

From: [Lad Santiago](#)
To: [Cox, Amy W.](#)
Cc: [Court Of Appeals Filings; Transcripts; Coath, Cordelia; Elizabeth Santiago; Stephen N. Garcia, Esq.](#)
Subject: Re: Court Report or WebEx Record
Date: Friday, August 30, 2024 9:46:18 AM
Attachments: [Outlook-i4dlyqg3.png](#)
[Outlook-kxfr22px.png](#)

***** EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

Dear Ms. Cox,

Thank you very much for the expeditious response. Your insight and explanation have been helpful and informative. Have a great weekend.

Best regards,

Lad Santiago

On Thu, Aug 29, 2024 at 3:35 PM Cox, Amy <acox@spartanburgcounty.org> wrote:

Dr. Santiago,

Transcripts are only created when the court hears oral arguments on a issue before the court. If Judge Cole made his decision based on written arguments, there would be no transcript available. I hope that has answered the question you posed in your first email. Please let me know if we can be of any further assistance.

Thank you,

Amy W. Cox
Clerk of Court
Spartanburg County
864.596.3428
864.764.4760



RECEIVED
Aug 30 2024
SC Court of Appeals

From: Lad Santiago <drladsantiago@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2024 3:29 PM

To: Cox, Amy <acox@spartanburgcounty.org>

Cc: ctappfilings@sccourts.org <ctappfilings@sccourts.org>; transcripts@sccourts.org <transcripts@sccourts.org>; ccoath@sccourts.org <ccoath@sccourts.org>; Elizabeth Santiago <paley56@gmail.com>; Stephen N. Garcia, Esq. <Stephen@scgarcialaw.com>

Subject: Re: Court Report or WebEx Record

CAUTION: **THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED FROM AN EXTERNAL SOURCE**

Use caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email.

Please contact IT if you have questions.

Dear Ms Cox:

First and foremost, I categorically and completely deny any and all of Mr. Stephen N. Garcia's accusations and statements as they are absolutely false and have no basis in fact or truth. Furthermore, a reply from him was neither sought nor required. As per the South Carolina Court of Appeals' requirements, I am charged with the inclusion of all relevant parties, which includes Mr. Garcia, in these communications. I have done this consistently and without failure.

Although I would not ordinarily dignify such extremisms, prevarications and untruths, I am compelled to do so. It is evident that Mr. Garcia is acting in an adversarial manner beyond the bounds of normal advocacy, making it evident that he is attempting to impugn my character, statements and actions. Because of this, I am compelled to respond to his email.

Hereinafter, I will address each of his false accusations and statements directly.

The record will show that all of those whom I have addressed in this judicial matter have been addressed respectfully and honorably. I have not been condescending and "snarky". I take great exception to his hyperbolic characterizations and untruths. On the contrary, it is Mr. Garcia who has consistently demonstrated condescension and snarky conduct, as the record can prove. His characterizations of me are extreme and disparaging, and apparently being done to undermine my standing and character, which is consistent with his proclivity toward bellicosity.

My questions to you, Ms. Cox, were addressed innocuously in an attempt to seek information that I was charged to obtain by the South Carolina Court of Appeals. At no time were my questions intended to be offensive or condescending. Obviously, not being a member of the bar and being unfamiliar with the protocols followed by judges, it was only prudent and appropriate to seek clarification from your office, and to do so expeditiously since there are pending deadlines set by the South Carolina Court of Appeals.

Mr. Garcia's comments regarding Judge Cole and documenting "every waking moment" is absolutely ludicrous, absurd, and unfounded. Especially when a case is being addressed in Chamber, it appears logical that judicial notes and dates of deliberation would be written and noted since it affects the outcome of the case and the ultimate ruling, no different than any other court proceeding where a record is noted and preserved.

Furthermore, I was requested by an administrative staff member at the Court of Appeals to seek a transcript date from you of any "hearing" that may have taken place as this case was to be "heard" in Chamber by Judge Cole, to which a decision

was declared almost 3 and ½ years later.

Since I am not familiar with Court protocols, it was prudent to seek clarification, especially since the veracity of what actually occurred is what is vital and necessary to exact justice in this matter.

