

RECEIVED

JAN 04 2013

S.C. SUPREME COURT


I'm writing in A. respectful manner to notify you of my filing
for A Belated Appeal for case No: 2011-CP-46-03821
Due to the fact that my ~~Appellate~~^{PCR} counsel James W. Hancock Jr. of
Hancock Assoc PA P.O. Box 2851, Rock Hill, S.C. 29732 constituted ineffective
assistance by failing to Appeal the decision of the P.C.R. court
in A timely manner required by Rule 243, SCACR.

Thus clearly Prejudicing me & violating my 6th amendment.

I ask that when this letter is received please correspond
to apprise me of such. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely: Miguel Saucedo Robinson #3272

Miguel Saucedo Robinson #327754
Lee Correctional Inst
SMU-South-RM#124
990 Wisacky Highway
Bishopville, S.C. 29010



DATE: 12-18-12

Miguel Saucedo Robinson

The state of South Carolina
In The Supreme Court

Appeal from York County
Court of common Pleas
Post conviction Relief

Honorable John C. Hayes III. Circuit Court Judge

Case No: 2011-CP-46-03821

RECEIVED

JAN 04 2013

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Miguel Saucedo Robinson petitioner
Pro-se

VS.

State of South Carolina Respondent.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Miguel Saucedo Robinson, Petitioner, appeals the order of Dismissal issued by the Honorable John C. Hayes on 8-15-2012, which was filed on 8-22-12. The petitioner through the clerk of court's office received notice of the entry of the order of Dismissal on Sep. 14, 2012.



Office of Attorney General
J. Rutledge Johnson
P.O. Box 11549
Columbia, S.C. 29211-1549

Miguel Saucedo Robinson #327754
Lee correctional institute
SMU-South-RM#124
990 Wisacky Highway
Bishopville S.C. 29010

Date: 12-18-12

Miguel Saucedo Robinson

The State of South Carolina
In The Supreme court

Appeal from York County
Court of Common Pleas
Post conviction Relief

Honorable John C. Hayes III. Circuit court Judge

Case NO: 2011-CP-46-03821

Miguel Saceda Robinson Petitioners
Pro-se

V.S.

State of south Carolina Respondent.

Certificate of service

I Miguel sauceda Robinson Pro-se here by certify that I by The
US Postal Service, mailed A copy of the Notice of Appeal
to J. Rutledge Johnson. Attorney General. at the office of
the Attorney General at: Office of Attorney General

J. Rutledge Johnson
P.O. Box 11549
Columbia S.C. 29211-1549



Miguel Saceda Robinson #327754
Lee Correctional Inst
SMU-South-Rm#124
990 Wisacky Highway
Bishopville S.C. 29010

Date: 12-18-12
Miguel Saceda Robinson

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE, CLERK OF COURT

POST OFFICE BOX 11330
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211

Hasler

12/13/2012

US POSTAGE

FIRST-CLASS MAIL

\$00.45⁰



ZIP 29201
011D12602823

RECEIVED

DEC 14 2012


LEE CI MAIL ROOM

MIGUEL SAUCEDA ROBINSON, 327754
990 WISACKY HIGHWAY
BISHOPVILLE SC 29010

*SMU
124
"SMU-SOUTH-RM*124"*

29010177590





The Supreme Court of South Carolina

DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE
CLERK OF COURT

BRENDA F. SHEALY
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11330
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA
29211
1231 GERVAIS STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1080
FAX: (803) 734-1499
www.scccourts.org

December 12, 2012

Mr. James W. Hancock, Jr.
PO Box 2851
Rock Hill SC 29732

Re: Miguel Robinson v. State
Appellate Case No. 2012-213556
Lower Court Case No. 2011-CP-46-03821

Dear Counsel:

Enclosed is a *pro se* notice of appeal filed by your client. I remind you that you remain his counsel of record in this matter. Rule 71.1(g), SCRCP.

Upon reviewing the *pro se* notice of appeal, the following deficiencies have been noted under the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR):

- The accompanying proof of service is not in compliance with the SCACR. It does not indicate the date on which service was accomplished. Also, the proof of service indicates service was by hand-delivery but then indicates service occurred at a post office box. Therefore, you will need to clarify this by advising whether this notice of appeal was served by hand-delivery, by mailing with the US Postal Service or by being placed in the interagency mail, and by providing the date of such service.
- The notice of appeal is not accompanied by the order from which the appeal is taken. Therefore, you will need to provide a copy of this order.

Further to determine the timeliness of this appeal, it will be necessary for you to advise this Court of the date on which you received written notice of entry of the order on appeal.

Accordingly, it will be necessary for you to correct the above deficiencies and provide this additional information within ten (10) days of the date of this letter.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of several loops and a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

CLERK

cc: Harrison David Brant, Esquire
Mr. Miguel Saucedo Robinson, #327754