

**Form: Err, Potentially Un(yet)learned Aspects of Law**  
[In The Supreme Court]

**RECEIVED**  
AUG 19 2024  
SC Court of Appeals

CONVEYANCE OF GRATITUDE (potential reservation(s) withheld) + Request for Additional Clarification

Appellate Case No. 2024-000796

The State(s), deputy dog, DSS, &/or the pet cat(s) (rodents) thereof:

Respondent(s),

v.

Rachel Wilcox, (alleged public &/or private nuisance)

Appellant.

**REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL CLARIFICATION**

First and foremost, Praise be to our Father in heaven & Merciful Lord Jesus Christ!! Appellant would like to express gratitude for the gracious investment of time & keen attention to detail invested into the careful decision surrounding reinstatement of the above referenced appeal.

The court(s) response did, however, leave additionally non-specified key points of potential concern in question including (but not necessarily limited to):

- What, specifically, are all of the applicable rules that the/this court(s) require(s) parties intending to represent as pro se to fully comply with? Do such rules include the censorship of certain speech and/or forfeiture of 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment freedom of speech rights which may potentially, by extension, inherently result in rendering certain aspects of appellate's defensive argument with regard to this case inadmissible and/or stricken from the possibility of being taken into consideration?
- What are the specific implications of noted potential 'dangers & disadvantages' of being 'allowed' a pro se representation status (& perhaps directly resulting from and/or related to the above referenced question?)

Additionally, if upon careful consideration, opting for a pro se representation status is independently predicted to result in a high probability of an undesirable/unfavorable outcome being produced for appellate, then:

- Will appellate reserve option to request the same previously appointed counsel from initial case # to 'continue' (or 'resume') the position of providing representation for appellant's current pre-initiated appeal provided they have no independent objections to such?

And/Or,

- Will appellant be eligible to receive legal representation per previous application request submitted to the office of appellant defense?

And lastly, please notify appellant of any/all potentially inherent conflicts of interest which may result from any legally authorized 'pro se and/or non-pro se' counsel suffering personal detrimental impacts as a direct result of citing arguably condemnable commonplace practices utilized by certain sectors of SCDCS and/or other agencies/affiliates of the like claiming to offer 'child protective services,' (which can in reality, be considered an excessive & unrelentingly punitive waste of tax dollars in certain circumstances) as I understand the directly adamant verbal condemnation of such (at the very least) to be a primary/pertinent key aspect of appellant's self/familial defense argument with regard to this case. In the unfortunate event of such deeply rooted/potentially unavoidable conflict(s), then in exchange for appellant being denied all reasonable access to defensive recourse, appellant would like to request a full pardon including deletion of any and all current & prior criminal charges, convictions, documented social allegations, traffic violations, arrest history, along with mandatory deletion of any/all personally identifiable fingerprint, photographic, DNA, & etc. data collected by law enforcement and related affiliates collected with intent to be used (or produce the potential implications of being used) against the best interests of myself and/or by extension, my American family in addition to request for clearance to provide ICE community service assistance with mass foreign depo... {\*ahem ..one-way extended vacation trip} efforts for a period preferably not exceeding 6 months. =D

Appellate is requesting additional time to provide an informed response regarding the preferred representation status she may intend to proceed with pending receipt of additional clarification provided from the SC Court of Appeals.

Proof(s) of Service are understood by appellant to be non-translatable and/or undeliverable to certain potentially relevant listed respondents.

8/14/24

s/ Rachel Wilcox  
Rachel Wilcox  
7909 Spring Flower Rd.  
Columbia, SC 29223  
(864) 494-1500  
Appellant



information, that the public interest and the need for disclosure outweighs the potential injury to the Defendants and/or children, the patient-physician relationship, and the treatment service. In authorizing the disclosure, no party to this action shall disclose any of the records or information released in any manner whatsoever other than in the course of these proceedings. Further, said information shall be destroyed pursuant to law. This Order shall apply to the production of any and all documents requested pursuant to a request and said documents shall be released.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Guardian *ad Litem* shall:


1. Be allowed private access to the child by the caretakers of the child, whether the caretakers are individuals, authorized agencies, or health care providers;
2. Upon proof of appointment as Guardian *ad Litem* and upon request, have access to information in the possession of medical and dental authorities, psychologists, social workers, counselors, schools, law enforcement personnel, and any private or public service providers about the child for whom they are Guardian *ad Litem*; including protected health information as defined in the Federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act.
3. Maintain the confidences of the child except as needed to protect the child's best interests. The Guardian *ad Litem* may only disclose a confidential communication when it is in the best interest of the child to do so, and then only in relation to the proceedings to which the Guardian *ad Litem* is appointed;
4. Be given notice of all hearings and proceedings involving this case including but not limited to Foster Care Review Board, multi-disciplinary teams, interagency staffings, and any other hearings or meetings where the child's interests might be affected, or any meetings or hearings the Guardian *ad Litem* may request;
5. Have party status on behalf of the child in this action and in any agreement or plan entered into on behalf of the child; and
6. Perform the following functions:
  - a. Serve as a full participant in the court proceeding with the duty to protect and advocate the child's needs and best interests.
  - b. Act as an independent fact finder for the child by reviewing relevant records and interviewing the child and other persons who, in the Guardian *ad Litem*'s opinion, are necessary to ascertain the facts and circumstances of the child's situation. This may include, but is not limited to, parents, social workers, teachers, health care professionals treating the child, and any other persons providing services to the child. The Guardian *ad Litem* may interview the child in the child's residence.
  - c. Determine the best interests of the child, taking into account the child's wishes, age, maturity, culture, education, psychological stage, ethnicity, and any other relevant factor.
  - d. Participate in negotiations to seek cooperative resolutions to the child's situation within the scope of the child's interest and welfare.
  - e. When required, provide the family court with a written report which includes evaluation and assessment of the issues, recommendations and the wishes of the child. Written reports should be prepared in a timely manner for all Merits hearings, Judicial Reviews, and otherwise as directed by the court.



- f. Appear and participate in all proceedings as appropriate in this action to protect the best interests of the child(ren).
- g. Advocate for a clear and specific treatment plan for the evaluation, assessment, services, and treatment of the child and the child's family.
- h. Monitor implementation of court orders and treatment plans to determine whether services are actually provided in a timely manner and are accomplishing the desired goals.
- i. Inform the court promptly through counsel if the services are not being made available to the child or family; if the family fails to take advantage of such services; or if such services are not achieving their purposes. Bring to the court's attention any violation of orders, new developments, or changes.
- j. In advance of each proceeding, consult with the Richland County CASA Attorney to review recommendations; obtain legal assistance, consider the need for subpoenas, pre-trial motions, or other documents; and jointly determine strategy for the proceeding.
- k. Perform such other duties as directed by the court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that these appointments shall continue to be in effect until the appointees are relieved by the court.

**AND IT IS SO ORDERED.**



PRESIDING JUDGE, THE FAMILY COURT  
**Fifth Judicial Circuit**

3/3, 2023  
Columbia, South Carolina



What sector of DSS is responsible for this highlighted verbiage? ..Because after the police were directed to PROTECT those assault asylums from ME (PARENT) attempting to 'INJURE' their unauthorized & harmful treatment of my son with threats of force against ME.. & then they were later deployed to proceed pointing apx. 15 guns at ME/towards your property as to enforce a physically, psychologically, emotionally, & neglectfully damaging no contact order between a parent and her sons BASED UPON that so-called 'CIVIL' family court no-contact order ..simply because I was there with a lawfully possessed firearm, chicken sandwiches + cash for my family, & beer ..then there is simply no other way to interpret the words on this page other than that certain sectors of DSS have full intention of using the courts as a means to justify DESTROYING (not PROTECTING) my immediate American family. Harm & Destruction of my American family is irrefutably what defines the 'public interest' listed on this document.

Therefore, the words on this page (among many other factors) prove to place this particular 'seemingly CIVIL by default' dss family court case into the realm of being a CRIMINAL case INITIATED in court by sectors of an organized CRIMINAL operation ..and I don't want to believe that any judge(s)

Statement supporting Appellant's understanding that this seemingly 'civil by default' dss family court case is contrarily classifiable as criminal with defensive measures warranted by appellant that lead to erroneous arrest and conviction currently being appealed.

s/Rachel Wilcox

8/19/24

Therefore, the words on this page (among many other factors) prove to place this particular 'seemingly CIVIL by default' dss family court case into the realm of being a CRIMINAL case INITIATED in court by sectors of an organized CRIMINAL operation ..and I don't want to believe that any judge(s) would have signed this with such intent ..or knowingly without being under criminal compulsion or manipulative influence to do so themselves.