Personally, I resent the overt condescension and demeaning statements regarding my intelligence as is illustrated in Mr. Garcia's 3rd paragraph, and his attempt to influence the Clerk of Court's office. This again, is another example of Mr. Garcia's lack of professional conduct. Mr. Garcia's conduct is in direct defiance of the code of professional conduct and his oath as an officer of the court. I apologize for my opponent's lack of ethics and civility in his communications to you.

You and your office have always been extremely gracious and accommodative in all of my interactions with you and the Court. And for that, I am extremely grateful.

I trust that you will rely on your own records and research, without Mr. Garcia's intervention in this matter.

I look forward to your earliest reply to my email from earlier today, as time is of the essence. Thank you.

Best regards,

Lad Santiago

On Thu, Aug 29, 2024 at 9:28 AM Stephen N. Garcia <Stephen@scgarcialaw.com> wrote:
Honorable Ms. Cox,

I think I can help, as over the years, I have grown accustomed to Mr. Santiago's cadence. Trust that it's not just you. All of his communications, irrespective of the party to which the communication is directed, include the base alloy of condescension and snark.

It appears that the learned Mr. Santiago wants to know if there is a transcript of the Honorable Judge Cole's thoughts as he reached his various decisions in this case-- decisions that were made WITHOUT a hearing. Yes... you read that correctly. It appears the learned Mr. Santiago believes a court reporter follows the Honorable Judge Cole throughout his every waking moment and documents not only his speech, but his thoughts. The learned Mr. Santiago has sought clarification from various parties on this issue, including the Court of Appeals, Tammie Holmes, and others.

I believe it is safe to advise the learned Mr. Santiago that, where no hearing was held, no transcript will exist. I am not sure why he cannot figure this out for himself. I suppose it's that he desires attention. I have been watching these emails bounce around the state all week.

I hope this helps you formulate a response that will be satisfactory to the learned Mr. Santiago. If you think I can be of any further assistance, please feel free to reach out to me. I am sorry you have to deal with this. As always, thank you for your time and effort.

Stephen

Garcia Law LLC
604 Pettigru Street, Greenville, SC 29601
Office: 864.271.7335 Fax: 864.271.7336
Direct Line: 864.214.7359
email: Stephen@SCGarciaLaw.com

PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 2510-2521 and is legally privileged. The contents of this e-mail message and any attachments are intended solely for the party or parties addressed and named in this message. This communication and all attachments, if any, are intended to be and to remain confidential, and it may be subject to the applicable attorney-client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and its attachments.

From: Lad Santiago <drladsantiago@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2024 8:52:26 AM
To: Cox, Amy <acox@spartanburgcounty.org>
Cc: ctappfilings@sccourts.org <ctappfilings@sccourts.org>; transcripts@sccourts.org <transcripts@sccourts.org>; ccoach@sccourts.org <ccoach@sccourts.org>; Stephen N. Garcia <Stephen@SCGarciaLaw.com>; Elizabeth Santiago <paley56@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Court Report or WebEx Record

RE: Court of Common Pleas Case No. 2020-CP-42-00055
Court of Appeals Case No. 2024-001239

Good morning Ms. Cox:

Thank you very much for your reply.

Given the circumstances of this case, I may not have precisely articulated the questions that require answers from your office. Therefore, I will attempt to ask them again, but in a different and more precise manner.

In May of 2020, we received an email from Judge Cole's law clerk at that time that Judge Cole would decide the matter (of this case) based upon the briefs submitted by the parties and that there would not be a need to attend a

hearing. We assume that this was due to Covid, although there was no indication that this was the cause for his request.

It took from May of 2020 until September of 2023 (nearly 3.5 years) for a decision to be rendered. His ruling came via email from his law clerk.

So the questions are:

Was there a record (transcript report) of any type to document Judge Cole's deliberations in Chamber?

If so, were his deliberations recorded in Chamber?

If so, by what method (court reporter, WebEx, etc.)?

If so, on what date did this occur?

This information is required in order to fulfill the transcript request by the Court of Appeals, should there be an actual transcript. Therefore, specific and exact answers to the questions above are necessary and required to satisfy the requirement by the Court of Appeals.

Your timely reply to this email communication is of utmost importance.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

Dr. Lad Santiago

On Wed, Aug 28, 2024 at 5:44 PM Cox, Amy <acox@spartanburgcounty.org> wrote:

Dr. Santiago,

I am assuming this is the email that you are referring to. Yes, your hearing should have a transcript and the link I sent you is how to request it. Is there another question that I am missing?

