

Daisy Crump
PLAINTIFF(S)

Sizemore Inc
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration is denied. The Court has considered the case law cited by the Plaintiff and finds that Plaintiff has not proved damages in this case. According to relevant case law and facts of this case, Plaintiff must show that (1) plaintiff suffered emotional distress in addition to physical injuries or (2) Plaintiff's emotional trauma proximately caused bodily injury. Plaintiff has not provided evidence to support that she suffered physical injuries – the treating physician testified that there were no signs of physical injury the day after the incident and Plaintiff testified that she suffered no physical injuries. Therefore, the Court denies Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 08/19/2024 .

Sizemore Inc

RECEIVED
Sep 18 2024
SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.



Richland Common Pleas

Case Caption: Daisy Crump vs Glenfield Capital Llc , defendant, et al

Case Number: 2022CP4005610

Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

Jessica A. Salvini