

SC COURT OF APPEALS  
1220 SENATE ST  
COLUMBIA SC 29201

8-14-24

RE: TRANSCRIPTS – VARIOUS  
2024-00657

ATTN: MS EVANS

**RECEIVED**

**Aug 15 2024**

**SC Court of Appeals**

MS EVANS,

I AM IN RECEIPT OF YOUR LETTER DATED 8-13-2024 IN REGARD TO MY SUBMITTED BRIEF BEING PREMATURE BASED ON THE FACT YOU'VE NEVER RECEIVED THE TRANSCRIPTS. MS EVANS, I AM NOT CERTAIN WHAT TO SAY ABOUT THE ISSUE WITH THE TRANSCRIPTS, AS IVE REQUESTED THEM LOCALLY ON 4 OCCASIONS, SUBMITTED THE MAGISTRATE COMPILATION MONTHS AGO, RESUBMITTED EVERYTHING THAT THE LOWER COURTS SAID THEY HAD ON FILE ON 7-29-2024, AND NOW SOME TWO WEEKS LATER MY CASE IS BEING THREATENED TO BE DISMISSED DUE TO THE FACT YOU DON'T HAVE COMPLETED TRANSCRIPTS.

MS EVANS, YOU NOT HAVING THE TRANSCRIPTS IS NOT DUE TO THE LACK OF EFFORT ON MY PART, BUT MORESO IF INCOMPLETE, NOT A BETTER UNDERSTADING OF WHAT THE COURT APPARENTLY NEEDS, AND A POTENTIAL LACK OF FOLLOW THROUGH ON THE LOWER COURTS IN REGARD TO MY REQUESTS. WHEN I PRESENTED THE LOWER COURTS WITH AFFIRMATION OF THE PRIOR REQUESTS, THEY MERELY SCOFFED AT THE FACT THEY WERE PRESENTED. MAYBE IT'S THE SAME BIAS THAT ALLOWED THE MAGISTRATE HEARING TO BE HAD OUTSIDE OF THE NORMAL TIMEFRAME ALLOWED FOR MAGISTRATE COURT. EITHER WAY, ITS NOT DUE TO MY LACK OF CONSIDERATION.

LASTLY, THE BRIEF IVE ALREADY SUBMITTED, IS IT ACCEPTABLE NOW TIMEFRAME WISE? ADDITIONALLY, IF IM NOT FOLLOWING PROCEDURE AS YOU NEED, WOULD YOU PLEASE GUIDE ME IN WHAT YOU AND/OR YOUR OFFICE FEELS IS MORE APPROPRIATE.

SINCERELY,

  
TIM MEYERS