Thank you,

Amy W. Cox

Clerk of Court

Spartanburg County

864.596.3428

864.764.4760



From: Lad Santiago <drladsantiago@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 11:04 AM
To: Cox, Amy <acox@spartanburgcounty.org>
Cc: ctappfilings@sccourts.org <ctappfilings@sccourts.org>; Stephen N. Garcia, Esq. <Stephen@scgarcialaw.com>
Subject: Court Report or WebEx Record

CAUTION: **THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED FROM AN EXTERNAL SOURCE**

Use caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email.
Please contact IT if you have questions.

Amy Cox, Esquire, Clerk of Court
Court of Common Pleas
Seventh Judicial Circuit
180 Magnolia St
2nd Floor, Suite 500
Spartanburg, SC 29306
acox@spartanburgcounty.org

August 26, 2024

Dr. Lad Santiago, Plaintiff
drladsantiago@gmail.com

v.

Oscar Avila Hernández;
Angelica Calderilla Corona;
ALA;
JA, Defendants

Defendants' Counsel: Stephen N. Garcia
Stephen@scgarcialaw.com

Civil Action No.: 2020-CP-42-00055

Dear Ms. Cox:

Good morning.

On July 3, 2024, an order on my case was issued by Judge J. Durham Cole.

This case has now been appealed to the South Carolina Court of Appeals. As part of their requirements, we are to provide a transcript of the "hearing" which we assume was done in Chamber, (or Webex, or equivalent) of this decision, if there is one.

In connection with this, would you please confirm if there is a transcript? If so, please advise as to how we may obtain a copy of this document.

If there is not a transcript of the aforementioned proceeding, please advise.

As time is of the essence with the Court of Appeals' request for this record, if there is one, please advise upon receipt of this email.

Thank you.

Kind regards,

Dr. Lad Santiago

RECEIVED

Aug 29 2024

SC Court of Appeals

From: [Cox, Amy W.](#)
To: [Lad Santiago](#)
Cc: [Court Of Appeals Filings](#); [Transcripts](#); [Coath, Cordelia](#); [Elizabeth Santiago](#); [Stephen N. Garcia, Esq.](#)
Subject: Re: Court Report or WebEx Record
Date: Thursday, August 29, 2024 3:35:33 PM
Attachments: [Outlook-i4dlyqg3.png](#)
[Outlook-kxfr22px.png](#)

*** **EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

Dr. Santiago,

Transcripts are only created when the court hears oral arguments on a issue before the court. If Judge Cole made his decision based on written arguments, there would be no transcript available. I hope that has answered the question you posed in your first email. Please let me know if we can be of any further assistance.

Thank you,

Amy W. Cox
Clerk of Court
Spartanburg County
864.596.3428
864.764.4760



From: Lad Santiago <drladsantiago@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2024 3:29 PM
To: Cox, Amy <acox@spartanburgcounty.org>
Cc: ctappfilings@sccourts.org <ctappfilings@sccourts.org>; transcripts@sccourts.org <transcripts@sccourts.org>; ccoath@sccourts.org <ccoath@sccourts.org>; Elizabeth Santiago <paley56@gmail.com>; Stephen N. Garcia, Esq. <Stephen@scgarcialaw.com>
Subject: Re: Court Report or WebEx Record

CAUTION: **THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED FROM AN EXTERNAL SOURCE**

Use caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email. Please contact IT if you have questions.

Dear Ms Cox:

First and foremost, I categorically and completely deny any and all of Mr. Stephen N. Garcia's accusations and statements as they are absolutely false and have no basis in fact or truth. Furthermore, a reply from him was neither sought nor required. As per the South Carolina Court of Appeals' requirements, I am charged with the inclusion of

all relevant parties, which includes Mr. Garcia, in these communications. I have done this consistently and without failure.

Although I would not ordinarily dignify such extremisms, prevarications and untruths, I am compelled to do so. It is evident that Mr. Garcia is acting in an adversarial manner beyond the bounds of normal advocacy, making it evident that he is attempting to impugn my character, statements and actions. Because of this, I am compelled to respond to his email.

Hereinafter, I will address each of his false accusations and statements directly.

