

**RECEIVED**

**Jul 15 2024**

**SC Court of Appeals**

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In the Court of Appeals

---

APPEAL FROM HORRY COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas  
Daniel John MacDonald, Sr.  
Special Referee Horry County

---

Appellate Case No. 2023-001920

---

RHH Land Investors, LLC, Respondent,

v.

Leonard R. Watts, The Plantation at Colonial Charters, LLC and Sago Plantation II  
Development, Inc., Defendants,

Of whom Leonard R. Watts is the Appellant.

---

**FINAL BRIEF OF APPELLANT**

---

James Marshall Biddle, S.C. Bar No. 69471  
Biddle Law Firm, LLC  
Post Office Box 1119 (29528)  
913 Third Avenue  
Conway, South Carolina 29526  
Attorney for the Appellant  
843-903-1600

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Table of Authorities .....i  
Statement of Issues on Appeal.....1  
Statement of the Case.....1  
Arguments

1. BECAUSE APPELLANT DID NOT RECEIVE ACTUAL NOTICE OF THE HEARING DATE FOR MOTION FOR JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT AND DAMAGES HEARING THE SPECIAL REFEREE ERRED IN DENYING APPELLANT’S MOTION TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT .....3

2. BECAUSE APPELLANT DID NOT RECEIVE ACTUAL NOTICE OF THE ORDER GRANTING JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT THE SPECIAL REFEREE ERRED IN DENYING MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER DENYING MOTION TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT .....4

Conclusion .....5

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

CASES

Clear Channel Outdoor v. City of Myrtle Beach, 372 S.C. 230, 642 S.E.2d 567 (2007)  
Ogburn-Matthews v. Ricefield Plantations, 332 S.C. 551, 505 S.E.2d 598 (Ct. App. 1998)  
SC DSS on behalf of Texas v. Holden, 319 S.C. 72, 459 S.E.2d 846 (1995)

STATUTES

OTHER AUTHORITIES

## STATEMENT OF ISSUES ON APPEAL

- I. DID THE SPECIAL REFEREE ERR IN DENYING APPELLANTS MOTION TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT JUDGMENT?
- II. DID THE SPECIAL REFEREE ERR IN DENYING APPELLANT'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER THE ORDER DENYING MOTION TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT JUDGMENT?

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Respondents filed an action in Horry County and served Appellant with the Summons and Complaint on or about October 29, 2016. (Record at 46, 48 & 50)).

Appellant filed a "pro se" Answer on or about December 20, 2016 in the Office of the Clerk of Court for Horry County, South Carolina. (Record at 104).

Respondent filed an Affidavit of Default on or about February 28, 2017 providing in part, "no answer, demurrer, or notice of appearance, has been **served** upon Plaintiff or their attorneys, by or on behalf of Defendant Watts." Emphasis added. (Record at 43).

Respondent filed a Motion for Damages Hearing and Judgment by Default on or about May 25, 2017 along with a certification of mailing of said motion. (Record at 52).

A hearing was held before The Honorable Benjamin H. Culbertson in Horry County, South Carolina on or about August 1, 2017 for which a Form 4 Order was issued Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Judgment by Default and Damages Hearing granting Respondent Judgment, joint and severable, against Appellants. (Record at 55).

Appellant received no notice of the hearing scheduled on August 1, 2017 before Judge Culbertson Motion for Judgment by Default and Damages hearing as required by Rule 5a, SCRCPP other than certification of mailing of the motion but no notice of the actual hearing date of August 1, 2017 was provided by the Respondent or the Clerk of Court. (Record at 56).

Appellant's first actual notice of the Judgment by Default and \$200,000.00 Judgment was upon Respondent's counsel filing an Affidavit and Petition for Supplemental Proceedings in the Horry County Court of Common Pleas on or about June 7, 2023 and served upon Appellant by delivery to his attorney on or about July 11, 2023. (Record at 58).

Appellant did not receive actual notice of the Term of Non-Jury Court scheduled the week of July 31, 2017 and did not receive actual notice of the Order Granting Judgment by Default filed August 1, 2017 in the Horry County Clerk of Court's office. (Record at 88).

Appellant filed a Motion to Set Aside Judgment (Record at 67) and the hearing was held on or about September 18, 2023 before duly appointed Horry County Special Referee, Daniel John MacDonald, Sr., who issued an Order Denying Motion to Set Aside Judgment which was filed on or about September 26, 2023. (Record at 34).

Appellant filed a Motion to Reconsider the Order Denying Motion to Set Aside Judgment on or about September 28, 2023. (Record at 90).

A hearing was held on or about October 27, 2023 on the Appellant's Motion to Reconsider the Order Denying Motion to Set Aside Judgment before duly appointed Horry County Special Referee, Daniel John MacDonald, Sr., who issued an Order Denying Motion to Reconsider Order Denying Motion to Set Aside Default which was filed on or about November 14, 2023. (Record at 38).

Appellant filed the Notice of Appeal on or about December 12, 2023 and served all parties of record as required.

