

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,


v.

Scott Thomas Lee, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2012-210830

ORDER

Appellant has filed a *pro se* "Motion for Leave pursuant to SCRCrim.pro. Rule 29(b) After Discovered Evidence." Because Appellant is currently represented by counsel, this court declines to consider Appellant's *pro se* filing. *See Miller v. State*, 388 S.C. 347, 697 S.E.2d 527 (2010); *Jones v. State*, 348 S.C. 13, 558 S.E.2d 517 (2002); *State v. Stuckey*, 333 S.C. 56, 508 S.E.2d 564 (1998); *Foster v. State*, 298 S.C. 306, 397 S.E.2d 907 (1989).


FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Kathrine Haggard Hudgins

Donald J. Zelenka

Alan McCrory Wilson

FILED
9/11/13