The record will show that all of those whom I have addressed in this judicial matter have been addressed respectfully and honorably. I have not been condescending and “snarky”. I take great exception to his hyperbolic characterizations and untruths. On the contrary, it is Mr. Garcia who has consistently demonstrated condescension and snarky conduct, as the record can prove. His characterizations of me are extreme and disparaging, and apparently being done to undermine my standing and character, which is consistent with his proclivity toward bellicosity.

My questions to you, Ms. Cox, were addressed innocuously in an attempt to seek information that I was charged to obtain by the South Carolina Court of Appeals. At no time were my questions intended to be offensive or condescending. Obviously, not being a member of the bar and being unfamiliar with the protocols followed by judges, it was only prudent and appropriate to seek clarification from your office, and to do so expeditiously since there are pending deadlines set by the South Carolina Court of Appeals.

Mr. Garcia’s comments regarding Judge Cole and documenting “every waking moment” is absolutely ludicrous, absurd, and unfounded. Especially when a case is being addressed in Chamber, it appears logical that judicial notes and dates of deliberation would be written and noted since it affects the outcome of the case and the ultimate ruling, no different than any other court proceeding where a record is noted and preserved.

Furthermore, I was requested by an administrative staff member at the Court of Appeals to seek a transcript date from you of any “hearing” that may have taken place as this case was to be “heard” in Chamber by Judge Cole, to which a decision was declared almost 3 and ½ years later.

Since I am not familiar with Court protocols, it was prudent to seek clarification, especially since the veracity of what actually occurred is what is vital and necessary to exact justice in this matter.

Personally, I resent the overt condescension and demeaning statements regarding my intelligence as is illustrated in Mr. Garcia’s 3rd paragraph, and his attempt to influence the Clerk of Court’s office. This again, is another example of Mr. Garcia’s lack of professional conduct. Mr. Garcia’s conduct is in direct defiance of the code of professional conduct and his oath as an officer of the court. I apologize for my opponent’s lack of ethics and civility in his communications to you.

You and your office have always been extremely gracious and accommodative in all of my interactions with you and the Court. And for that, I am extremely grateful.

I trust that you will rely on your own records and research, without Mr. Garcia’s intervention in this matter.

I look forward to your earliest reply to my email from earlier today, as time is of the essence. Thank you.

Best regards,

Lad Santiago

On Thu, Aug 29, 2024 at 9:28 AM Stephen N. Garcia <Stephen@scgarcialaw.com> wrote:
Honorable Ms. Cox,

I think I can help, as over the years, I have grown accustomed to Mr. Santiago's cadence. Trust that it's not just you. All of his communications, irrespective of the party to which the communication is directed, include the base alloy of condescension and snark.

It appears that the learned Mr. Santiago wants to know if there is a transcript of the Honorable Judge Cole's thoughts as he reached his various decisions in this case--decisions that were made WITHOUT a hearing. Yes... you read that correctly. It appears the learned Mr. Santiago believes a court reporter follows the Honorable Judge Cole throughout his every waking moment and documents not only his speech, but his thoughts. The learned Mr. Santiago has sought clarification from various parties on this issue, including the Court of Appeals, Tammie Holmes, and others.

I believe it is safe to advise the learned Mr. Santiago that, where no hearing was held, no transcript will exist. I am not sure why he cannot figure this out for himself. I suppose it's that he desires attention. I have been watching these emails bounce around the state all week.

I hope this helps you formulate a response that will be satisfactory to the learned Mr. Santiago. If you think I can be of any further assistance, please feel free to reach out to me. I am sorry you have to deal with this. As always, thank you for your time and effort.

Stephen

Garcia Law LLC
604 Pettigru Street, Greenville, SC 29601
Office: 864.271.7335 Fax: 864.271.7336
Direct Line: 864.214.7359
email: Stephen@SCGarciaLaw.com

PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. [2510-2521](#) and is legally privileged. The contents of this e-mail message and any attachments are intended solely for the party or parties addressed and named in this message. This communication and all attachments, if any, are intended to be and to remain confidential, and it may be subject to the applicable attorney-client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and its attachments.