## ARGUMENT

### I. BECAUSE APPELLANT DID NOT RECEIVE ACTUAL NOTICE OF THE HEARING DATE FOR MOTION FOR JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT AND DAMAGES HEARING THE SPECIAL REFEREE ERRED IN DENYING APPELLANT'S MOTION TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT

Appellant clearly filed an Answer with the Horry County Clerk of Court in this matter. (Record at 104). Appellant was attempting to assert his rights to protect his interests by filing an answer “pro-se” in the best manner he could until such time as he could retain an attorney to assist him with defending the allegations brought by the Respondent. (Record at 007, Line 12). Appellant filed the answer upon the belief that he was placing a notice requirement in the record and that the Respondent, at a minimum, or the Court would reach out to him prior to any further actions being taken that might affect his rights regarding the lawsuit. Appellant never received any notice of the Motion for Default Judgment and Damages Hearing from the Respondent or the Court or the Clerk of Court. (Record at 88). This, in and of itself, is a deprivation of rights that seriously undermines the most basic of procedural due process rights. See Clear Channel Outdoor v. City of Myrtle Beach, 372 S.C. 230, 642 S.E.2d 567 (2007) (the elements of due process are: (1) adequate notice; (2) adequate opportunity for a hearing; (3) the right to introduce evidence; and (4) the right to cross-examine and confront witnesses.; Ogburn-Matthews v. Ricefield Plantations, 332 S.C. 551, 505 S.E.2d 598 (Ct. App. 1998) (procedural due process; SC DSS on behalf of Texas v. Holden, 319 S.C. 72, 459 S.E.2d 846 (1995). First and foremost, the deliberate and invidious discriminatory act of not providing notice, is in and of itself, sufficient to reverse and rescind the original order granted in default proceedings.

II. BECAUSE APPELLANT DID NOT RECEIVE ACTUAL NOTICE OF THE ORDER GRANTING JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT THE SPECIAL REFEREE ERRED IN DENYING MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER DENYING MOTION TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT

Assuming arguendo that the Appellant was in “default” for filing his Answer after the thirty (30) days allowed to move, answer or otherwise plead, the Appellant was still entitled to Notice of the Order Granting Judgment by default as required by the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure. Appellant did not receive actual notice of the Order/Form 4 until his was served on July 11, 2023. When a pro-se litigant files an Answer or other responsive pleading, it is the Clerk of Court’s responsibility to enter that party as a Pro Se litigant in the Case Parties of CMS and enter their contact information. (Record at 88 ¶ 4). This procedure is to ensure that all proper parties are notified of court hearings scheduled and to send copies of court orders filed. (Id. at ¶ 4) The Clerk of Court’s office inadvertently never entered Appellant into the system as a Pro Se litigant nor his contact information. (Id. at ¶ 4) It is incumbent upon the Clerk of Court to provide notice to all parties pro-se or otherwise of the entry of an order, default or otherwise. (Id. at ¶ 4). There was no notification of the hearing given to the Appellant, Leonard Ray Watts, by the Clerk’s office due to the Appellant not being entered into the CMS system properly. (Id. at ¶ 5). Further, a copy of the Order was not sent to the Appellant, Leonard Ray Watts. (Id. at ¶ 5). The Common Pleas Supervisor for the Horry County Clerk of Court confirmed that Appellant was not properly served notice of the motion hearing for the term of Non-Jury Court scheduled the week of July 31, 2017 and was not mailed a copy of the Order Granting Judgment by Default filed August 1, 2017, by the Clerk of Court’s office. (Id. at ¶ 6).

This, in and of itself, is a deprivation of rights that seriously undermines the most basic of procedural due process rights. See Clear Channel Outdoor v. City of Myrtle Beach, 372 S.C. 230, 642 S.E.2d 567 (2007) (the elements of due process are: (1) adequate notice; (2) adequate opportunity for a hearing; (3) the right to introduce evidence; and (4) the right to cross-examine and confront witnesses.; Ogburn-Matthews v. Ricefield Plantations, 332 S.C. 551, 505 S.E.2d 598 (Ct. App. 1998) (procedural due process; SC DSS on behalf of Texas v. Holden, 319 S.C. 72, 459 S.E.2d 846 (1995). First and foremost, the deliberate and invidious discriminatory act of not providing notice, is in and of itself, sufficient to reverse and rescind the original order granted in default proceedings.

#### CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated, this Court should vacate the Order Denying Appellant's Motion to Set Aside Default Judgment and Vacate the Order Denying Appellant's Motion to Reconsider the Order Denying Motion to Set Aside Default Judgment.

Respectfully submitted,

July 12, 2024

Conway, South Carolina

s/James Marshall Biddle  
J. Marshall Biddle, S.C. Bar No. 69471  
Biddle Law Firm, LLC  
Post Office Box 1119 (29528)  
913 Third Avenue  
Conway, South Carolina 29526  
Attorney for the Appellant  
843-903-1600

**RECEIVED**

**Jul 15 2024**

**SC Court of Appeals**

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In the Court of Appeals

---

APPEAL FROM HORRY COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas  
Daniel John MacDonald, Sr.  
Special Referee Horry County

---

Appellate Case No. 2023-001920

---

RHH Land Investors, LLC, Respondent,

v.

Leonard R. Watts, The Plantation at Colonial Charters, LLC and Sago Plantation II  
Development, Inc., Defendants,

Of whom Leonard R. Watts is the Appellant.

---

PROOF OF SERVICE

---

I certify that I have served the Final Brief of Appellant and Record on Appeal on counsel for the Respondents by email and by depositing a copy of same in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, on Friday, July 12, 2024 addressed to the Attorney of Record:

Jonathan D. Waller, Esquire  
**Angell Molony, LLC**  
312 Richland Avenue W  
Aiken, South Carolina 29801  
[jonathan@angellmolony.com](mailto:jonathan@angellmolony.com)

s/James Marshall Biddle  
James Marshall Biddle, S.C. Bar No. 69471  
Biddle Law Firm, LLC  
Post Office Box 1119 (29528)  
311 Beaty Street, Suite A  
Conway, South Carolina 29526  
Attorney for the Appellant  
843-903-1600