

From: [Danielle Dixon](#)
To: [Clark, Ethel D.](#); [Elizabeth Franklin-Best](#)
Cc: [Vickie Hall](#)
Subject: RE: 2024-001082
Date: Wednesday, July 3, 2024 9:32:19 AM

***** EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. *******

Good morning Ms. Clark,

We received the signed (but unfiled) copy I sent you via email from Judge Bonds' law clerk on June 26. We have not received a filed copy, and it doesn't appear in the public index. I will reach out to Judge Bonds' law clerk to see if they sent the original copy to Allendale for filing.

Respectfully,

Danielle Dixon

From: Clark, Ethel D. <edclark@sccourts.org>
Sent: Wednesday, July 3, 2024 8:43 AM
To: Elizabeth Franklin-Best <elizabeth.a.franklin@gmail.com>
Cc: Danielle Dixon <DanielleDixon@scag.gov>
Subject: 2024-001082

Good morning,

Re: LaParis Flowers v. State 2024-001082

Does the Rule 59(e) motion remain pending before the PCR Court?

The Court did not receive a file stamped copy of an order resolving the Rule 59(e) motion.

Sincerely,

Ethel D. Clark
SC Supreme Court
Case Management Specialist II
1231 Gervais Street
Columbia, SC 29201
(803)734-1080

~~~ CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE ~~~ This message is intended only for the addressee and may contain information that is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, copy, retain, or disseminate this message or any attachment. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies of the message and any attachments.