From: Lad Santiago <drladsantiago@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2024 8:52:26 AM
To: Cox, Amy <acox@spartanburgcounty.org>
Cc: ctappfilings@sccourts.org <ctappfilings@sccourts.org>; transcripts@sccourts.org <transcripts@sccourts.org>; ccoach@sccourts.org <ccoach@sccourts.org>; Stephen N. Garcia <Stephen@SCGarciaLaw.com>; Elizabeth Santiago <paley56@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Court Report or WebEx Record

RE: Court of Common Pleas Case No. 2020-CP-42-00055
Court of Appeals Case No. 2024-001239

Good morning Ms. Cox:

Thank you very much for your reply.

Given the circumstances of this case, I may not have precisely articulated the questions that require answers from your office. Therefore, I will attempt to ask them again, but in a different and more precise manner.

In May of 2020, we received an email from Judge Cole's law clerk at that time that Judge Cole would decide the matter (of this case) based upon the briefs submitted by the parties and that there would not be a need to attend a hearing. We assume that this was due to Covid, although there was no indication that this was the cause for his request.

It took from May of 2020 until September of 2023 (nearly 3.5 years) for a decision to be rendered. His ruling came via email from his law clerk.

So the questions are:

Was there a record (transcript report) of any type to document Judge Cole's deliberations in Chamber?

If so, were his deliberations recorded in Chamber?

If so, by what method (court reporter, WebEx, etc.)?

If so, on what date did this occur?

This information is required in order to fulfill the transcript request by the Court of Appeals, should there be an actual transcript. Therefore, specific and exact

answers to the questions above are necessary and required to satisfy the requirement by the Court of Appeals.

Your timely reply to this email communication is of utmost importance.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

Dr. Lad Santiago

On Wed, Aug 28, 2024 at 5:44 PM Cox, Amy <acox@spartanburgcounty.org> wrote:

Dr. Santiago,

I am assuming this is the email that you are referring to. Yes, your hearing should have a transcript and the link I sent you is how to request it. Is there another question that I am missing?

Thank you,

Amy W. Cox

Clerk of Court

Spartanburg County

864.596.3428

864.764.4760



From: Lad Santiago <drladsantiago@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 11:04 AM

To: Cox, Amy <acox@spartanburgcounty.org>

Cc: ctappfilings@sccourts.org <ctappfilings@sccourts.org>; Stephen N. Garcia, Esq. <Stephen@scgarcialaw.com>

Subject: Court Report or WebEx Record

CAUTION: **THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED FROM AN EXTERNAL SOURCE**

Use caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email.
Please contact IT if you have questions.

Amy Cox, Esquire, Clerk of Court

Court of Common Pleas
Seventh Judicial Circuit
180 Magnolia St
2nd Floor, Suite 500
Spartanburg, SC 29306
acox@spartanburgcounty.org

August 26, 2024

Dr. Lad Santiago, Plaintiff
drladsantiago@gmail.com

v.

Oscar Avila Hernández;
Angelica Calderilla Corona;
ALA;
JA, Defendants

Defendants' Counsel: Stephen N. Garcia
Stephen@scgarcialaw.com

Civil Action No.: 2020-CP-42-00055

Dear Ms. Cox:

Good morning.

On July 3, 2024, an order on my case was issued by Judge J. Durham Cole.

This case has now been appealed to the South Carolina Court of Appeals. As part of their requirements, we are to provide a transcript of the "hearing" which we assume was done in Chamber, (or Webex, or equivalent) of this decision, if there is one.

In connection with this, would you please confirm if there is a transcript? If so, please advise as to how we may obtain a copy of this document.

If there is not a transcript of the aforementioned proceeding, please advise.

As time is of the essence with the Court of Appeals' request for this record, if there is one, please advise upon receipt of this email.

Thank you.

Kind regards,

Dr. Lad Santiago

RECEIVED

Aug 29 2024

SC Court of Appeals

From: [Lad Santiago](#)
To: [Cox, Amy W.](#)
Cc: [Court Of Appeals Filings; Transcripts; Coath, Cordelia; Elizabeth Santiago; Stephen N. Garcia, Esq.](#)
Subject: Re: Court Report or WebEx Record
Date: Thursday, August 29, 2024 3:29:59 PM
Attachments: [Outlook-i4dlvqg3.png](#)

***** EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside the organization. Please exercise caution before clicking any links or opening attachments. ***

Dear Ms Cox:

First and foremost, I categorically and completely deny any and all of Mr. Stephen N. Garcia's accusations and statements as they are absolutely false and have no basis in fact or truth. Furthermore, a reply from him was neither sought nor required. As per the South Carolina Court of Appeals' requirements, I am charged with the inclusion of all relevant parties, which includes Mr. Garcia, in these communications. I have done this consistently and without failure.

