

RECEIVED

Jul 03 2024

SC Court of Appeals

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

State of South Carolina,

Case No. 1998-GS-10-1814

Respondent,

v.

Certification and Explanation of Appeal

Curtis Lemon,

Appellant.

Pursuant to SC Rule 203(D)(1)(b)(iv), this appeal is being filed. Defendant communicated to his attorney that he desires an appeal. Defendant believes that his CSP was revoked in error because he should be exempt from sex offender counseling and treatment because of his continued assertions of innocence.

Defendant's Attorney is filing this appeal pursuant to her ethical duty to her client and Defendant's constitutional right to appeal. *See Frazer v. South Carolina*, 430 F.3d 696, 706 (4th Cir. 2005) ("A defendant has a right to pursue a direct appeal, even if frivolous, which counsel must assist as 'an active advocate on behalf of his client'") (quoting *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 744 (1967)).

Defendant did not inform his attorney of any specific issues to appeal. Defense counsel is not aware of any appealable issues in this case that are in any way meritorious.

July 03, 2024

/s Chelsey F. Marto

Chelsey F. Marto, Esquire
Attorney for the Applicant

Bar No. 104191

The Law Office of Chelsey F. Marto, Esquire

P.O. Box 8795

Columbia, SC, 29201

(864)-404-5583