South Carolina Administrative Law Court (ALC or Court) pursuant to a Notice of Appeal filed by Daniel Mishoe (Appellant), an inmate incarcerated with the South Carolina Department of Corrections (Department or SCDC). Appellant alleges in his Notice of Appeal that the Department is biased, stating that the treatment of nonviolent and violent offenders should be equal concerning its policy for Earned Work Credits and Earned Education Credits. However, Appellant has not asserted any constitutional violation or other error of law reviewable by this Court.

This Court reviews Department grievance decisions pursuant to the South Carolina Supreme Court decision in Al Shabazz v. State, 338 S.C. 354, 527 S.E.2d 742 (2000). Under the Al-Shabazz line of cases, this Court may only review matters related to a state-created liberty or property interest. See id., 338 S.C. at 368–69, 527 S.E.2d at 749–50 (vesting the ALC with jurisdiction over the loss of state-created liberty interests such as accrued good time credit); Wicker v. S.C. Dept. of Corrs., 360 S.C. 421, 602 S.E.2d 56 (2004) (holding that inmate had a right to procedural due process in matters involving a state-created right to property such as wages). Specifically, the South Carolina Supreme Court has stated that summary dismissal of an otherwise properly perfected inmate appeal “may be appropriate where the inmate’s grievance does not implicate a state-created liberty or property interest.” Slezak v. S.C. Dept. of Corrs., 361 S.C. 327, 331, 605 S.E.2d 506, 508 (2004) (citation omitted) (emphasis added).

Although Appellant has timely filed this appeal and exhausted his administrative remedies, he has nonetheless failed to raise any cognizable issue upon which this Court might rule or grant relief. Therefore, it is appropriate to dismiss this appeal.



**ORDER**

**THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that this appeal is **DISMISSED, WITH PREJUDICE.**

**AND IT IS SO ORDERED.**



Deborah Brooks Durden, Judge  
S.C. Administrative Law Court

May 23, 2024  
Columbia, South Carolina