Although I would not ordinarily dignify such extremisms, prevarications and untruths, I am compelled to do so. It is evident that Mr. Garcia is acting in an adversarial manner beyond the bounds of normal advocacy, making it evident that he is attempting to impugn my character, statements and actions. Because of this, I am compelled to respond to his email.

Hereinafter, I will address each of his false accusations and statements directly.

The record will show that all of those whom I have addressed in this judicial matter have been addressed respectfully and honorably. I have not been condescending and "snarky". I take great exception to his hyperbolic characterizations and untruths. On the contrary, it is Mr. Garcia who has consistently demonstrated condescension and snarky conduct, as the record can prove. His characterizations of me are extreme and disparaging, and apparently being done to undermine my standing and character, which is consistent with his proclivity toward bellicosity.

My questions to you, Ms. Cox, were addressed innocuously in an attempt to seek information that I was charged to obtain by the South Carolina Court of Appeals. At no time were my questions intended to be offensive or condescending. Obviously, not being a member of the bar and being unfamiliar with the protocols followed by judges, it was only prudent and appropriate to seek clarification from your office, and to do so expeditiously since there are pending deadlines set by the South Carolina Court of Appeals.

Mr. Garcia's comments regarding Judge Cole and documenting "every waking moment" is absolutely ludicrous, absurd, and unfounded. Especially when a case is being addressed in Chamber, it appears logical that judicial notes and dates of deliberation would be written and noted since it affects the outcome of the case and the ultimate ruling, no different than any other court proceeding where a record is noted and preserved.

Furthermore, I was requested by an administrative staff member at the Court of Appeals to seek a transcript date from you of any "hearing" that may have taken place

as this case was to be “heard” in Chamber by Judge Cole, to which a decision was declared almost 3 and ½ years later.

Since I am not familiar with Court protocols, it was prudent to seek clarification, especially since the veracity of what actually occurred is what is vital and necessary to exact justice in this matter.

Personally, I resent the overt condescension and demeaning statements regarding my intelligence as is illustrated in Mr. Garcia’s 3rd paragraph, and his attempt to influence the Clerk of Court’s office. This again, is another example of Mr. Garcia’s lack of professional conduct. Mr. Garcia’s conduct is in direct defiance of the code of professional conduct and his oath as an officer of the court. I apologize for my opponent’s lack of ethics and civility in his communications to you.

You and your office have always been extremely gracious and accommodative in all of my interactions with you and the Court. And for that, I am extremely grateful.

I trust that you will rely on your own records and research, without Mr. Garcia’s intervention in this matter.

I look forward to your earliest reply to my email from earlier today, as time is of the essence. Thank you.

Best regards,

Lad Santiago

On Thu, Aug 29, 2024 at 9:28 AM Stephen N. Garcia <Stephen@scgarcialaw.com> wrote:
Honorable Ms. Cox,

I think I can help, as over the years, I have grown accustomed to Mr. Santiago’s cadence. Trust that it’s not just you. All of his communications, irrespective of the party to which the communication is directed, include the base alloy of condescension and snark.

It appears that the learned Mr. Santiago wants to know if there is a transcript of the Honorable Judge Cole's thoughts as he reached his various decisions in this case--decisions that were made WITHOUT a hearing. Yes... you read that correctly. It appears the learned Mr. Santiago believes a court reporter follows the Honorable Judge Cole throughout his every waking moment and documents not only his speech, but his thoughts. The learned Mr. Santiago has sought clarification from various parties on this issue, including the Court of Appeals, Tammie Holmes, and others.

I believe it is safe to advise the learned Mr. Santiago that, where no hearing was held, no transcript will exist. I am not sure why he cannot figure this out for himself. I suppose it's that he desires attention. I have been watching these emails bounce around the state all week.

I hope this helps you formulate a response that will be satisfactory to the learned Mr. Santiago. If you think I can be of any further assistance, please feel free to reach out to me.

I am sorry you have to deal with this. As always, thank you for your time and effort.

