

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Anna Coggeshall; Bryan Coggeshall; and Katherine
Coggeshall, Respondents,

v.

William Bertram von Herrmann and The Von Herrmann
Law Firm, Appellants.

Appellate Case No. 2024-000786

ORDER

After careful consideration, Respondents' motion to dismiss this appeal is granted. *See* S.C. Code Ann. § 14-3-330 (2017) (providing our appellate courts may review an interlocutory order that involves the merits of the case or affects a substantial right); *Thornton v. S.C. Elec. & Gas Corp.*, 391 S.C. 297, 300, 705 S.E.2d 475, 477 (Ct. App. 2011) ("An interlocutory order not governed by a specialized appealability statute is not immediately appealable unless it fits into one of the categories listed in section 14-3-330 of the South Carolina Code (1976 & Supp. 2009)."); *McLendon v. S.C. Dep't of Highways & Pub. Transp.*, 313 S.C. 525, 526, 443 S.E.2d 539, 540 (1994) (holding the denial of a motion to dismiss is not immediately appealable under section 14-3-330); *id.* at 526 n.2, 443 S.E.2d 539, 540 n.2 ("Like the denial of a motion for summary judgment, the denial of a motion to dismiss does not establish the law of the case and the issue raised by the motion can be raised again at a later stage of the proceedings."). The remittitur will be sent as required by Rule 221(b), SCACR.



FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

FILED
Aug 14 2024

cc:

Richard Giles Whiting, Esquire

Steven Marc Abrams, Esquire

Douglas Walker MacKelcan, III, Esquire

Skyler Cole Wilson, Esquire

Robert E. Lee, Esquire

Kenneth Ray Moss, Esquire