Stephen

Garcia Law LLC
604 Pettigru Street, Greenville, SC 29601
Office: 864.271.7335 Fax: 864.271.7336
Direct Line: 864.214.7359
email: Stephen@SCGarciaLaw.com

PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. [2510-2521](#) and is legally privileged. The contents of this e-mail message and any attachments are intended solely for the party or parties addressed and named in this message. This communication and all attachments, if any, are intended to be and to remain confidential, and it may be subject to the applicable attorney-client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and its attachments.

From: Lad Santiago <drladsantiago@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2024 8:52:26 AM
To: Cox, Amy <acox@spartanburgcounty.org>
Cc: ctappfilings@sccourts.org <ctappfilings@sccourts.org>; transcripts@sccourts.org <transcripts@sccourts.org>; ccoach@sccourts.org <ccoach@sccourts.org>; Stephen N. Garcia <Stephen@SCGarciaLaw.com>; Elizabeth Santiago <paley56@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: Court Report or WebEx Record

RE: Court of Common Pleas Case No. 2020-CP-42-00055
Court of Appeals Case No. 2024-001239

Good morning Ms. Cox:

Thank you very much for your reply.

Given the circumstances of this case, I may not have precisely articulated the questions that require answers from your office. Therefore, I will attempt to ask them again, but in a different and more precise manner.

In May of 2020, we received an email from Judge Cole's law clerk at that time that Judge Cole would decide the matter (of this case) based upon the briefs

submitted by the parties and that there would not be a need to attend a hearing. We assume that this was due to Covid, although there was no indication that this was the cause for his request.

It took from May of 2020 until September of 2023 (nearly 3.5 years) for a decision to be rendered. His ruling came via email from his law clerk.

So the questions are:

Was there a record (transcript report) of any type to document Judge Cole's deliberations in Chamber?

If so, were his deliberations recorded in Chamber?

If so, by what method (court reporter, WebEx, etc.)?

If so, on what date did this occur?

This information is required in order to fulfill the transcript request by the Court of Appeals, should there be an actual transcript. Therefore, specific and exact answers to the questions above are necessary and required to satisfy the requirement by the Court of Appeals.

Your timely reply to this email communication is of utmost importance.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

Dr. Lad Santiago

On Wed, Aug 28, 2024 at 5:44 PM Cox, Amy <acox@spartanburgcounty.org> wrote:

Dr. Santiago,

I am assuming this is the email that you are referring to. Yes, your hearing should have a transcript and the link I sent you is how to request it. Is there another question that I am missing?

Thank you,

Amy W. Cox

Clerk of Court

Spartanburg County

864.596.3428

864.764.4760



From: Lad Santiago <drladsantiago@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 11:04 AM

To: Cox, Amy <acox@spartanburgcounty.org>

Cc: ctappfilings@sccourts.org <ctappfilings@sccourts.org>; Stephen N. Garcia, Esq. <Stephen@scgarcialaw.com>

Subject: Court Report or WebEx Record

CAUTION: **THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED FROM AN EXTERNAL SOURCE**

Use caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email.
Please contact IT if you have questions.

Amy Cox, Esquire, Clerk of Court
Court of Common Pleas
Seventh Judicial Circuit
180 Magnolia St
2nd Floor, Suite 500
Spartanburg, SC 29306
acox@spartanburgcounty.org

August 26, 2024

Dr. Lad Santiago, Plaintiff
drladsantiago@gmail.com

v.

Oscar Avila Hernández;
Angelica Calderilla Corona;
ALA;
JA, Defendants

Defendants' Counsel: Stephen N. Garcia
Stephen@scgarcialaw.com

Civil Action No.: 2020-CP-42-00055

Dear Ms. Cox:

Good morning.

On July 3, 2024, an order on my case was issued by Judge J. Durham Cole.

This case has now been appealed to the South Carolina Court of Appeals. As part of their requirements, we are to provide a transcript of the "hearing" which we assume was done in Chamber, (or Webex, or equivalent) of this decision, if there is one.

In connection with this, would you please confirm if there is a transcript? If so, please advise as to how we may obtain a copy of this document.

If there is not a transcript of the aforementioned proceeding, please advise.

As time is of the essence with the Court of Appeals' request for this record, if there is one, please advise upon receipt of this email.

Thank you.

Kind regards,

Dr. Lad Santiago